	2. Award Or Grant Number 21-50-M09061 4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 01-24-2011					
Perforn						
Recipient Name Commonwealth of Kentucky	6. Designated Entity On Behalf Of: Commonwealth of Kentucky					
Street Address OO Capitol Avenue,	8. Final Report? 9. Report Frequency Quarterly					
5. City, State, Zip Code Frankfort, KY 40601-3410			Semi Annual Annual Final			
7. Project / Grant Period Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) 01-01-2010 10. Broadband Mapping	7a. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) 12-31-2014 10a. Provider Table	7b. Reporting Period End Date: 12-31-2010	9a. If Other, please describe: N/A			
10c. Have you encountered challer 10d. If so, describe the discussions Our contractor, Michael Baker In more details, please refer to the 10e. If you are collecting data throu activities to be undertaken in the	d PROVIDER DATA by using the ages with any providers that indicto date with each of these provided, has provided information Q4 Supplemental Answers If the ghother means (e.g. data extra the future). The provided additional value for to the Q4 Supplemental and the provided additional value of the Q4 Supplemental and the Q4 Supplemental a	paring Data Sets Received 0 Data Sets Received 0 Determine Data Sets Received 0 Determine Excel spreadsheet provided by the cate they may refuse to participate iders and the current status on the status and challenges expocument. Document. Ction, extrapolation, etc), please determine data sets, along with Answers Document.	Data Sets Verified 0 ne SBDD grants office? Yes • No			
Confidence Level Reporting: Development of a System for Evaluation and Assessment Statistics (SEAS) continues for validation automation. Identified areas of discrepancy will be flagged for reporting confidence level of the data per provider at census block and road segment level. The plan is to then input these unit confidence levels into a statistical model to develop confidence levels for each of the broadband service providers. Deployment of this automated statistical reporting process is scheduled before the April 1, 2011 delivery to the NTIA.						
Audit of Wireless Broadband Availability Reporting: Wireless coverage is evaluated using a contour calculation tool, with key inputs being transmitter location and, where available, data on spectrum power levels and other relevant transmission factors provided by carriers and/or supplemented by data available from public web sites and other sources. Data will then be input to a contour calculation tool to provide estimates of fixed wireless broadband coverage areas. This dataset is used as a source to determine gaps in provider wireless service area coverage. The Prior Mapping data is also used as a validation source for gap analysis. Deployment of this audit of Wireless Broadband Availability is scheduled before the April 1, 2011 delivery to the NTIA.						
	Ith has entered into an agree	ement with a team of geospatial	nd subsequent documents describing and telecommunications experts			
Our contractor, Michael Baker Inc., submits a white paper with each data submittal to NTIA.						
10g. Have you initiated verification activities? ● Yes						

10h. If yes, please describe the status of your activities

For more details, please refer to the Q4 Supplemental Answers Document.

10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities

As described in the previous section, verification activities were conducted for the October data submittal to the NTIA. In 10f above, it is noted that development of SEAS software continues o automate and enhance validation processes, calculate confidence level and statistical modeling of the data per provider at the census block and road segment level is. Integration of additional data validation efforts conducted by the Commonwealth College/University Team will also be integrated into SEAS. Evaluation of reported fixed wireless coverage utilizing contour calculation tools, as described in 10f above, will also be implemented. Deployment of these verification activities is planned for the April 2011 NTIA submittal.

Staffing

10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project?

5.70

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? Yes No

10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed

We believe that full staffing was achieved during the 3rd Quarter 2010. At this time, university team validators have maximized their staff and will be concluding their involvement. Temporary staff hired by our mapping contractor, Michael Baker Inc., is no longer being

10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

7.54

10n. Staffing Table

Job Title	FTE %	Date of Hire
CADD Technician	5	02/01/2010
Civil Engineer	28	02/01/2010
Environmental Specialist	1	02/01/2010
GIS Associate	10	02/01/2010
GIS Specialist	36	02/01/2010
GIS Technician	1	02/01/2010
Senior Software Developer	5	02/01/2010
Technical Manager	14	02/01/2010
Project Manager (Validation)	11	06/15/2010
Telecommunications Analyst (Validation)	26	06/15/2010
Urban Studies Institute Household Survey Analyst (Validation)	8	06/15/2010
Urban Studies Institute Administrative Assistant (Validation)	2	06/15/2010
Urban Studies Institute Data Collection Methods Analyst (Validation)	2	06/15/2010
Center for Geographic Information Sciences Analyst (Validation)	9	06/15/2010
Urban Studies Institute Vendor Survey Analyst (Validation)	41	06/15/2010
University Faculty (Validation)	2	06/15/2010

Expiration Date: 12/31/2013

Add Row Remove Row

Sub Contracts

10o. Subcontracts Table

Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Federal Funds	In-Kind Funds
Michael Baker Jr., Inc	Data Collection/ Validation Services	Υ	Y	02/01/2010	01/31/2011	981,077	0
Murray State University	Validation Services	N	Υ	06/15/2010	03/31/2011	400,000	0

Add Row Remove Row

\$4,021,403

Funding

10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$1,281,076 10q. How much Remains?

10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$289,516 10s. How much Remains? \$1,036,672

10t. Budget Worksheet

Tot. Daaget Workshoot						
Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$2,748,127	\$798,048	\$3,546,175	\$0	\$71,462	\$71,462
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$110,920	\$96,826	\$207,746	\$0	\$5,836	\$5,836
Travel	\$182,000	\$6,000	\$188,000	\$0	\$1,334	\$1,334
Equipment	\$18,500	\$16,956	\$35,456	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$50,000	\$0	\$50,000	\$0	\$664	\$664
Subcontracts Total	\$2,067,521	\$0	\$2,067,521	\$1,281,076	\$0	\$1,281,076
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$981,076	\$0	\$981,076
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300,000	\$0	\$300,000
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$89,307	\$408,358	\$497,427	\$0	\$210,220	\$210,220
Total Direct Costs	\$5,266,375	\$1,326,188	\$6,592,325	\$1,281,076	\$289,516	\$1,570,592
Total Indirect Costs	\$36,342	\$0	\$36,342	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$5,302,717	\$1,326,188	\$6,592,325	\$1,281,076	\$289,516	\$1,570,592
% Of Total	80	20	100	82	18	100

Hardware / Software

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application?

(Yes (● No

10v. If yes, please list

N/A

10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has no	t been purchased	
N/A		
10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? Yes No		
10y. If yes, please list		
N/A		
10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?	es ● No	
10aa. If yes, please list		
n/a		
10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigat In addition to the obstacles and challenges described earlier in this document with regat challenges of this project has been the collection of anchor institution information (CAI) subcontractors identified hospitals, health depts, K-12 and higher institution, libraries are CAIs. Our team developed focused surveys for each time of institution and delivered the Commonwealth. Unfortunately, self-reporting of surveys only resulted in a very limited reclear that we will have to develop other methods and identify other resources for obtaining Commonwealth. 10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses a lt should be noted that technical activities for the mapping portion project were at a low October data submittal, much of the work done in this quarter was required Commonweexisting contract years and initiating the renewal process for 2011. In addition, our Commonwing administrative and start-up activities resulting from the supplemental grant award in Sepsignificantly reduced the number of working days available in this quarter.	rd to provider compliance, one of the The Commonwealth working with dolocal municipal facilities as our notes through institutional leaders are esponse. With limited personnel reing information from our CAIs around a Broadband Mapping Project ebb in the Q4 2010. After submissionalth administrative functions for classical and the commonwealth resources have been for the CAI and the CAI an	he significant our nost critical ound the esources, it is nd the ion of the osing out ocused on
 11. Broadband Planning 11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the adescription of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status. 		ude a
Project planning for activities outside of mapping is currently ongoing. In fact, most of th revolved around initial development of our broadband office, identifying and meeting wit developing the project plan for the NTIA, due in February 2011.	e Commonwealth focus this quarte	
11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation	on strategies the project team is employ	ying
N/A		
11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning?	Yes No	hou oon
11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve be implemented	e changes to the Project Plan before the	ney can
N/A		
Funding		
11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$0	11f. How much Remains?	\$0
11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$0	11h. How much Remains?	\$0

\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	·	·
\$0			\$0	\$0
	\$0			**
		\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
0	0	0	0	0
	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Additional Planning Information

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

No

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

n/a

11I. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

n/a

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.				
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)			
Steve Landers	(died eede, nameer, and extension,			
	12d. Email Address			
	steve.landers@ky.gov			
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)			
Submitted Electronically	01-28-2011			