					2. Award Or Grant Number 19-50-M09047				
Performance Progress Report						4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 07-02-2012			
1. Recipient Name 6. Designated Entity On Behalf Of: Connected Nation, Inc Iowa State of Iowa							On Behalf Of:		
								9 Report Frequency	
3. Street Address 1020 College Street, P.O. Box 3448,							JOILE	<ul><li>Quarterly</li></ul>	
5. City, State, Zip C		,					Yes  No		Semi Annual
Bowling Green, K									<ul><li>○ Annual</li><li>○ Final</li></ul>
7. Project / Grant Start Date: (MM	Period	7a.	Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	7l	o. eporting Period End Date	<u> </u>	9a. If Other	, please	
01-01-2010	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		-2014	l	i-30-2012		N/A		
10. Broadband	Mapping		10a. Provider Table						
	•								
Number of Providers Identified	Number of Providers Co	ntacted	Number of Agreement Reached for Data Sha		Number of Partial Data Sets Received	Numbe Comple	er of ete Data Sets	Number Data S	er of Sets Verified
0	0		0		0	0		0	
			/IDER DATA by using th						
10d. If so, describe Mechanicsville Tel	the discussions ephone Comp	to date any: T	h any providers that indi- with each of these provi he provider was non- 7, 2012, the provider r	iders resp	s and the current status consive during the A	s pril 2012			NTIA. Multiple contact
Netconnect: The premains non-respo		d not t	o participate during th	e A <sub>l</sub>	pril 2012 mapping c	ycle to N	TIA. As of A	April 30,	2012, the provider
			ovider was non-respor 2012, the provider rer			12 mapp	ing cycle to	NTIA. I	Multiple contact
10e. If you are collecting data through other means (e.g. data extraction, extrapolation, etc), please describe your progress to date and the relevant activities to be undertaken in the future									
No data has been collected through extraction or extrapolation.									
10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement Connect Iowa deployed a new interactive mapping web application, called My ConnectView, on April 2, 2012. The application is housed in a highly available, monitored, and managed environment. New feedback features allow consumers to send comments directly to program geographic information systems (GIS) staff; a screenshot of the user's current interactive map view is also attached to the feedback to provide additional details.									
received from the poverlaid with the buallows for a real-woinquiries are able to broadband but warthey do. If resident	oublic regarding availed to a compariso on provide three of a different provide three of a different provide the a different provide the a different provide to approve the control of the additional control of a provide a different provide a diffe	ng broat lability on of the types rovider on state to ach the to a	e that they are withou he providers within tha	broas s co pe to siden o do at bro	adband inquiries are ollected through the country of the information recorts who do not have on the have broadband, but the broadband, but the broadband,	received State Broceived from broadbadd, but the badband	d across the badband Init om broadbar and but want e broadband inventory m	state, the state of the state o	his information is SBI) program. This ries. Broadband sidents who have ory maps indicate that ow otherwise, this

As of this report, field validation has been completed on 65.52% of the provider universe. Coverage estimation field audits and/or updates were completed on non-participating providers (Internet Solver and RuralWaves) which, by nature of the methodology, is

viable provider universe) has been achieved.	ium oi 60.0	0% of the		
10g. Have you initiated verification activities?  Yes  No 10h. If yes, please describe the status of your activities The new interactive broadband map, My ConnectView, was launched on April 2, 2012 and is publicly available website (http://www.connectiowa.org/interactive-map). The interactive map received a total of 968 visits beto June 30, 2012.				
During this quarter, the project received a total of 10 broadband inquiries. Additional information received thr consumers that can also be used for verification purposes totaled 10.	ough e-mai	l from		
For this reporting period, 231 new and/or supplemental field verification tests were conducted. Thus far, projection with the following providers: Ace Telephone Association; Algona Municipal Utilities; Alliance Cor Communications; Ambercomm; AT&T Inc.; Atkins Telephone; Aventure Communications; Ayrshire Farms M Company; Bitwind Communications LLC; Brooklyn Mutual Telecommunications Cooperative; Butler-Bremer; Mutual Telephone Company; Cedar Falls Utilities; Central Scott Telephone; CenturyLink (acquired Qwest Cocircle Computer Resources (d.b.a. Cramer IT); Citizens Mutual Telephone Cooperative; City of Hawarden; Company; Clearwire Corporation; CML Telephone Cooperative Association of Meriden, Iowa; Colo Telephore Cable Television Agency of O'Brien County; Community Digital Wireless; Complete Communication Services Coon Valley Cooperative Telephone; Cooperative Telephone Company; Cooperative Telephone Exchange; Cumberland Telephone; Danville Mutual Telephone Company; East Buchanan Telephone Cooperative; Ells Telephone Exchange; Evertek Enterprises; Farmers & Merchants Mutual Telephone Company;	mmunication utual Teleplich (Cable ONE orporation); Clarence Tene Companys; Coon Ray Cornbelt Te	ns; Alpine hone E Inc.; Casey Chat Mobility; lephone y; Community pids Municipal; elephone;		
Please refer to the Q2 Iowa Supplemental Answers Document for additional information.  10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such	h activities			
Not applicable.				
Staffing				
10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project? 9.36				
Connect Iowa's FTEs reported for the quarter include 5.67 FTEs for staff, 1.08 FTEs for permanent consulta temporary, cyclical contractors. The project is fully staffed with a base of 6.75 FTEs.	nts, and 2.6	1 FTEs for		
Connected Nation has numerous staff working on the Connect Iowa project, some at only a small percentage approach is beneficial to the project in various ways: some staff provide necessary project support, many stateam approach, and others are subject matter experts in their respective areas. By leveraging the expertise experts, the project benefits from their knowledge and skills without the necessity of supporting a more expe	aff work toge of the subje	ether utilizing a ect matter		
10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? ● Yes ○ No 10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staff	fed			
Not applicable.				
10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this pro	oject?			
This project is fully staffed with a base of 6.75 FTEs.				
10n. Staffing Table				
Job Title	FTE %	Date of Hire		
Connected Nation - Broadband Planning Manager	11	09/04/2007		
Connected Nation - Business Programmer Analyst	2	04/02/2007		
Connected Nation - CAI Manager 5 12/13/2004				

Connected Nation - Chief Policy Counsel	2	08/09/2010
Connected Nation - Compliance Coordinator	6	08/01/2008
Connected Nation - Director Program Support	11	12/01/2004
Connected Nation - ETS Analyst	11	07/13/2009
Connected Nation - ETS Analyst	19	07/13/2009
Connected Nation - ETS Analyst	24	11/01/2007
Connected Nation - ETS Analyst	83	08/03/2009
Connected Nation - ETS Manager	13	07/01/2007
Connected Nation - GIS Analyst	1	11/16/2009
Connected Nation - GIS Analyst	58	09/17/2007
Connected Nation - GIS Services Manager	2	05/15/2007
Connected Nation - Grants Management Officer	10	02/24/2003
Connected Nation - Outreach & Awareness Manager	5	03/24/2009
Connected Nation - Outreach & Awareness Specialist	3	10/01/2007
Connected Nation - Outreach & Awareness Specialist	8	02/02/2009
Connected Nation - Outreach & Awareness Specialist	10	01/04/2010
Connected Nation - Outreach & Awareness Specialist	23	01/04/2010
Connected Nation - Partnership Manager	2	07/01/2007
Connected Nation - Policy & Research Outreach Specialist	4	03/24/2009
Connected Nation - President & COO	3	01/14/2008
Connected Nation - Program Manager	97	08/22/2011
Connected Nation - Project Coordinator	5	04/01/2005
Connected Nation - Project Management Director	7	12/16/2009
Connected Nation - Project Manager	4	08/20/2007
Connected Nation - Project Manager	45	03/16/2010
Connected Nation - Provider Relations Manager	9	02/17/2005

Connected Nation - Research & GIS Ana	lyst				7	05/14/2007
Connected Nation - Research Analyst					1	10/17/2011
Connected Nation - Research Analyst					1	09/19/2011
Connected Nation - Research Analyst					3	06/01/2009
Connected Nation - Research Analyst					33	02/01/2010
Connected Nation - Research Manager					10	05/14/2007
Connected Nation - State & Local Progra	m Director				12	08/04/2008
Connected Nation - State Services Speci	alist				3	02/02/2009
Connected Nation - State Services Speci	alist				14	03/24/2009
Consultant - Associate Counsel					3	09/14/2009
Consultant - ETS Analyst					29	02/08/2010
Consultant - ETS Analyst					40	05/01/2012
Consultant - ETS Sr. Analyst					34	02/18/2010
Consultant - Financial Consultant					2	04/01/2008
ARC - Community Tech Team Specialist	S				87	01/20/2012
ARC - Community Tech Team Specialist	S				87	01/20/2012
ARC - Community Tech Team Specialist	S				87	01/20/2012
	Add Ro	ow	Remove Ro	w		
Sub Contracts	, 133 110					
10o. Subcontracts Table						
Name of Subcontractor Purpose of Subcontr	act RFP Issued	Contract Executed	Start Date	End Date	Federal Funds	In-Kind Funds

100. Gaboonitadio Table							
Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Federal Funds	In-Kind Funds
Thoroughbred Research Group	Research Surveys	Υ	Y	02/09/2010	02/08/2012	167,350	0
Contract Labor	Contract Labor	N	Y	01/01/2010	12/31/2014	359,346	250,280
IARC	Community Engagement	N	Y	01/20/2012	09/30/2012	88,974	48,101

# **Funding**

10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$2,820,005 10q. How much Remains? \$2,949,937

10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter?

\$593,749

10s. How much Remains?

Add Row

\$852,725

10t. Budget Worksheet

Remove Row

Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$1,781,593	\$154,543	\$1,936,136	\$817,123	\$1,689	\$818,812
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$382,149	\$45,123	\$427,272	\$172,890	\$362	\$173,252
Travel	\$284,757	\$0	\$284,757	\$105,652	\$582	\$106,234
Equipment	\$146,140	\$0	\$146,140	\$89,648	\$0	\$89,648
Materials / Supplies	\$49,415	\$0	\$49,415	\$30,096	\$0	\$30,096
Subcontracts Total	\$1,187,286	\$295,447	\$1,482,733	\$676,448	\$333,139	\$1,009,587
Subcontract #1	\$301,831	\$0	\$301,831	\$167,350	\$0	\$167,350
Subcontract #2	\$664,002	\$295,447	\$959,449	\$395,946	\$285,038	\$680,984
Subcontract #3	\$17,540	\$0	\$17,540	\$12,006	\$0	\$12,006
Subcontract #4	\$38,683	\$0	\$38,683	\$12,172	\$0	\$12,172
Subcontract #5	\$165,230	\$0	\$165,230	\$88,974	\$48,101	\$137,075
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$37,181	\$896,648	\$933,829	\$28,039	\$255,656	\$283,695
Total Direct Costs	\$3,868,521	\$1,391,761	\$5,260,282	\$1,919,896	\$591,428	\$2,511,324
Total Indirect Costs	\$1,901,421	\$54,713	\$1,956,134	\$900,109	\$2,321	\$902,430
Total Costs	\$5,769,942	\$1,446,474	\$7,216,416	\$2,820,005	\$593,749	\$3,413,754
% Of Total	80	20	100	83	17	100

### Hardware / Software

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application?	Yes	$\bigcirc$ N
---	-----	--------------

10v. If yes, please list

Hardware/Software purchases for the project to date include the following:

- Interactive Mapping Application \$60,000
- GIS Software & Maintenance \$15,329
- Computers & Software \$15,441
- Spectrum Analyzer \$9,008
- Speed Test Software \$4,081
- Google Earth Pro \$585

10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased

Remaining purchases may include SQL server for mapping geodatabase, SQL server software, multiple dedicated storage servers, security application, additional backup replication solution, computers, GPS units, interactive map upgrades/maintenance, ArchInfo/ArcGIS maintenance, and speed test updates as well as computers and software maintenance.

ArcGIS maintenance, and speed test updates as well as computers and software maintenance.	ty application, additional backup replication solution	ni, comp	inputers, or o arms, interactive map apprades/maintenance, / treim	110
	S maintenance, and speed test updates as well as	comput	outers and software maintenance.	
10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? •Yes No	las the project team purchased or used any data sets?	@Voo	C No	

10y. If yes, please list

Connect Iowa received a listing of Community Anchor Institution (CAI) addresses and technology data from the Iowa Communications

Connect lowa received a listing of Community Anchor Institution (CAI) addresses and technology data from the lowa Communications Network as part of an in-kind match contribution to assist Connect Iowa with its mapping and planning goals - \$25.

10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included? 

No

Yes 

No

No

In an effort to increase CAI data during this reporting period, Connect Iowa has approached a single CAI sector per month. This has allowed focus from the Connect Iowa team and support from the state partner to identify organizations to support data outreach. We have experienced significant increases in data numbers because of the focused approach of data collection.

10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing Connect Iowa has experienced some challenges regarding support from state agencies and departments related to the Community Anchor Institutions (CAI) outreach. Their support is vital to the success of this outreach. Therefore, it became essential to enlist the support of the state partner (the Iowa Economic Development Authority) to encourage outreach.

For Q2 2012, Connect Iowa's match percentage based on total expenditures was 17.39%. Thus Connect Iowa (CIA) will fall short of the required match percentage by 2.65%. Connect Iowa is working with the Iowa Economic Development Authority to identify additional sources of match. Through the receipt of in-kind match from sub-recipient, the Iowa Association of Regional Councils, volunteers participating in the Local/Regional Technology Planning Teams and CIA Advisory Committee, as well as datasets from various state entities, Connect Iowa expects to meet it's required match percentage in Q3 2012. Connect Iowa has filed a non-federal cost share waiver through the Q3 2012.

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project
The Connect Iowa initiative celebrated several milepost successes this quarter, including positive mainstream media stories on the
project's mapping resources and broadband expansion efforts to more than 24.9 million news consumers. The Connect Iowa monthly
e-newsletter has been distributed to more than 460 subscribers. The e-newsletters disseminated to stakeholders during Q2 2012 are
presented as PDF attachments to this report.

Connect lowa released new broadband access figures this quarter that indicate the broadband availability gap in the state is shrinking, with 93.5% of lowa residents now having access to fixed broadband of 3 megabytes per second (Mbps) download or higher, compared to 92.5% last year. Other key findings from this research suggest that 82.2% of lowa households can access broadband at advertised speeds of 6 Mbps download/1.5 Mbps upload, meaning that nearly 218,000 households are in areas that may be eligible for Universal Service Fund broadband deployment subsidies. (http://www.connectiowa.org/recent-news/news-release-connect-iowa-releases-new-broadband-access-figures)

## 11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

Broadband Capacity Building Year 3, Quarter 2 milestones per approved project plan:

Milestone: Connect Iowa Office Administration and Iowa Broadband Deployment Governance Board support.

This milestone was met. Connect Iowa continued to provide administrative project oversight involving a variety of tasks that encompass administering the project plan, managing workflow and timeline, verifying work quality, gathering documentation in support of community outreach/projects, and preparing reports as required by the Iowa Economic Development Authority (IEDA) and other stakeholders. The position of Program Manager for the Connect Iowa program continues to be extremely beneficial towards providing support for the program and expanding the broadband adoption rates in the state of Iowa.

Milestone: Conduct the meeting(s) of the Iowa Broadband Deployment Governance Board in Year 3, Quarter 2.

This milestone was partially met. The Iowa Broadband Deployment Governance Board (IBDGB) has not been reinstituted with new members assigned to carry out the work of broadband adoption in the state. The IBDGB has not convened since April 2011 and has yet to reorganize, and therefore, the future of the statutorily created IBDGB remains uncertain at this time. However, Connect Iowa has worked in conjunction with the Iowa Economic Development Authority to pursue alternative methods of completing this milestone. As a result, the Connect Iowa Advisory Committee was formed and convened one meeting this quarter.

Please refer to the Q2 Iowa Supplemental Answers Document for additional information.

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing Local/Regional Technology Planning Teams

The challenges experienced with the local/regional tech planning team project are unique to the individual region. Obstacles experienced in one area of the state may not be an issue for communities in other regions of lowa.

Below is a summary of the challenges reported by Iowa's Council of Governments (COGs) and IARC staff, along with strategies that have been implemented to address challenges:

1. Scheduling community meetings. Some regions have had some difficulty with finding dates and times for community meetings that work for everyone involved. With committee members being involved in other projects, holding jobs, and having personal commitments, scheduling can become challenging. - To address this challenge, some kickoff meetings were scheduled over the lunch hour to accommodate committee members. Other regions chose to schedule meetings as far out as possible, in an effort to give committee members a good deal of notice so time can be set aside on their calendars.

Please refer to the Q2 Iowa Supplemental Answers Document for additional information.			
11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning?	<ul><li>Yes</li></ul>	○ No	

11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented

**Broadband Capacity Building** 

Nothing to report.

#### **Technical Assistance**

The 2012 residential survey is now scheduled to be initiated in Q3 2012 instead of Q2 2012. In turn, the 2012 residential survey will be analyzed and initial results will be released to the state in Q4 2012, and the residential survey results will be finalized and released in Q1 2013.

Local/Regional Technology Planning Teams Nothing to report.

## **Funding**

11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$0 11f. How much Remains?							
11g. How much matching for	unds have been expen	ided as of the end of	last quarter? \$	0 11h	. How much Remains	? \$0	
11i. Planning Worksheet							
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## Additional Planning Information

Key initiative and research findings were presented to numerous stakeholder groups such as the Iowa Rural Development Council and directors of the Iowa Small Business Development Center. Information on the broadband landscape was presented at several statewide conferences.

Through continued efforts with program sub-recipient IARC, further outreach results have been achieved. In western lowa, the leadership team in Mills County asked if the portal could be utilized by the public to provide the information needed to complete the assessment. Since the online portal is meant for only a few people to access, one of the telecom representatives suggested we establish an online tool in the form of a survey to collect additional information.

The telecom company will create the tool (using Survey Monkey) with guidance from COG staff, and links will be posted on various

<sup>11</sup>j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

websites. This will not be the only method of data collection; however, it will be just a companion to the public outreach.
11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing
Nothing to report.
11I. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project Broadband Capacity Building Nothing to report.
Technical Assistance Nothing to report.
Local/Regional Technology Planning Teams  lowa's Connected local/regional technology planning teams are now in full engagement mode thanks to Connect lowa's partnership with the IARC. In fact, 58 community kickoff meetings have been held. Another 30 lowa communities have been identified and are expected to start engaging in local technology planning efforts in Q3 2012. IARC's COG members are working with Connect lowa to identify community champions and help local governments create and establish technology committees within their counties and cities to promote broadband. Iowa's goal is to have 50 community assessments finished by the year's end.
Please refer to the Q2 Iowa Supplemental Answers Document for additional information.

<ol> <li>Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct ar set forth in the award documents.</li> </ol>	nd complete for performance of activities for the purpose
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)
Bernie Bogle	(and sous, number, and smaller,
	12d. Email Address
	bbogle@connectednation.org
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)
Submitted Electronically	07-27-2012