		U.S. D	EPARTMENT OF CO	OMN	IERCE		2. Award O	r Grant I	Number	
Performance Progress Report							28-50-M09063			
							4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 07/03/2010			
1. Recipient Name							6. Designat	ed Entity	y On Behalf Of:	
Office of the Gover	rnor, State of	Mississ	sippi							
3. Street Address					· · ·		8. Final Rep	port?	9. Report Frequency	
550 High Street, Walter Sillers Building, Suite 19							Yes Quarterly			
5. City, State, Zip Code							( No		○ Semi Annual ○ Annual	
Jackson MS 3920	1								O Final	
<ol> <li>Project / Grant F Start Date: (MM</li> </ol>		7a.		8	. Reporting Period End	d Date:	9a. If Other, please describe:		describe:	
01/13/2010	(1111)	12/31	Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) /2014	06	(MM/DD/YYYY) 3/30/2010					
10. Broadband	Manning					·····				
10a. Provider Table	, mabbing	I								
Number of Providers Identified	Number of Number of Agreements Number of Partial Number							er of Number of lete Data Sets Data Sets Verified		
37	37		34		36	36		36		
10b. Are you submit	ting the require	d PROV	I /IDER DATA by using the second s	ne Ex	kcel spreadsheet provid	led by the	SBDD grants	s office?	Yes No	
10c. Have you enco	untered challer	nges wit	h any providers that ind	icate	they may refuse to par	ticipate in				
10d. If so, describe t	he discussions	to date	with each of these prov	iders	s and the current status					
Currently, we are d	ealing with or was recently	ie telec award	communications provi ed a R2 BTOP grant	der for 4	which is still non-res	ponsive i	egarding th	e data :	submission process.	
concerned about th	is provider's a	ability to	o be responsive and	work	well with our state's	s team. 1	o date. the	v have l	been unwilling to	
provide the data in	any kind of tir	nely ma	anner and we are stil	l atte	empting to negotiate	a NDA w	ith them.	loweve	r. since NTIA saw fit	
to award this provid	ler a grant for	our sta or and c	ate, we are continuing continue to support of	g to t	try and find ways to v	work with	them. We	docum	ent all	
10e. If you are collect activities to be u	ting data throu	gh other	r means (e.g. data extra	ction	n, extrapolation, etc), pl	ease desc	ribe your pro	gress to	date and the relevant	
Focus still remains providers have bee	acquiring data n very suppor	a direct tive thr	ly from the providers, oughout the project.	, the	n using 3rd Party so	urce mat	erial for vali	dation.	The majority of the	
10f. Please describe	the verification	activitie	es you plan to implement	ıt						
i. Provider Portal/W 1 Provider Portal is			ge and is planned to l	ha fi	ully released by Aug	104 204h	2010			
<ol><li>We are already ir</li></ol>	the progress	s of con	nmunicating this to th	ie pr	roviders and will train	ust 20th, 1 them du	2010. Iring the mo	nth of A	August so updates/	
changes can be pre	sent in the O	ctober	deliverable	-			0			
ii. 3rd Party Source	Material -									
1. Comparison of co	ellected and p	rocess	ed data against 3rd p	arty	source material has	been co	mpleted and	d we're	using the data	
including a visual re	presentation	of the c	nquire about any dis discrepancies resolve	crep d.	ancies. This will be	available	in the upco	ming O	october deliverable,	
iii. The Crowd Sourd site. In the meantim provider data.	cing portal is one, the statisti	currentl	ly being planned for on the FCC speed test	leve s ca	lopment and will be i n be reviewed to ide	incorpora ntify outli	ited within the state of the second state of the state of the second state of the s	ne State y target	e Broadband Web t discrepancies in the	
	d from speed	tests re	equire more time to n	nake	e meaningful conclus	ions as ti	hey tend to	range a	and report	
10g. Have you initiate 10h. If yes, please de										
		-			da a					
the provider with tra	ining support	and rev	validation so when p view 3rd party discre	panc	uer verification is acc cies in one overall me	complishe eeting.	ed via the w	eb port	al, we can supply	

10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities

Further verification will be supplied for the October deliverable by having all providers review their coverage footprint and broadband attribution within their web application.

### Staffing

10j. When fully staffed, how many jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

10k. How many full time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain due to this project?

# 5.47

Job Title	FTE %	Date of Hire
Business Analyst	4	
Cartographic Specialist	12	
Data Sourcing Manager	2	
Database and Requirements Engineer	18	······
Geocoding and Conflation Engineer	1	
NDA Coordination	13	
Program Manager	12	
QC Specialist	14	
Sr Web Designer	9	
Sr. Quality Manager, Technical Project Manager	12	
Data Sourcing Specialist	2	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Add Row	Remove Row

# **Sub Contracts**

10o. Subcontracts Table

Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Federal Funds	In-Kind Funds
BroadMap, LLC	To develop a statewide comprehensive assessment and mapping of current broadband infrastructure in Mississippi	Y	Y	03/05/2010	12/31/2011	\$1,514,678	
					Add Row	Rem	ove Row
10p. How much Federal	funding has been expende	ed as of the end o	f the last quarter?	\$506,597	10q. How mu	uch Remains?	\$1,008,081
10r. How much matching 10t. Sub Contracts Work	g funds have been expende ssheet	ed as of the end o	f last quarter?	\$126,352	10s. How mu	ich Remains?	\$379,054

Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries		\$135,642	\$135,642	\$0	\$33,912	\$33,912
Personnel Fringe Benefits		\$35,524	\$35,524	\$0	\$8,880	\$8,880
Travel						
Equipment						
Materials / Supplies		\$250,000	\$250,000	\$0	\$62,500	\$62,500
Subcontracts Total	\$1,514,678		\$1,514,678			
Subcontract #1	\$1,514,678		\$1,514,678	\$506,597	\$0	\$506,597
Subcontract #2						
Subcontract #3						
Subcontract #4						
Subcontract #5						
Construction						
Other		\$84,240	\$84,240	\$0	\$21,060	\$21,060
Total Direct Costs	\$1,514,678	\$505,406	\$2,020,084	\$506,597	\$126,352	\$632,949
Total Indirect Costs						
Total Costs	\$1,514,678	\$505,406	\$2,020,084	\$506,597	\$126,352	\$632,949
% Of Total	74.98	25.02	100	80.04	19.96	100

Hardware / Software
10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application?
10v. If yes, please list
10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? (Yes )No
10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased
Town reduce note any conward manufact has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased
10y. If yes, please list
10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included? CYes  No
10aa. If yes, please list
10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing
N/A
10cc Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses to be a line to the state of the state
10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project
N/A
11. Broadband Planning
11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a
description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status Since our award date, the planning team in the Office of the Governor (OG) has continued to effectively organize and oversee the
management structure for Mississippi's project. As stated in our last report, the mapping and planning team members include staff
within the OG, staff at the MS Dept. of Information Technology Services (ITS), and staff at BroadMap (as the vendor/contractor to the
state). We continue to work with ITS staff to ensure timely submission of data by BroadMap and have worked specifically with BroadMap, the
service providers, and the anchor institutions to facilitate data collection. The OG is in regular communication with these entities to
ensure that all necessary internal deadlines and federal deadlines are met. To date, all deadlines have been met and all data has
been submitted appropriately.

In addition, we are overseeing the design and built out of the mapping website for public use. Once our map is complete and ready for distribution, the public will be able to view the broadband assets of our state and be better informed of services in their area. We are continuing to work with our vendor, BroadMap, in this component of our project on a daily basis.

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing Currently, we are dealing with one telecommunications provider which is still non-responsive regarding the data submission process. This same provider was recently awarded a R2 BTOP grant for \$20.7 million for counties in the southern part of our state. We are concerned about this provider's ability to be responsive and work well with our state's team. To date, they have been unwilling to provide the data in any kind of timely manner and we are still attempting to negotiate a NDA with them. However, since NTIA saw fit to award this provider a grant for our state, we are continuing to try and find ways to work with them. We document all communications with this provider and continue to support our team's efforts in the negotiation process.

11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning? (Yes No

11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented

N/A

#### Funding

11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$86,179

11f. How much Remains? \$413,821

11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$0

11h. How much Remains? \$0

11i. Funding Worksheet

Planning Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$340,934		\$340,934	\$62,480	\$0	\$62,480
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$132,066		\$132,066	\$23,699	\$0	\$23,699
Travel	\$25,000		\$25,000	\$0		\$0
Equipment						
Materials / Supplies	\$2,000		\$2,000	\$0		\$0
Subcontracts Total						
Subcontract #1						
Subcontract #2						
Subcontract #3					·	
Subcontract #4						
Subcontract #5						
Construction						-
Other					-	
Total Direct Costs	\$500,000		\$500,000	\$86,179	\$0	\$86,179
Total Indirect Costs						
Total Costs	\$500,000		\$500,000	\$86,179	\$0	\$86,179
% Of Total	100		100	100	-	100

## **Additional Planning Information**

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

No

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

Described above in answer to 11b

11I. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

N/A

11m. Are you submitting the required PROVIDER DATA with this PPR? ONe OYes

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents. 12c. Telephone 12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official (area code, number, and extension) Chief of Staff Paul Hurst 76 -2008 12d Email Address @ gevenor. state. MS.VS 12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year) 12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official ( 07,31,2010 Performance Progress Report OMB Approval Number: 0660-0034 Expiration Date: 08/31/2010