	2. Award Or Grant Number 31-50-M09058					
Perfo	4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 04-19-2011					
1. Recipient Name Nebraska Public Service Cor	6. Designated Entity On Behalf Of: Nebraska					
3. Street Address 300 The Atrium Building, 120	8. Final Report? 9. Report Frequency O Yes Image: Constraint of the second					
5. City, State, Zip Code Lincoln, NE 68508						
 Project / Grant Period Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) 01-01-2010 	7a. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) 12-31-2014	7b. Reporting Period End Date: 03-31-2011	9a. If Other, please describe: N/A			
10. Broadband Mappir	ng 10a. Provider Table	+				
Number of Providers IdentifiedNumber of Providers00	of Number of Agreemen Contacted Reached for Data Sha 0		er of Number of Data Sets Data Sets Verified 0			
 10d. If so, describe the discussions to date with each of these providers and the current status Community Internet Systems, Swiftel Communications and Future Technologies previously refused to participate in the project. The NPSC's contractor requested third round data from all known broadband providers including these providers. The named providers have not supplied broadband data. Swiftel is a reseller of Sprint service. Sprint has provided broadband availability data to the NPSC. 10e. If you are collecting data through other means (e.g. data extraction, extrapolation, etc), please describe your progress to date and the relevant activities to be undertaken in the future 						
10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement In addition to the verification tools used by Apex and its subcontractors, the NPSC plans to engage a third party vendor to perform verification of broadband locations and speeds. The NPSC, however, is waiting until it has final approval of its supplemental award before taking further action with this vendor. The NPSC is also developing a methodological tool which will allow it to better utilize the speed test data provided by the FCC and compare that with the data collected from providers. 10g. Have you initiated verification activities? ●Yes ○No 10h. If yes, please describe the status of your activities						
Verification of the April 1, 2011 data submission was completed by Apex and subcontractors. The NPSC will be taking a larger role in the data collection, verification and coding as described in the supplemental grant. The NPSC is working on a transition plan with its vendor.						
10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities						
Verification activities will be ongoing throughout the duration of the project.						
Staffing						
10j. How many jobs have been	created or retained as a result of th	is project?				
4.30 FTEs for 1Q 2011 consisting of (1) .44 FTEs for the NPSC; (2) 3.37 FTEs for Apex/CSU; (3) .484 FTEs for the University.						

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? •Yes ONo

10I. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed

N/A

10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

4.30 FTEs

10n. Staffing Table

Job Title		FTE %	Date of Hire
Executive Director- NPSC		5	10/22/2007
Department Director - NPSC		5	02/17/1976
Attorney- NPSC		5	02/07/2000
Analyst- NPSC		15	07/14/2003
Economist- NPSC		5	05/24/1999
Business Manager- NPSC		2	05/01/2000
Accountant- NPSC		2	11/07/1994
Accountant- NPSC		5	11/14/1977
Project Director- Apex		19	01/21/2010
Project Manager-Apex		28	01/21/2010
GIS Assistant-Apex		69	01/21/2010
CSU Project Trainer		33	01/21/2010
CSU Project Analyst		5	01/21/2010
CSU GIS Assistant		14	01/21/2010
CSU GIS Assistant		8	01/21/2010
CSU GIS Assistant		20	01/21/2010
CSU GIS Assistant		14	01/21/2010
CSU GIS Assistant		5	01/21/2010
CSU GIS Assistant		19	01/21/2010
CSU GIS Assistant		37	01/21/2010
CSU GIS Assistant		9	01/21/2010
CSU GIS Assistant	9	01/21/2010	
CSU Web Programmer		13	01/21/2010
CSU-Administration		22	01/21/2010
CSU-Project Director		14	01/21/2010
Survey Manager-University		48	01/21/2010
Add Row F	Remove Row		

Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Federal Fund	l at	n-Kind Funds
Арех	Data Collection, Verification, Integration and Display	Y	Y	01/20/2010	12/31/2011	11 1,265,802 0		
	•				Add	Row	Rem	nove Row
Funding								
10p. How much Federal	funding has been expended	ed as of the end	l of the last o	quarter? \$1,53	3,991 10q	. How much Re	mains?	\$4,128,794
10r. How much matching	g funds have been expend	ed as of the end	d of last qua	rter? \$1,05	6,376 10s	How much Re	mains?	\$796,258
10t. Budget Worksheet	E davel				Fadaval			
Mapping Budget Eleme	nt Federal Granted	Proposed In-Kind		otal dget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Fun Expended	ds	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$898,699	\$266,514	\$1,1	65,213	\$103,553 \$48,206			\$151,759
Personnel Fringe Benef	its \$218,410	\$71,284	\$28	9,694	\$25,594	\$7,481		\$33,075
Travel	\$184,539	\$8,500	\$19	3,039	\$6,238	\$0		\$6,238
Equipment	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$233,220	\$0	\$23	3,220	\$66,398	\$11,651		\$78,049
Subcontracts Total	\$3,400,269	\$430,750	\$3,8	31,019	\$1,277,008	\$0		\$1,277,008
Subcontract #1	\$2,372,590	\$170,750	\$2,5	43,340	\$1,265,801	\$0		\$1,265,801
Subcontract #2	\$260,000	\$0	\$26	0,000	\$11,207	\$0		\$11,207
Subcontract #3	\$128,500	\$0	\$12	8,500	\$0	\$0		\$0
Subcontract #4	\$175,000	\$0	\$17	5,000	\$0	\$0		\$0
Subcontract #5	\$464,179	\$260,000	\$72	4,179	\$0	\$0		\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Other	\$338,995	\$1,075,586	\$1,4	14,581	\$21,237	\$979,135		\$1,000,372
Total Direct Costs	\$5,274,132	\$1,834,734	\$7,1	26,766	\$1,500,029	\$1,046,473		\$2,546,501
Total Indirect Costs	\$388,653	\$0	\$38	8,653	\$30,844	\$9,903		\$40,746
Total Costs	\$5,662,785	\$1,852,634	\$7,5	15,419	\$1,530,872	\$1,056,376		\$2,587,248

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application?

10v. If yes, please list

2-Dell Vostro 1520 Laptop Computers w/ Windows XP for wireless drive test and verification: \$1228.37;

1-Dell Database Server and operating system: \$4727.89;

1-Dell Server and operating system: \$6,965.49;

1-Mapping Server and operating system \$646.09;

Oracle Database License: \$5,037.07;

SQL Software Server License \$7,351.57;

Windows Server License: \$637.23;

ESRI ArcInfo Concurrent User License and ESRI ArcGIS Server License \$28,276.28;

25 CN50 PDAs w/customer software for door-to-door interviews and data verification; \$39,887.25; and Media Prints-Cable Franchise for Nebraska \$2,625.00.

●Yes ○No

10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased

N/A

10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? \bigcirc Yes \bigcirc No

10y. If yes, please list

Tiger Data Files-Available from the Census Bureau Various GIS Data sets from the NPSC Media Prints-Cable Franchise Boundary Data

10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included? ()Yes (No

10aa. If yes, please list

The Nebraska Broadband Map is online and has been publicized. Both the Nebraska Broadband Map and The National Broadband Map have been used in demonstrations by the planning team in our Regional Broadband Forums as discussed further below. The next step will be to complete our Broadband website which will contain all broadband mapping and planning info as well as a consumer feedback link.

10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing The NPSC experienced a number of challenges and obstacles in this quarter collecting, integrating, and submitting the broadband data. From prior communications with the industry, we focused more heavily on the efforts to obtain latitude and longitude information so that provider data could be integrated more accurately in the national and state broadband map. The NPSC wants to make sure that the broadband provider's data is accurately depicted-- particularly in ares where rural addressing is not available. Some of the other issues related to coordination and communication between the NPSC and its vendor and communication with the industry. We have been working through these issues by trying to find ways to streamline the collection, verification and submission process. We are working to improve the data input model template which will save providers time and resources for future rounds of data collection.

In addition, we have regularly scheduled weekly conference calls with our contractor to discuss outstanding issues and concerns. The NPSC has worked with a number of broadband providers individually to address concerns related to their data submissions and the resources expended by the providers to comply with the data submission requirements.

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project We experienced some confusion last quarter finding the most recent and critical Program Office instructions on the Wiki site. It would be more helpful for us if the Program Office would send the data model and instructions directly to the grantees to make sure that we have the correct and most recent version of the data model/submission requirements. The Wiki is helpful for discussions about questions that come up and resolutions for those issues. It has also been extremely valuable as a guide for best practices. However, we find it is difficult to decipher which Program Office models/templates to use.

11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

Capacity Building

o Business Surveys to create and track technology benchmarks for businesses. Status: Additional survey questions identified to understand broadband importance to Nebraska businesses. The annual Nebraska Business Report, www.NebraskaAdvantage.biz, highlighted the planning activities.

Technical Assistance:

o Statewide Conference to be held Q42011. Status: Location reserved and identified potential members for external conference planning team.

o Entrepreneur Development and Acceleration System (EDAS) – an entrepreneurial mentoring program that includes a focus on leveraging technology for the future. Status: Mentors were certified in Q1 and businesses and entrepreneurs have been identified. o Broadband portalserving as a source of information on broadband efforts. Status: Site map updated in Q1. The domain name has been secured - broadband.ne.gov. A temporary site, http://go.unl.edu/nebroadband has been created until portal, http://broadband.ne.gov, is launched.

Local & Regional Planning

o Regional Planning Teams to create broadband plans regionally. Status:Regional Coaches attended training. The following are highlights from first 3 forums:

o Northeast Regional Forum - 44 people attended in Norfolk. Participants represented local telephone companies, Internet service providers, education, municipalities, agri-business, healthcare, economic development, industry and consumers. Eighteen people expressed interest in being part of a northeast planning effort.

o Western Nebraska Regional Forum – 55 people attended in Gering. Opportunities identified included decentralizing business & employees and educational opportunities. Eight individuals indicated that they were willing to serve on the broadband planning team.

o North Central Regional Forum –25 people representing a wide variety of communities and industries attended in Valentine. Availability is a barrier in this sparsely populated region. Eleven people agreed to serve.

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing There have not been any particular challenges or obstacles to the planning grant efforts. The delay in the administrative details waiting to utilize the funds has caused some timing challenges. We continue to plan for the future; however, if there are further delays several projects will need to be postponed. This will especially be true for the proposed Statewide Broadband Conference being planned for the Fall 2011. The broadband portal has also been held back as we await the decision on future funding. Originally, we were planning to hold regional forums in the fall 2010; however, we will now be holding in late Spring 2011. This adjustment in the schedule was made to better incorporate the mapping data in the discussions.

11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning? •• Yes •• No

11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented

At this stage there are not any anticipated changes to the current project plan other than those requested by NTIA. As the regional forums were scheduled, we needed to rent space in a number of locations. We would request to use up to \$2,000 for the regional forums to pay for the rental and coffee.

Funding

11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$0 11f. How much Remains? \$0

11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$0

11i. Planning Worksheet						
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0

Additional Planning Information

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

N/A

11h. How much Remains?

\$0

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

N/A

11I. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

Please see the attachment for additional information on the broadband planning activities for 1Q 2011.

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.			
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)		
Shanicee Knutson	(402) 471-3101		
Legal Counsel	12d. Email Address		
	shana.knutson@nebraska.gov		
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)		
Submitted Electronically	07-05-2011		