11/20/09

# Travel:

Request: We are unable to accept the current budget item for the Broadmap/One Economy Quarterly Meeting.

Broadmap is headquartered in Richmond, VA. In general, we would not find an overnight trip from Richmond to DC. As I understand from your conversation, there are 5 people travelling from Broadmap. Please confirm this. Under this expectation, we will fund the transportation and food costs for 5 people for 4 meetings per year. The transportation cost should not exceed \$60/pp. The per diem for food is \$71/pp. For five people, this is a total cost of \$2,620/year. 1/7<sup>th</sup> of that cost (assuming the cost is divided among 5 people) is \$374. Accordingly, we will reduce your budget by the current requested amount for that line item (\$1286) to (\$374), a difference of \$912. The current travel budget in Yr 1 is \$12,686. We will reduce that by \$912 to \$11,774. The current travel budget in Yr 2 is \$14,586. We will reduce that by \$912 to \$13,674. The total two year approved travel is now \$25,448.

## Response:

The travel was a shared expense for representatives from Broadmap to provide quarterly updates over a five day period. The layout of the expense may have caused some confusion. Nonetheless, we find the change in the budget acceptable.

# Planning:

Request: The Regional Staffer will be travelling from a home base of Portland, OR to town meetings around the state. This is estimated to cost \$1,000 per month for eight months for a total of \$8,000 for Year 1.

For the \$1,000 in planning. Please provide a calculation showing how you reached the \$1,000 estimate per month. Please provide this by tomorrow COB.

#### Response:

One Economy local staff will be running the townhall meetings. They will be visiting 2 quadrants of the state per month—sometimes by car sometimes by plane—to conduct townhall meetings. These trips will last three days. \$5000 will go toward townhall meetings to support the local staff and Digital Connectors to run townhall meeting. \$3000 will go to a local Digital Connector trainer who will be travelling to plan for the camps. One Economy will make 10 trips in 8 months at \$500 per trip. Any additional travel needed will be a match by One Economy.

# Mapping:

Request: BroadMap has detailed its travel budget in the accompany spreadsheet. Expenses, shown below, are broken down into airfare/rail, hotel, transportation and meal per diem. BroadMap plans a minimum of six trips to Oregon. Current airfare/rail and hotel rates were utilized to determine costs for this section. Where possible, BroadMap has incorporated trips to multiple partners to decrease the overall expense of the flights/rail.

For the Broadmap trips to Oregon, please provide a description of who will attend and what will be accomplished during each trip. For example, many contractors take trips to a state to do on-the-ground verification of infrastructure and to work directly with providers. Additionally, please explain why the same number of trips are required in the second year as in the first year.

Please provide this by tomorrow COB.

## Response:

- 1. Project Planning Meetings
  - a. <u>Attendees</u>: Director of Program Management, Sr. Director of Product Design and Development, Director, Partner Marketing and Communications.
  - b. <u>Frequency</u>: Every six months at the beginning of a development / update cycle prior to the required NTIA deliverable of broadband mapping for that 6 month period
  - c. <u>Purpose</u>: This is the final face-to-face project planning meeting that will drive the development and updates for that particular NTIA deliverable (NTIA requires updates to be delivered every 6 months) so that all work can be agreed to and specified in the Statement of Work. On going project planning meetings will be conducted via phone and webinar prior to the face-to-face project planning meeting.

#### 2. Carrier Meeting

- a. Attendees: Data Sourcing Specialist
- b. <u>Frequency</u>: Once per year at the beginning of a development / update cycle of that contract year.
- c. <u>Purpose</u>: This is the face-to-face project planning meeting with selected major or regional carriers (service providers) to ensure that they understand our requirements in terms of what content they will deliver to support broadband mapping. The initial face-to-face is important to cement relationship and build trust for on going relationship. The 2<sup>nd</sup> year meeting to discuss any potential requirements, specification changes, and changes in SLA.

#### 3. In field verification

- a. <u>Attendees</u>: Quality Control Manager, Mapping and Support Technician
- b. <u>Frequency</u>: Every six months towards the end of a development / update cycle prior to the required NTIA deliverable of broadband mapping for that 6 month period
- c. <u>Purpose</u>: This is the in-field verification process which is a part of the overall quality assurance program built in for each deliverable.

# 4. Deployment Meetings

- a. <u>Attendees</u>: Senior Web Application Engineer, Senior Director, GIS Mapping and Support
- b. <u>Frequency</u>: Once per year associated with the initial deliverable for that contract year.
- c. <u>Purpose</u>: This is a deployment and engineering support meeting per request of the state. Engineers will be on site to provide support and Q&A with regards to product deliverables and all necessary tools and applications that the state will need to deploy and or install in their environment. The Initial deployment meeting is critical to ensure smooth transition of products and tools to the state. The subsequent annual meeting is to provide similar onsite support should there be specification changes and/or updates of tools and applications that will need to be upgraded at the state facility.

# **Planning**

Thank you for the email clarifying the role of Chris Tamarin. At this point, we are comfortable with the general plan for the planning funds, but still require additional details on the specifics. We will place a Special Award Condition on this grant stating that we will need additional information before releasing these funds. Here is a list of questions that we, at a minimum, required to be answered before we will be able to release funds.

# Request: Digital Connector Program:

- Please discuss why each Digital Connector will receive an iPod Touch.
  What is the specific use for this product? What is the specific data
  aggregation software that will be utilized? If Digital Connectors are
  aggregating data from town hall meetings, how will the iPod Touch be
  useful?
- Please provide a discussion about the role of the Digital Connectors in collecting and aggregating data. Will they be supervised? What type of collection and analysis will they do? How many expected hours of time will

- they work on this project between May and August 2010 (the time allocated to training and data aggregation in the timeline)?
- How will Digital Connectors attend camp if travel is not included for them. Will they be expected to pay for this cost themselves?
- Has One Economy had experiences creating a one-week camp of this sort before?
- Will all Digital Connectors be trained at the same time?
- Will there be any follow-up with these youth after August 2010? If so, where is this allocated for in the budget.
- How will Digital Connectors be selected?
- How many local meetings will be held? Where will local meetings be held? What type of outreach will be conducted in the local community?
- Please provide additional budget narrative for the training camp costs.
   Please provide additional information about the curriculum line item. Why is the curriculum \$50/youth? Will youth take home any material? (etc.)
- Barring lack of interest, please confirm that you will select at least several Digital Connectors who live on tribal lands.

# Final Report to the Oregon PUC:

- In addition to the contractual report writer, which One Economy Staff will, under Chris' direction, be responsible for the report writing and production?
- How will production of the report be paid for?
- How will the report be publicized?

Response: We will respond to these questions plus any additional questions required to be answered before release funds in a timely fashion.

Request: As we discussed today, we also require information about InfoUSA. Are they providing their services free of charge or is there a subcontract to use them? If so, what is the cost? <u>Please provide this</u> information by COB Friday.

## Response:

infoUSA is a \$600 million public company with more than 4,000 employees and 75 offices worldwide. infoUSA is the leading compiler of several proprietary databases. These databases capture detailed information on a majority of the businesses in the US, Canada, and the UK. The processes to build these databases are performed by infoUSA and not outsourced to third parties. The sourcing, compiling, verification, updating and custom delivery technologies for these databases are leading edge. infoUSA invests \$50 million annually to compile and maintain its databases. infoUSA is dedicated to delivering the highest quality information resources.

infoUSA is the leading source of data to Internet Search, 411 and Mapping/Navigation Solutions. Companies including Google, Verizon, Denso and ESRI rely on the infoUSA database to power their solutions. Billions of transactions occur on the infoUSA database every month.

infoUSA's US business database includes data from 6,400 phone directories as well as 340 continuous feeds of proprietary and public sources including secretary of state filings, county records, trade directories, annual reports, SEC filings and daily utility transactions.

Businesses are constantly changing. As a result, the database must be continually maintained to keep the information up-to-date. Proprietary data compilation software allows data entry clerks to access the database and update, change or verify each record at a rate of two million records per month. infoUSA added 2 million brand new businesses and deleted 1.6 million confirmed "out-of-business" deletes. Almost 50% of existing records were enhanced in some way (added a suite number, updated employee size or a new URL, etc.).

The business records are telephone verified to confirm the information and gather additional levels of information. In 2008, infoUSA made <u>24 million</u> <u>verification calls</u> to update and collect business information. infoUSA is the only data compiler that telephone verifies each business. The records are also processed through National Change of Address (from US Postal Service) and Delivery Sequence File to standardize addresses and assure maximum accuracy of the business address.

The business database contains more than 14 million records in the US. Business data elements include: anchor institutions, business size (Small, medium and large sized businesses), business name, street address, city, state,

zip codes, phone number, primary business activity (yellow page heading, SIC, NAICS codes), product brands sold, franchise and professional specialties, web address, broadband availability and usage and more.

The cost of the InfoUSA data is listed in the Oregon NTIA response spreadsheet, under the Data Sources tab. It is listed as "Anchor Institution and Business Listing Data & Survey Data. The cost for Oregon is \$19,500.00

Request: Additionally, we require a revision to the process delineated for CAI outreach such that you will include collaboration with state-level agencies who will be able to direct you to the correct person to answer the CAI required reporting elements. This should alleviate the problem of both trust (3<sup>rd</sup> party calling and asking for potentially sensitive information without forewarning) and efficiency (ensure that any state databases that exist can be utilized or ensure that there is not a more efficient way of contacting schools, libraries, fire stations etc for this information,). Please provide this updated information by COB Monday.

# Response:

The newly formed Oregon Broadband Council (OBC) will take on many of the duties of the former Oregon Telecommunications Coordinating Council (ORTCC). The ORTCC staff person was Chris Tamarin who is the new staff person to the (OBC). The ORTCC had connections to most of the anchor institutions in Oregon. Leveraging these connections, Oregon team members from OPUC, Oregon Business Development Department, and DAS/ESPDD will make initial contact with the anchor institutions either directly or through contacts with the former ORTCC to assist One Economy/Broadmap in selecting the appropriate contact person. Additionally, this initial contact from the State of Oregon should alleviate confusion or concerns regarding One Economy/BroadMaps contact with these institutions. Additionally, a letter will be crafted from the State team which will provide State contact information should an institution have follow-up questions or concerns.

Current Budget	Combined		$\cdot$
	In-Kind	Fed	Total
Personnel	331789	1363270	1695059
Hadware	0	75700	75700 161,400.00 Subcontract.
Software	43200	42500	85700 /101 1900.00 3000 000 1111
Fings	17806	31000	48806
Trevel	0	35272	35272 NOTE: Travel has been decreased by \$1824, per the program office.
Cellague Everenall	0	135000	135000 The travel budget here is \$1,824 less than that shown in Applicant's
Construction,	0	0	0 budget.
Mer	71241	333100	404341 "Other" is the same the "data sources" line that is in the Applicant's budget. This line has been reduced from
रिष्टाणिद्वि	464036	2015842	2479878 1,111,650 in in-kind to \$71,241, in order to reduce the match.
ालहा जिल्लाहर	63040	92460	155500
ाठिखा	527076	2108302	2635378 2635378
	20.00%	80.00%	
			Planning
		1609692	Mapping .
		2108302	sum

Applicant only needs a match of 527,076, but currently shows a match of 1,567,485. Of this, 1,111,650 is in in-kind data and 455,835 is in personnel, software, fringe and indirect. For the purposes of meeting the 20% match, we will only currently value the data sets at 71,241, which is the amount required to meet the 20% match requirement.

		lm 1/1m d		Year One		T-4-1		la Kin d	Y	ear Two		7.4.1	ĺ.	17: 1	(	Combined		
Parsonnal	\$	In-Kind 238,073	\$	<b>Grant</b> 1,174,560	\$	<b>Total</b> 1,412,632	\$	93,716	\$	<b>Grant</b> 188,710	\$	<b>Total</b> 282,426	\$	n-Kind 331,788	\$	<b>Grant</b> 1,363,270	\$	<b>Total</b> 1,695,058
Hardware		\$0	\$	75,700	\$	75,700		\$0		\$0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	75,700	\$	75,700
Solivero	\$	21,600	\$	42,500	\$	64,100	\$	21,600		\$0	\$	21,600	\$	43,200	\$	42,500	\$	85,700
Data Sources	\$	555,825	\$	166,550	\$	722,375	\$	555,825	\$	166,550	\$	722,375	\$ 1	,111,650	\$	333,100	\$	1,444,750
Fine		\$0	\$	31,000	\$	31,000	\$	17,806		\$0	\$	17,806	\$	17,806	\$	31,000	\$	48,806
Thavel		\$0	\$	20,686	\$	20,686		\$0	\$	14,586	\$	14,586	\$	-	\$	35,272	\$	35,272
efligge & laterall		\$0	\$	135,000	\$	135,000		\$0		\$0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	135,000	\$	135,000
<b>Construction</b>		\$0		\$0	\$	-		\$0		\$0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
<u>। हा जिल्ल</u> ा	\$	815,498	\$	1,645,996	\$	2,461,493	   \$ 	688,947	\$	369,846	\$	1,058,793	   \$ ^ 	,504,444	\$	2,015,842	\$	3,520,286
ॉर्थ्हो <sup>।</sup>  त्जील्ड	\$	45,234	\$	92,460	\$	137,694	\$	17,806		\$0	\$	17,806	\$	63,040	\$	92,460	\$	155,500
ांग्रह्म।	-3	360,793	3	17/39/456	3	24599)1877 71%	3	706,758	3	369,346	3	<sup>վ</sup> յ <b>07/6/599</b> 29%	35	1.507/484) 43%		250035302 57%	3	3,67/5,783

57% \$ 498,610 Planning

\$ 1,609,692 Mapping

# **Contractor One Economy**

· ·	Ý	eno ne	YOU IAYO	Year Thice	Year Four	Year Five	Total	FUE
न्त्रात(g) <del>=</del>	3	31,000	30	<b>SO</b>	\$0	30	\$31,000	
Sr. Project Manager (25%)	\$	15,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Regional Staff (25%)	\$	12,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Digital Connector Director (8%)	\$	1,600	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Digital Connector Trainers (8%)	\$	2,400	\$0	\$0	. \$0	\$0		
HEIMEI	3	3,000	30	30	36	\$0	33,000	
Elofnilous (=) ef.	3	<b>30,000</b>	30	30	<b>30</b>	30	\$50,000	
Personne	3	195,000	30	30	30	30	3195,000	
Sr. Project Manager	\$	60,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		0.5
Regional Staff	\$	45,000	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0	\$0		0.75
Digital Connector Director	\$	20,000	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0		0.5
5 Digital Connector Trainers	\$	30,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Sr. Data Analyst	\$	32,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Contractual Report Writer	\$	7,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
NEIGHER SHOOTES	3	135(000	30	(3)(8)	30	3(0)	3:135,000	
Digital Connector Uniforms	\$	10,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Curriculum	\$	10,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Training Camps	\$	115,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
দ্বাহেল টাঙ্গাড় (189%)	3	79. <b>3</b> 40	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	30	30	\$79,310	
महामगान् <u>षु मन्त्रभा</u> क्षा	3	493,6 (6)	30	30	30	30	3493510	

Subcontractors BroadMap and Sanborn

Subcontractors Broadwap and Samborn	Estimate	dHours	Year On	୍ ଓଡ଼େଶ	Estimated	<b>Estimated</b>
Personnel FountyRate				Update Year One	Hours	
Project Management & Collaboration (Collaboration					The same of the sa	A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF
Program Vanagar \$	163	111			93	
Feeningel Project Weineger \$	397	301			235	
Quality and Sourcing Data Cathering, Accuracy an	d Verifica	ion)		THE STATE OF THE S		And the late of the second
Database 3 Requirements Engineer \$	412	331			0	
Database Administrator \$	505	412			0	<u>_</u>
Data Sourding (Vanteger \$	472	319			0	
IS Nagying & Customer Support Data Cathering,			s Davelopme	nt Security & Contiden	iality, Repe	ated Data Delivery)
GS Systems and Wagging Engineer \$	419	313		_	188	_
Applications and Tools Engineer \$	372	293	_		179	<u> </u>
Caronicating and Contibution: Baylagar \$	339	279		_	169	_
Sr Quality Control Manager \$	99	65		_	77	_
Deter Apellysi \$	266	212		_	134	_
Deienberg 3 Requirements Engineer \$	0	0			347	<u> </u>
Determination \$	0	0		_	439	<u> </u>
Data Sourcing! Maragar \$	0	0			210	
Product Paston and Pavelopment (Accessibility, A			Data Sharin	Hardware and 3rd Par		Sn(1)20(4)
Senjor Web Designer(s)	805	548	_	1	312	-
<u>Carriconaphie Spacialisi</u>	849	857	_	_	168	-
Data Analys (Si) \$	615	501			239	
Address Pant Desilon			<u> Carabi Norra</u>	<u>,                                     </u>		A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH
Protestan Venteleur	154			<b>L</b>	0	<del> </del> -
(P) rojetoj: Viziaracjeji	392				0	
Sanor Aralya)	495				0	
Thereor yushkar	1660		,	14	0	
Contract P	asomel I	(OEII	3			i.

Sim coas	ളാളങ്ങള ടൂളങ്ങള	
	Data Sources Farelyare Software Traval	
. Magging Proper Tog		

Task	1:01Program≀Management Responsible for Oregon-	20.Data@ollection	3:0:Data:Creation.	ી <b>ા DatalManage</b> menti	
Description FTE	for all aspects of contract/project/program mgmthardware/software systems 0.2	and compilation of statewide spatial data layers, management of address point data collection; provider outreach and engagement. 0.5	Broadband service area data may be delivered to the PUC as the coordination agency within Oregon for the NTIA grant; specific spatial data that would need to be compiled would be broadband service areas 0.05	Significant involvement during the initial delineation of database logical and physical models; coordination of data holdings over duration of mapping project 0.15	0.9
Task	ক টে ট্রান্ত Manipulation	d (d) Reporting	7.0 Application development	30 Cually control	
Description FTE	Expertise and relationship management services; assist in connecting with local address point and broadband data providers 0.2	Reporting and mapping output design and generation are key to satifying NTIA grant requirements and planning support 0.1	Mapping application will require expertise relative to existing server and software environments; input on design and public applications 0.25		0.8
Task	e) (i) Secondiffy	(0.0) Pooregrandi	া ৩ উত্তাধনীয়ালা	120 Raptionship	
	Ensure that vendors deploy		Interface with broadband service providers; contact for statewide reporting activities; Primary interface between project and local data	Primary interface between project and executive stakeholders; contact for statewide reporting activities; interaction with Executive	
Description FTE Task	and manage secure environment for Broadband Service Area data 0.1	Review/oversight for acquisition of vendor and data services 0.15	providers; contact for statewide data- set development and reporting activities 0.35	sponsors (Governor's Office, Supplemental interface with executive stakeholders 0.25	0.85

	<u>Year 1</u>		<u>Year 2</u>		Blended Annual Rate	e \$	116,417
10 Program Maragarant	0.1		0.1			\$	331,788
20 Date Collegion	0.4		0.1				
3.0 Detel Creetton	0.05		0				
4.0 Dete Venecement	0.15		0				
o Det Venjoueion	0.15		0.05				
a o Reponting	0.07		0.03				
inemicalexelo monisology (0.7	0.2		0.05				
<b>ે.</b> છે બહાલિયું ભાવાલા	0.15		0.1				
9.0 Security	0.05		0.05				
100 Processor	0.15						
1 1 0 Coonstation	0.2		0.15				
120 Restonship	0.125		0.125				
13.0 Regenegement	0.05		0.05				
KIO OMIGEGI	0.2		0				
	2.045		0.805				
	\$ 23	8,073 \$		93,716	\$	331,788	
		Indi	rect %			19%	
			rect Expense		\$	63,040	
			al Annual In-Kind Persor	nnel Match	\$	394,828	
					and the second s		

		In-Kind		Year One Grant		Total		In-Kind	Y	ear Two Grant		Total		ln-Kind		Combined Grant		Total
Personnel	\$	238,073	\$	1,259,560	\$	1,497,632	\$	93,716	\$	285,546	\$	379,262	\$	331,788	\$	1,545,106	\$	1,876,894
Henowere		\$0	\$	25,700	\$	25,700		\$0		\$0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	25,700	\$	25,700
Soliwano	\$	21,600	\$	42,500	\$	64,100	\$	21,600		\$0	\$	21,600	\$	43,200	\$	42,500	\$	85,700
Data Sources	\$	555,825	\$	166,550	\$	722,375	\$	555,825	\$	166,550	\$	722,375	\$ ^	,111,650	\$	333,100	\$	1,444,750
(नेतिहा <u>)</u>		\$0	\$	24,750	\$	24,750	\$	17,806		64,914	\$	22,720	\$	17,806	\$	29,664	\$	47,470
Javel		\$0	\$	25,186	\$	25,186		\$0	\$	14,586	\$	14,586	\$	-	\$	39,772	\$	39,772
Material & Supplies		\$0		\$0	\$	-		\$0		\$0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Construction		\$0		\$0	\$	-		\$0		\$0	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Fortiblicat	\$	815,498	\$	1,544,246	\$	2,359,743	\$	688,947	\$	471,596	\$	ا 1,160,543	\$ 1	,504,444	\$ 2	2,015,842	\$	3,520,286
ार इंग्री <b>प्रियम्</b>	\$	45,234	\$	73,128	\$	118,362	\$	17,806	\$	19,332	\$	37,138	\$	63,040	\$	92,460	\$	155,500
ित्	3	360,732	S	1,317,374	3	<b>2478/105</b> 67%	3	7/06/7/58	<b> </b>  \$	490,928	9	11.19 <b>7/681</b> 33%	81	43%	SZ	2 <del>1</del> 108-3024 57%	8	3,375,783

\$ 498,610 Planning

\$ 1,609,692 Mapping

# **Contractor One Economy**

(ইন্মিট্র)		en One	YearTwo	Year Three	Year Four	Year Five	િલિકો	FIE
Sr. Project Manager (25%) Regional Staff (25%) Digital Connector Director (8%) Digital Connector Trainers (8%)	\$ \$ \$ \$	34 000 15,000 12,000 1,600 2,400	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$31.000	
(France)	3	3000	<u>(30</u> )	<b>S</b> 0	30	-30	38,000	
हर्नार्थियः इंद्रिशिविष्यः इंद्रिशिविष्यः	3	<b>50</b> (000)	30	<b>90</b>	<b>∂</b> €	S0	350,000	
Personnel Sr. Project Manager Regional Staff Digital Connector Director 5 Digital Connector Trainers Sr. Data Analyst Contractual Report Writer	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	195,000 60,000 45,000 20,000 30,000 32,500 7,500	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	<b>第195,000</b>	0.5 0.75 0.5
Material ক্রে Sugalles Digital Connector Uniforms Curriculum Training Camps	\$ \$	10,000 10,000 10,000 115,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 - \$0 \$0 \$0	\$135,000	
Indices Coss (19%) Penning Project Rolei	(F)	79,640 4 <b>93,640</b>	S0 30	\$0 80	\$0 30	\$0 30	379,310 3493,310	

# Subcontractors BroadMap and Sanborn

Poscinie   Houry Rate   Houry Rate   Hours   Wear One Costs   Estimated   Hours   Recommendation   Hours   Wear One   Shours   Wear One   Wear On	D. Guamap and Ganbor	••	Fetimat	Zaniawani.		one l		_	
Program Manager   S	Pasonnal	ioniverate	100 200 200		CONTROL OF THE SAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH	(B) 388472-Gentleries - 11 . Store	Estimated		隊Estimated機
Section   Sect	Project Management & Collaboration (	JOHADORATION	2000年度後	Mopuale	www.mittalage.copdate	∰Year One			
Teachical Project Manager   \$ 397 301   235	1 Jugian Availage	\$	163						
Database & Requirements  Engineer   \$   412   331   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	Rechargal Project Manager	\$	207	204	+	4			
Database 3 Requirements Engineer   \$   412   331   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	Quality and Sourcing (Data Cathering).	Accuracy	Verifica	100)		West.			
Data Sourcing Manager \$ 505 412  Data Sourcing Manager \$ 472 319  GIS Appling & Costomer Support Data Sathering, Application & Tool:  GIS Systems and Mapping Engineer \$ 419 313  Applications and Tools Engineer \$ 372 293  Geo-coding and Contration Engineer \$ 339 279  Singular Control Manager \$ 99 65  Data Analyst \$ 266 212  Database & Regularments Engineer \$ 0 0  D	Database & Requirements Engineer	\$							
Bala Sourcing Wanager   \$ 472 319   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	Database Administrator	\$			-	4	-	_	
GIS Systems and Mappingt Engineer \$ 419 313   188   188   293   179   169   169   177   179   16	DEIS Sourding Meinelder	\$	472	210	<del> -</del>	4-			
Applications and Teols Engineer   \$   419   313     Applications and Teols Engineer   \$   372   293     Sir Quality Control Warager   \$   339   279     Data Analyst   \$   266   212     Database & Requirements Engineer   \$   0   0     Data Sourcing Manager   \$   0   0     Data Sourcing Manager   \$   0   0     Product Design and Development (Accessibility, Architectural Design, Santor Web/Designer(s)   \$   805   548     Data Analyst   \$   849   857     Data Analyst   \$   615   501     Address Point E-carton   Program Manager   154     Project Wanager   392     Sentor Analyst   495     Jentor Analyst   495     Jentor Analyst   1660	<b>த்த</b> இறற்கு இதன்ற இருற்ற இர	e Cathering.	Nooffeette	m 8 1 m		i Gr		MATES STATE	
Applications and Tools Engineer   \$   372   293   179   179   179   169   179   169   179   169   179   169   179   169   179   169   179   169   179   169   179   169   179   169   179   169   179   169   179   169   179   169   170   17	Olomovsiems and wapping Engineer	\$	419			9.		at e	
Second   S	Applications and Hook Engineer	\$			<del>-</del>	-		_	
Sir Cyclify Control Vanager   \$ 99 65   77   77   78   78   79   77   78   77   78   78	Carroning and Contiguon Engineer	\$			<del> -</del>	<del> </del>			
Database & Requirements Engineer \$ 0 0 0 Database & Requirements Engineer \$ 0 0 0 Database & Commission & C	Si Quality Control Vanager	\$	99			<del> </del> -		_	
Database 3: Recultements Engineer   \$ 0 0 0   347   347   348   348   349		\$	266			<del>  -</del>		-	
Delta Sourcing Manager   \$ 0 0 0   210	Database & Requirements Engineer	\$	0			-			
Product Design and Development (Accessibility, Architectural Design, Senior-Web Designer(s)) \$ 805 548 Cartespaphic Specialist \$ 849 857 168 168 Analysi(s) \$ 615 501 239 Program Manager 154 Project Manager 392 392 Senior Analysi 495 1660	Database Administrator	\$	0	0				-	
Senior-Web/Designer(s) \$ 805 548 Cartosraphic Specialist \$ 849 857 Data-Analysi(s) \$ 615 501  Program Manager \$ 154 Proger Manager \$ 392 Senior Analysi \$ 495 Denior Analysi \$ 1660	regio zoniale inpublica.	\$	0	0		-		_	
Senior Web Designer(s)   \$ 805 548   312   312	Product Design and Development (Acc	sability, Are	filtectura	Design.	Till the state of	an		320	
September   Specialist   September   Sep	Seriloravveo Designer(s)	\$		and the same of th	332	342	- MARINE A CANCELLE STATE AND A SECTION AS	SU I	
Address Point Exertion  Program Mareger  154  Project Maneger  392  Sentor Analyst  0  1660		\$	849	857					
Project   Point Station   Project   154		\$	615	501		_			
Project Varietger   392   0			p3.42 to 1 1		Property.		239		
392 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			154			2.17	0		
495 Durior Analyst 0			392				<u> </u>		
1660			495						
Contract(Personnel Total)	أر و مصورة المستخدم ا					<u> </u>			
		ContractPar	omelia	(E)		T §	أحسنها	7	- 8
						b	Received the second of the least of the leas	<u></u>	لعق 🔀 🕰

Other Grain	©pa∉ung Expansa [	
	Data Sources Hardware	
	Soliwara Travel	
	Johl Color of the	
<u>भूषाविभूषके ५००</u>		

Task	10 Pogram Management Responsible for Oregon- contributed basemap data,	20 Data Collection	3x0xDatax@reation	410 Patal Management	
Description FTE Task	projection system; and access to state; and Contract holder, responsible for all aspects of contract/project/program mgmthardware/software systems 0.2	Responsible for accumulation and compilation of statewide spatial data layers, management of address point data collection; provider outreach and engagement.	delivered to the PUC as the coordination agency within Oregon for the NTIA grant; specific spatial data that would need to be compiled would be broadband service areas 0.05	Significant involvement during the initial delineation of database logical and physical models; coordination of data holdings over duration of mapping project 0.15	0.9
	S C CASCE WELL MENTINERS ON	<b>ী</b> প্ৰিপ্তান্যান্ত্	7.0 Application (axeloginant	30 ભારામું જીવાના	
Description FTE Task	Expertise and relationship management services; assist in connecting with local address point and broadband data providers 0.2	requirements and planning support 0.1	Mapping application will require expertise relative to existing server and software environments, input on design and public applications 0.25	Review/oversight for all project deliverables including address/broadband data developed and public reporting 0.25	0.8
Idak	90 Security	100 Polician	14.0 Coordination	120 ইপ্রায়েত্রনাত	0.0
Description FTE Task	Service Area data	Review/oversight for acquisition of vendor and data services	reporting activities; Primary interface between project and local data providers; contact for statewide data- set development and reporting activities	Primary interface between project and executive stakeholders; contact for statewide reporting activities; interaction with Executive sponsors (Governor's Office, Supplemental interface with executive stakeholders 0.25	0.85
Description	Owner of risk management for project and identification and mitigation of project	Assist in outreach and communication activities especially directed toward broadband service data providers			

n	3
v	٠.

							2.85
4.6.5	Year 1		<u>Year 2</u>		Blended Annual Rate	\$	116,417
10 Program Maraggarant	0.1		0.1			\$	331,788
20 Data Collegion	0.4		0.1			Ψ	001,700
3.0 Data Creation	0.05		0				
4.0 Dee Veregement	0.15		0				
50 Dae Vengulation	0.15		0.05				
d o Repoiling	0.07		0.03				
inempolexelomentes (0.5)	0.2		0.05				
3.0 Quality control	0.15		0.1				
9.0 Security	0.05		0.05				
अवाजनाड्याण्डल (०) (०)	0.15						
110 Coordination	0.2		0.15				
াই ৩ মিএইটোতনর্ভনীত	0.125		0.125				
13.0 Rekinenceganant	0.05		0.05				
1410 Outrecon	0.2		0				
	2.045		0.805		ı		
	\$	238,073 \$		93,716	\$ 3	31,788	
		In	direct %			19%	
		In	direct Expense		\$	63,040	
			otal Annual In-Kind Personn	el Match	<u> </u>	94,828	
					,	U 1,ULU	

# **Contractor One Economy**

Sr. Project Manager (22%) Regional Staff (22%)	Year @no \$ 24,750 \$ 14,850 \$ 9,900	<b>Year Two</b> \$ 4\$9\$2\$ \$ 4,914 \$0	Year Three \$0 \$0 \$0	Year Four \$0 \$0 \$0		ॉन्स्टा (५७४२)(तक्य	:PQ3
्रिह्x(⊖।	\$ 12,500	\$0	- 800	80	- 30	\$1 <b>2,500</b>	
Equipage 6	<b>S0</b>	€0	<b>30</b>	\$ <b>0</b>	S0	<b>30</b>	
Personner Sr. Project Manager Regional Staff	\$ 152,500 \$ 67,500 \$ 45,000	\$ 222,336 \$22,336 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	<b>\$0</b> \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$134 <b>,336</b>	yr one 0.75; yr two .25 yr one 0.75
<b>গটভোলা এটো ইয়াকুচান্তি</b>	<b>30</b>	\$ <b>0</b> -	<b>30</b>	\$0	§30)	<b>30</b> )	,
Suppose Survey Development Survey Collection Data Analysis Report / Blueprint Creation	\$20,000 \$20,000 \$120,000 \$20,000 \$7,500	\$10,000 \$10,000 \$52,000 \$5,000 \$7,500	\$30	<b>30</b>	\$39	9242,000:	
nother Costs (189%)	3 30,273	3 19,332	<b>30</b>	<b>\$0</b>	80	3794310	
। শহানানতে শতাহান্ত নিতাহান	B 3777 328	3 124 <b>0:2</b> §		\$	\$ ⇒ .	3493,610	

December 9, 2009 Oregon Planning Budget

#### OKEOGN BROADBAND PLANNING PROJECT

#### **SUMMARY**

Broadband is an engine of economic growth. The telecommunications infrastructure of a state, county, city or town determines its attractiveness to businesses, institutions and residents and the type of industrial and commercial economic activity it can support. Competitive high-speed access to the Internet and broadband telecommunications networks is essential for Oregon's institutions, businesses and individuals.

The Public Utility Commission of Oregon (PUC) has contracted with One Economy to implement a comprehensive discovery process on the adoption and utilization of broadband service within Oregon. While it is known that a digital divide exists in Oregon, that divide cannot be successfully addressed without understanding the underlying conditions that prevent or deter Oregonians from accessing broadband service and utilizing the array of applications it offers from which they can benefit. Oregon has a broadband infrastructure and service availability that reflects prior economic factors and current market dynamics; this means broadband exists where high demand is concentrated or subsidies have been available. It is critical for the State to understand those conditions or options that will enable or encourage more institutions, businesses and individuals to access and use broadband, and that will support the expansion of broadband providers' serving areas.

In order to enable or encourage the availability and use of broadband the Planning Project must first identify the constraints that prevent its wider coverage and use. This insight will be accomplished through community and stakeholder engagement, structured surveys, and utilization of data collection and mapping results. Once the constraints that prevent or deter access to or the use of broadband are known and understood strategic methods to overcome or remove these constraints will be developed and may include aggregation of user demand, engagement of providers, coordination of state, county and municipal policies, and providing actionable information to entrepreneurs. These objectives align with the purposes described in the Broadband Data Improvement Act.

#### **NARRATIVE**

The Oregon Broadband Planning Project is comprised of several activities that when combine will provide a baseline assessment of key statistics regarding the adoption and use of broadband state-wide and those of subgroups, and a comprehensive Broadband Plan to increase broadband adoption and resolve conflicting priorities in underserved and unserved communities.

One Economy through its subcontractor, Opinion Research Corporation (ORC), will develop a survey appropriate to the task of identifying the underlying reasons or conditions that affect the use of broadband services. The review of other surveys designed to assess broadband use, such as the FCC's and the City of Portland's recent surveys will assist in this development. ORC will conduct the state-wide telephone survey using sample sizes that are large enough to provide adequate reliability at both the statewide and sub-geographic level and provide oversampling of populations that underutilize broadband and are underserved by broadband carriers.

#### ON EOGN BROADBAND PLANNING PROJECT

One Economy will work with key community stakeholders utilizing a town hall format across the state to gather anecdotal information that can be used to directly inform the Project and to assist with survey design. The newly formed Oregon Broadband Advisory Council (OBAC) will also provide One Economy with input. It is important that the survey accurately capture the responses from low-income, minority, and rural populations. One Economy, in drawing upon 10 years of broadband adoption and community engagement work, has found that local engagement is critical to the effective creation and implementation of surveys to ensure full representation of the various populations of the State. Additionally, One Economy will be able to obtain valuable, in-person anecdotal information from meetings with community stakeholders. Lastly, engaging communities—particularly Indian tribes, rural, and low-income communities early will likely encourage participation in the survey.

One Economy will combine the survey results with the supply-side view of broadband deployment and service availability and augment the results with stakeholder input solicited at town hall meetings.

These stakeholders include but are not limited to:

- Community Anchor Institutions
- Community-Based Organizations
- Seniors
- Business Sectors
- Underserved populations, i.e., minorities, persons with disabilities, low income, seniors
- Telecommunications service providers (telephony and broadband)
- Potential investors for broadband infrastructure/services and economic development

One Economy will use this combined information to create a Broadband Planning Report that details the Oregon broadband environment in terms of access, adoption, and use including certain demographic and economic factors that could play an important role in solution creation. The Report will include an evaluation section which draws out conclusions and a recommendation section that discusses options to address each area concluded to be of concern. One Economy, the PUC and the OBAC will develop a coordinated Broadband Plan for Oregon using these conclusions and recommendations. The survey results and the Broadband Planning Report will also help inform the OBAC who will prepare a broadband report for the Oregon Legislature.

One Economy will also perform additional functions in specific areas under the Planning Project as follows:

- Demand Stimulation: Identify the level of interest in access to broadband in unserved areas and assess the different approaches that may be employed to stimulate demand to a level that would economically support some form of broadband deployment in those areas;
- Computer ownership and access programs: Establish an affordable hardware acquisition program for those that have access to broadband but do not have the equipment

#### OKEOGN BROADBAND PLANNING PROJECT

to utilize it and form a partnership with its computer affiliates to assist those stakeholders interested in building community outreach programs for pc ownership and pc training;

- Small Business Adoption: Collect demand-side data on the adoption and utilization of broadband by small businesses to assess barriers to adoption and identify unmet needs;
- Local Community Engagement: Engage local governments, tribes, educators, economic development organizations, health care, library and public safety entities to evaluate the recommendations from the Broadband Plan and assist with any issues identified with implementing a recommendation;
- Broadband Opportunities Coalition which consists of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, League of United Latin American Citizens, National Urban League, National Council of La Raza, and the Asian American Justice Center: Request volunteer resources and access to underserved communities to ensure that all are engaged and;
- Service Provider Engagement: Engage public and private sector broadband providers in identifying broadband issues and formulated solutions.

An additional survey will be designed and generated to answer research questions developed from the initial survey. One Economy will work directly with the stakeholder point of contacts provided by the state, including the OBAC, to determine the focus of this second survey. Additional community outreach through town-hall meetings may be required in communities identified with significant broadband challenges. One Economy will prepare a secondary Broadband Planning Report of the findings from the follow-up survey.

#### **BUDGET NARRATIVE**

1. Contractors: One Economy

a. Personnel Salaries: \$134,836

The One Economy Senior Project Manager will manage the data analysis and the generation of the reports at \$67,500 from three-quarters of full time employment (.75FTE) of \$90,000 for the first year and at \$22,336 at .25 FTE for the second year.

The One Economy Regional Staff person will lead the community outreach at \$45,000 from three-quarters of full time employment (.75FTE) of \$60,000 for the first year.

b. Fringe Benefits: \$29,664

The Senior Project Manager and the Regional Staffer are each allotted 22% of their salary as fringe pay, \$14,850 and \$9,900 respectively for the first year and \$4,914 for the Senior Project Manager for the second year.

These two combined equal fringe benefits of \$29,664.

#### ONGOGN BROADBAND PLANNING PROJECT

c. Travel: \$12,500

The Regional Staff person and, to a lesser extent, the Senior PM (based in Portland, OR) will be traveling to town hall meetings around the state to engage community stakeholders. Travel costs are estimated with more travel occurring in the first, second and fourth quarters of the first year. No travel is planned for year two.

d. <u>Broadband Planning Reports</u>: \$12,000 \$7,500 in year one \$4,500 in year two

- e. Construction or Materials: None
- f. <u>Indirect</u>: \$79,610 \$60,278 year one \$19,332 year two

The direct One Economy costs above and those below for ORC total \$419,000. One Economy's indirect rate is 19%. This is the calculation of the overhead costs associated with this project, which includes the time of One Economy's senior management team, rent, financial reporting and administrative costs, basic office supplies, and general work expenses.

Adding the Direct costs of One Economy and ORC and One Economy's Indirect costs totals \$498,610.

- 2. Subcontractor: Opinion Research Corporation
  - a. Survey development: \$30,635
     \$20,635 in year one for the initial survey
     \$10,000 for the follow-up survey in year two
  - b. <u>Data Collection</u>: \$162,000
    \$110,000 in year one for the initial survey
    \$52,000 in year two for the follow-up survey
  - c. <u>Data Analysis</u>: \$29,865
    \$24,865 in year one for initial survey
    \$5,000 in year two for follow-up survey
  - d. <u>Key Findings</u>: \$7,500\$4,500 in year one for initial survey\$3,000 in year two for follow-up survey
- 3. In-Kind Match
  - a. Personnel: \$125,730

\$90,526 in year one \$35,204 in year two

## APPLICANT CAPACITY, KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE

## 1. Opinion Research Corporation

Founded in 1938, ORC is a pioneer in market research science and a leader in cutting-edge research solutions. ORC conducts full-service custom research studies for its client companies and organizations, using the results to guide leadership teams in making informed business decisions based on in-depth insights into customer's attitudes about their organization's product and service offerings. ORC advises senior level executives in both public and private sectors in the areas of corporate reputation and branding, customer strategies, market development, employee research and public policy. ORC is a founding member of the Council of American Survey Research Organizations (CASRO) and is the CNN Polling Partner.

EXPERIENCE: ORC has extensive experience conducting large-scale telephone surveys. In addition, ORC has extensive experience in designing surveys, writing questionnaires, analysis, and reporting. The sample projects below represent studies where ORC was heavily involved as a consultant for all aspects of survey design, implementation, analysis, and reporting. Some unique capabilities ORC brings to the table that are relevant to this specific project include:

CONDUCTING AND MANAGING LARGE SCALE TELEPHONE SURVEYS: ORC maintains two call centers (in Reno, NV and Papillion, NE). ORC is an industry leader in designing and conducting telephone surveys and conducts more than 750,000 telephone surveys each year. Telephone surveys continue to represent more than 60 percent of the interviews we do annually. We have completed surveys with samples as small as 100 completed surveys to more than 12,000.

EXPERIENCE SURVEYING DIVERSE COMMUNITIES: ORC has extensive experience surveying diverse populations and communities. We have worked with several community health centers including CHC of Snohomish County, CHAS, YVFWC and CBHA, and with Community Health Plan in Washington, conducting surveys in several languages. Between 2000 and 2008, ORC conducted Community Health Plan's member survey, working with the Plan to survey the under-insured including low-income families, diverse ethnic groups, recent immigrants, and people with disabilities in the state of Washington. For this study, we conducted more than 6,000 surveys each year — of which nearly 2,000 are completed with members who are Hispanic, Chinese, Vietnamese, and Russian. Note this study is not being conducted in 2009 due to budget cutbacks and lack of funding.

Federal Highway Administration Traveler Opinion & Perception Survey: ORC worked with the FHWA to design and conduct a nationwide survey measuring travelers' opinions and perceptions of the performance of the nation's highway transportation system. The 2005 TOP Survey examines traveler opinions and perceptions of the transportation system and built on previous efforts in 1995 and 2000.

#### OLEOGN BROADBAND PLANNING PROJECT

A total of 2,500 surveys were completed nationwide. The sampling plan used a complex, stratified sampling plan, based on census defined regions. An approximately equal number of interviews (n = 600) was completed within each of the four census regions. Moreover, within each region, a minimum number of interviews (n = 25) were completed within each state.

The questionnaire was extremely comprehensive and examined attitudes toward and perceptions of the transportation system, key programs, and perceived value of a quality transportation system. Exploratory research was conducted including an environmental scan and focus groups to assist in the questionnaire design process. The survey averaged 35 minutes in length.

Oregon Progress Board – Oregon Population Survey 2002 – 2008: The OPS is a biennial survey conducted by the Oregon Progress Board to measure the socioeconomic characteristics of Oregonians and collect their opinions on a variety of policy issues. The main objective of this research is to compile a base of current demographic and socio-economic information to assist the Demographic Task Force in accurately assessing the social and economic population characteristics of Oregonians. Funding for the survey is provided by several Oregon government agencies.

For each biennial survey, ORC interviews more than 4,500 Oregonians 18 and older and gathers data on more than 10,000 household members. Supplemental interviews are conducted among key ethnic and racial subgroups to allow for reliable analysis and special reporting. Interviews are conducted in English and Spanish.

# Personnel: Opinion Research

#### A. Project Supervisor

Rebecca Elmore-Yalch - Senior Vice President

Each project is assigned an Officer-in-Charge who acts as the overall Relationship Manager. Rebecca Elmore-Yalch has worked in marketing research and strategic planning for more than 25 years. Before establishing Northwest Research Group in 1985, Rebecca worked on the client side in strategic planning and advertising management and on the supplier side in marketing research and consulting. At that time, she developed one of the first marketing plans for mobile (soon-to-be wireless) telecommunications services in the nation. She has broad-based experience providing action-oriented, strategic marketing research to a diverse cross-section of clients in the public and private sectors. Rebecca is an innovator, developing ORC's proprietary methods, CSMPactor<sup>TM</sup>, CityMARKS<sup>TM</sup>, IDetailing <sup>TM</sup>, and SoundStats<sup>TM</sup>.

Ms. Elmore-Yalch is a respected leader in public policy research, notably in the transportation field. She literally wrote the book on research for the public transportation industry, serving as Principal Investigator on two Transportation Research Board (TRB) projects: Integrating Market Research into Transit Decision-Making and Using Market Segmentation to Increase Transit Ridership. Rebecca has developed and implemented strategic research and public policy studies for transportation agencies nationwide, including the Chicago Transit Authority's ongoing program for customer satisfaction measurement, Oregon Department of Transportation's Mobility Survey, and the Federal Highway Administration's 2005 Traveler

#### ULEOGN BROADBAND PLANNING PROJECT

Opinion and Perception (TOP) Survey. She has also worked extensively with other government agencies and serves as the lead consultant on large, complex studies.

Over the years, Rebecca's work has run the gamut of complex quantitative and qualitative research. Most of Rebecca's work has entailed the application of large-scale telephone surveys and focus groups. More recently, she has begun advancing Internet use for specific applications, notably in the area of conjoint analysis and data warehousing. She remains in the forefront of new methods and technologies and actively seeks out new ways to conduct research. She constantly challenges assumptions and strives to get her clients to think out of the box when it comes to research design and implementation. Rebecca is a trained focus group moderator and specializes in public policy groups.

In addition to her research expertise, Rebecca has been a successful company leader and entrepreneur, mapping the company's strategic direction for 20 years. She has ensured that NWRG and now ORC has been and continues to be an innovator in the industry while ensuring that at all times the company adheres to strict quality and business standards. She is an active member of the Council for American Survey Research Organizations (CASRO), the American Public Transportation Association (APTA), and the Transportation Research Board (TRB). She is one of the founding members of the Puget Sound Research Forum, now one of the largest marketing organizations in the Seattle area. Most recently Rebecca was honored with the 2006 Woman Business Owner of the Year for the Boise Area and Southern Idaho Chapter of the National Association of Women Business Owners (NAWBO).

Rebecca serves as the Officer-in-Charge for most of the company's transportation projects, notably large-scale projects involving telephone survey research, advanced data analysis, and/or mixed method studies involving both qualitative and quantitative research. For this project, Rebecca will provide executive supervision of all project aspects, from design to the final report and presentation.

Rebecca holds a BA in Journalism and Mass Communications with a concentration in advertising management from the University of Minnesota and an MBA with an emphasis in marketing and statistics from the University of Washington. Rebecca also holds a PRC Expert Level Certification from the Marketing Research Association.

# 2. One Economy Corporation:

One Economy was founded in 2000 in Washington DC and currently has 90 full-time employees at 10 offices worldwide: Washington, DC; Atlanta, GA; Chicago, IL; Kansas City, KS; Los Angeles, CA; Winston-Salem, NC; Portland, OR: San Francisco, CA; Amman, Jordan; and Durban, South Africa.

The Oregon office, located in Portland, has served as the west coast operations hub for 7 years. Our Access Services, Digital Content, and Community Outreach programs all emanate from our Portland office. One Economy's mission is to maximize the potential of technology to help underserved people improve their lives and enter the economic mainstream. Aside from having been involved in broadband access and adoption programs

#### CILEOGN BROADBAND PLANNING PROJECT

in the state of Oregon since 2002, One Economy has a track record of delivering programs that result in broadband adoption.

Sony Murray is Senior Vice President, Chief Program Officer and will provide her expertise to the Broadband Planning Project as need.

# One Economy Corporation, Washington, DC

- Manage 40 full time and part time staff that execute and deliver One Economy's field programs in the United States.
- Manage the execution of \$72 million in grants which provide computers, internet and training for low income families nationwide.
- Oversee a national volunteer program which includes 1000+ youth and young adults who bring technology into underserved communities.
- Manage and support 35 Americorps\*VISTA members.
- Responsible for expanding the company's work nationally through creation of business development opportunities and partnership development.
- Assist in the creation of the marketing plans for new products and campaigns.

# **Habitat for Humanity Forsyth County**

# **Chief Operating Officer and Director of Development**

- Managed ten staff members.
- Raised more than \$7.5 million to support the building of more than 100 houses.
- Managed federal and state grants, including reporting.
- Created community partnerships that generated more than 5,000 volunteers annually.
- Responsible for the organization's public relations.
- Responsible for the organization's marketing and communications including the quarterly newsletters.

## Wake Forest University

#### **Director of Annual Support**

- Managed five staff members
- Raised funding for the College, Law and Business Schools totaling \$3.5 million annually.
- Responsible for the directing the university's major gift clubs. Negotiated the university's first affinity program with a national company generating more than \$1.0 million annually in additional resources for university.

**EDUCATION:** MS in Business Administration – Wake Forest University BS in Arts – Wake Forest University

Personnel: One Economy

A. Senior Project Manager

Colby Smith Jackson

#### PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Engagement Officer, One Economy Corporation, Portland, OR, 2010-present

# ONLOGN BROADBAND PLANNING PROJECT

- Work with One Economy's Chief Communication and Strategy Officer and external public relations support to plan, execute and coordinate communications strategy and One Economy programs and campaigns.
- Build and maintain relationships with key government officials, funders and key stakeholders in key areas of interest to the company.
- Pursue funding opportunities to help further the work of One Economy in all program areas.
- Communicate with and assist in yearly strategic planning with Department heads.
- Create sustainability plans for all communities and program areas.
- Assist in finding partners, funding, and synergy for key initiatives derived from planning processes.
- Responsible for exploring new strategic partnerships on the national level.
- Communicate and/or train Community Impact staff about key initiatives or new areas of interest.
- Quality Assurance with staff, projects, contractors in relation to strategic goals and initiatives.
- Engagement with OE Ventures and the pursuit of substantial national partnerships.

**Program Director, Western Regional**, One Economy Corporation, Portland, OR, 2007-2010 Responsible for the daily operational management of activities and functions in a designated program area or city.

- Includes all duties of Program Officer, previously listed.
- Manages and supports the development, implementation and evaluation of all regional program activities.
- Works with the executive staff to successfully monitor and achieve program and grant deliverables.
- Determines program service levels and identifies needed regional improvements.
- Plans and manages the participatory planning processes in the development of new media tools.
- Assists the Vice Presidents with developing, monitoring, and management of program budgets.
- Management of regional grantees and program partners.
- Provides technical advice related to regional community projects to team members and other One Economy teams.
- Strategic planning of all regional technology initiatives
- Works as the communications lead regionally, as well as leading in formation of best practice models for programmatic efforts.
- Task team lead on Forms, Measurement and Evaluation, Community Impact.
- Manages the RFP cross functional process, intake and output for all regional programming
- Stimulus grant program design and partnership creation.
- Manages relationships with local community partners to accomplish goals and objectives.
- Perform complex administrative duties requiring oversight, attention to detail and analysis to achieve set regional goals and objectives.
- Supervises junior-level, volunteers, contractors and interns regionally.

#### **EDUCATION**

B.A., English and Journalism, concentration in Public Relations, Communications Minor, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, June 2001

#### **CERTIFICATIONS**

Neighborworks®Training Institute, Fall 2007-present

Teaching Financial Management

Building Powerful Community Partnerships

Management Leadership and Non-Profit Development

# COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS & BOARD MEMBERSHIPS: present

North/Northeast Portland Business Association: Technology, Marketing and Communications Chair

Portland Housing Center, Vice Chair and President Elect

Bethel Economic Development Commission, Vice Chair

Portland Development Commission: Business district liason, MLK Urban Renewal and OCCURAC

Washington County 10- Year Plan to End Homelessness: Technology & Community Content Advisor

# B. Regional Staffer

#### Kacie Tate

# **Summary of Qualifications:**

A results-oriented professional with a diversity of skills and proven experience in:

- Project Management
- Interpersonal Communication
- Cultural Competency Facilitation
- Skill Assessment
- Motivational Speaking
- Program Implementation
- Fundraising
- Supervising

#### PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:

# Youth Development Specialist (Project Manager): YMCA, Seattle WA. June 2008 - Present

- Supervise five programs and entry level staff to support education and employment services for 100+ high school aged youth and young adults. The programs include High School Credit Retrieval and GED, Career Mentorship, Employment Services, Summer Youth Employment.
- Provide crisis intervention, employment, and academic counseling to our students experiencing hardships.
- Develop and maintaining private sector employer contacts to create employment opportunities to match our students with internships that fit their career goals. Supervise youth during placement.
- Participate as lead staff in annual fundraising campaign.

# OLLOGN BROADBAND PLANNING PROJECT

- Designed and am maintaining our curriculum for our Cultural Competence class to guide all staff on how to clearly communicate with students from a variety of ethnic backgrounds.
- Provide in class instruction to our students in Independent Living, Behavior Modification, Digital Arts, Career and Community Development.

# Area Manager: One Economy Corporation, Seattle, WA. November 2007- January 2009

- Planned and implemented national initiatives in order to bridge the digital divide.
- Recruited and trained 10+ community technology centers, 50+ youth, and 100+ volunteers to capacity build in the Greater Seattle Area.
- Ascertained 30+ sustainable community partnerships and secured ongoing financial support.
- Assisted low income communities with literacy opportunities and resources while providing on going support for capacity building.

# **EDUCATION:**

Bachelor of Arts in Communication, Seattle Pacific University, Seattle, WA. Associate of Arts, Seattle Central Community College, Seattle, WA

# OLCOGN BROADBAND PLANNING PROJECT

# **Activity Timeline**

Project Phase	Deliverable	<b>Due Date</b>
Phase 1 – Local Engagement with	Preliminary inventory of	April 2010
Community	undeserved markets	
Phase 1 – Local Engagement with	Summary data from first round of	May 2010
Community	engagement	
Phase 2 – Survey Development	Survey development	June 2010
Phase 3 – Initial Survey/Data	Survey completion/delivery of	August 2010
Collection	data to OBAC	
Phase 4 – Data Analysis and	Survey data analysis	September 2010
Preliminary Report		
Phase 4 – Data Analysis and	Data layer added to map	February 2011
Preliminary Report		
Phase 4 – Data Analysis and	Report and final policy	March 2011
Preliminary Report	recommendations	
Phase 5 – Local Anchor Institution	Local anchor institutions and	April 2011
and Government Engagement and	government engagement	;
Dissemination	dissemination	
Phase 6 – Data Analysis and	Presentation of Broadband	June 2011
Broadband Planning Follow up report	Planning Report	
Phase 7 – Follow-Up Survey	Creation of follow up survey	July 2011
Phase 7 – Follow-Up Survey	Survey conducted and data	September 2011
	delivered to OBAC	
Phase 7 – Follow-Up Survey	Survey analysis and report	November 2011
	generation	
Phase 8 – Launch of an Affordable	Launch of affordable hardware	December 2011
Hardware Acquisition Program	access program	