**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**Performance Progress Report**

1. Recipient Name
   Alabama Department of Economic and Community Affairs (ADECA)

2. Award Or Grant Number
   01-50-M09013

4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY)
   04-30-2012

6. Designated Entity On Behalf Of:
   Alabama

3. Street Address
   P.O. Box 5690, 401 Adams Avenue,

5. City, State, Zip Code
   Montgomery, AL 36104-5690

8. Final Report?
   Yes

9. Report Frequency
   Quarterly

7. Project / Grant Period
   Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)
   11-01-2009

   7a. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)
   10-30-2014

   7b. Reporting Period End Date:
   03-31-2012

9a. If Other, please describe:
   n/a

10. Broadband Mapping

   10a. Provider Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Providers Identified</th>
<th>Number of Providers Contacted</th>
<th>Number of Agreements Reached for Data Sharing</th>
<th>Number of Partial Data Sets Received</th>
<th>Number of Complete Data Sets</th>
<th>Number of Data Sets Verified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10b. Are you submitting the required PROVIDER DATA by using the Excel spreadsheet provided by the SBDD grants office? ☐ Yes ☐ No

10c. Have you encountered challenges with any providers that indicate they may refuse to participate in this project? ☐ Yes ☐ No

10d. If so, describe the discussions to date with each of these providers and the current status

Total provider count and the status of each provider listed below is current as of the Round 5 Data Collection which was submitted to NTIA on March 31, 2012.

The following providers have formally declined to participate in the program:
**Castleberry Communications Inc.: Declined to Participate - this provider has declined to participate in the program. We continue to contact them with each new round of data collection, but they have not changed their position.**

**Broadview Network Holdings, Inc.: Declined to Participate - this provider has declined to participate in the program. We continue to contact them with each new round of data collection, but they have not changed their position.**

The following providers did not provide data in Round 5, but also have not formally decline to participate. We will continue to reach out to these providers to encourage their participation in future Rounds.

- Aerowire, Inc.
- Boondocks Wireless
- CTSWireless.NET
- Cable Star
- Dixie Land Internet Services
- Gosuto
- Harbor Communications, LLC
- HorizonWisp.net
- JMF Solutions, Inc
- Professional2-wayradio.com/NetSpeedNow.com DBA - NetSpeedNow.com
- Network Solutions
- Shelby Telecom
- Traveler Information Services
- VeriQik
- WP Media
- Media3
- Mobile Internet Services
- pcAirLink Wireless
- Ragland Telephone Company
- S and V Wireless

---
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The following companies are no longer in business:

- Cobridge Communication
- IBEC
- Open Range
- Rapid Cable
- James Cable - purchased by Charter

If you are collecting data through other means (e.g. data extraction, extrapolation, etc), please describe your progress to date and the relevant activities to be undertaken in the future.

As has been previously reported, the LinkAMERICA team verifies and augments provider data with coverage and speed information from third party sources. This activity is repeated in each data collection round. We do not substitute third party information for provider-supplied information unless we can independently verify that the provider information is incorrect. More often we use discrepancies to identify areas where further investigation is required.

If providers do not submit data, but we are able to verify infrastructure information through other sources, we use common engineering principles to estimate coverage and speed. We also collect user feedback via location-specific feedback mechanisms on the state interactive map.

Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement.

These verification methods are currently under review and have not been implemented to date. The verification processes being employed are recounted in section 10h.

- **Mobile Wireless Broadband Drive Testing:** This process will use a special device from a vendor to test signal strength and bandwidth/throughput on multiple mobile wireless signals at the same time. This technology will be used in areas where there is a large discrepancy between provider-reported coverage/speed and consumer-reported coverage/speed to determine the actual coverage and speed characteristics. The feasibility and accuracy of this type of testing is currently under review. If approved a vendor will be selected in 2012.

- **Mobile Wireless Crowd Sourced Testing:** This process will use a proprietary smart phone app, provided by a vendor, to constantly check signal strength and bandwidth/throughput on the user's mobile phone. The application will be available on multiple phone platforms and will be downloadable by consumers in each LinkAMERICA state. It runs in the background on the consumer's phone and does not impact phone performance. Data is sent from the vendor to LinkAMERICA for use in validating provider coverage and speed reports. Targeted date for selecting a vendor for this work is 2012.

Have you initiated verification activities? [ ] Yes [ ] No

If yes, please describe the status of your activities.

The LinkAMERICA team uses consistent data verification procedures in each data collection round - adding new procedures after they have been developed and tested. These procedures are common to all four LinkAMERICA states.

- **Third Party Data Comparison:** As data arrives from providers we compare submissions against several commercial data sources to identify obvious anomalies or areas for further investigation. An example would be the comparison of an ILECs reported coverage area boundaries with the legal exchange areas shown in the commercial ExchangeInfo data product. Coverage footprints of wireless providers are compared against AmericanRoamer database. This process occurs with each data collection round.

- **Provider Validation:** Check maps and other tools are produced at the beginning of each data collection round based upon prior round coverage reports. We provide the information in both Google Earth and PDF format which gives providers the flexibility of updating their coverage boundaries directly within the check map file itself.

- **Data Format Verification:** Proprietary and NTIA-supplied scripts are run against the dataset prior to submission to ensure the data is properly formatted and will be fully received upon submittal - this process occurs with each data collection round. In Round 5 we included the NTIA technology/speed trips into our test code to check for Provider data that fell outside of the normal range as determined by NTIA. Identified providers are contacted for clarification of their submission and supporting documentation is collected when necessary.

- **Consumer Feedback/Verification:** The ConnectingALABAMA interactive map includes a consumer feedback layer that provides an
opportunity for the public to supply information regarding their access to broadband. The information collected can be compared against provider supplied data to identify areas where consumer feedback conflicts with provider information.

10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities

Verification activities have been initiated and are on-going. New process are being reviewed for possible implementation in yrs 3 & 4.

Staffing

10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project?

An analysis of actual hours worked in Q1 2012 shows that the project resulted in 0.94 FTE jobs created/retained at the Sub Recipient level for the quarter. An additional 2.33 FTEs were created/retained at the Prime Recipient level for a grand total of 3.27 FTEs. It should be noted that this figure does not include positions staffed by vendors involved in the project.

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed?  Yes  No

10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed

The program is fully staffed.

10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

A total of 3.5-4.5 FTEs (depending upon number of hours worked by Prime and Sub-Recipient staff members each quarter).

10n. Staffing Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Title</th>
<th>FTE %</th>
<th>Date of Hire</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prime Recipient Regional Coordinator</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>09/07/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime Recipient Regional Coordinator</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>09/07/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime Recipient Regional Coordinator</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>08/30/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub Recipient CEO-Supervisory Role</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11/01/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub Recipient Project Director</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11/01/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub Recipient Project Manager</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>09/05/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub Recipient GIS Director</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11/01/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub Recipient Internal System Support</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/01/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub Recipient Provider Relations Manager</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11/01/2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub Contracts

10o. Subcontracts Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Subcontractor</th>
<th>Purpose of Subcontract</th>
<th>RFP Issued (Y/N)</th>
<th>Contract Executed (Y/N)</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Federal Funds</th>
<th>In-Kind Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alliance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Partner</td>
<td>Measurement and Evaluation</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>05/01/2012</td>
<td>09/30/2014</td>
<td>1,010,324</td>
<td>420,724</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding

10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter?  $1,828,090

10q. How much Remains?  $3,046,051

10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter?  $599,007

10s. How much Remains?  $620,475

10t. Budget Worksheet
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mapping Budget Element</th>
<th>Federal Funds Granted</th>
<th>Proposed In-Kind</th>
<th>Total Budget</th>
<th>Federal Funds Expended</th>
<th>Matching Funds Expended</th>
<th>Total Funds Expended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal Salaries</td>
<td>$884,896</td>
<td>$228,405</td>
<td>$1,113,301</td>
<td>$150,563</td>
<td>$19,821</td>
<td>$170,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>$427,454</td>
<td>$85,318</td>
<td>$512,772</td>
<td>$74,682</td>
<td>$7,350</td>
<td>$82,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$98,715</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$98,715</td>
<td>$16,726</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$16,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>$64,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$64,700</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials / Supplies</td>
<td>$19,044</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$19,044</td>
<td>$8,472</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$8,472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontracts Total</td>
<td>$3,259,748</td>
<td>$895,752</td>
<td>$4,155,500</td>
<td>$1,521,308</td>
<td>$571,834</td>
<td>$2,093,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontract #1</td>
<td>$2,249,424</td>
<td>$475,028</td>
<td>$2,724,452</td>
<td>$1,521,308</td>
<td>$550,174</td>
<td>$2,071,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontract #2</td>
<td>$1,010,324</td>
<td>$420,724</td>
<td>$1,431,048</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$21,661</td>
<td>$21,661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontract #3</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontract #4</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontract #5</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$5,664</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Direct Costs</td>
<td>$4,769,557</td>
<td>$1,209,475</td>
<td>$5,979,032</td>
<td>$1,777,416</td>
<td>$599,007</td>
<td>$2,376,424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Indirect Costs</td>
<td>$104,585</td>
<td>$10,008</td>
<td>$114,593</td>
<td>$50,673</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50,673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Costs</td>
<td>$4,874,142</td>
<td>$1,219,483</td>
<td>$6,093,625</td>
<td>$1,828,090</td>
<td>$599,007</td>
<td>$2,427,097</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| % Of Total                | 80                    | 20              | 100          | 75                     | 25                     | 100                 |

**Hardware / Software**

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application? [ ] Yes [ ] No

No software/hardware was purchased this Quarter. The purchases from prior quarters are listed below:

- Laptop computer and software for Sub Recipient Project Manager (AL allocated portion): $352.78
- Laptop computer and software for Sub Recipient Vendor Relations Manager (AL allocated portion): $339.48
- 2 Desktop PCs: $2464.00
- 1 Presentation Projector: $374.00
- 1 Uninterrupted Power Supply: $121.00
- 1 Printer: $375.10
- 1 Dell Precision T5500 Workstation w/ArclInfo C (AL allocated portion): $3,458.59*

  *The ArclInfo software was a necessary purchase to enable CostQuest to run the validation script, on provider data, that NTIA & FCC require for the SBDD program. Purchasing the hardware/software bundle was the most cost effective way to acquire the needed software. Without the hardware the cost for the software alone would have been $1000 higher. This expense was allocated evenly to each of the four LinkAMERICA states.

10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased

As previously noted, all software/hardware listed in the budget for Years 1-2 has been purchased. Software and Hardware for transition of the interactive maps to state hosting, in the amount of $44,700 will be purchased in 2012. This purchase will include a Database Server, Web/GIS Server, and external storage device, and the necessary MS SQL Server and ESRI software licenses.

10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? [ ] Yes [ ] No

10y. If yes, please list

- **American Roamer: Market area boundary and speed data on mobile cellular providers was purchase in Q1 2012 - $625**
- **Media Prints: Cable franchise boundary database was purchased in Yr 2. We are reviewing options for this type of data for Yr 3.**
- **ExchangeInfo: Legal exchange area boundary database for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers was purchased in Yr 2. We are reviewing options for this data in Yr 3.**
10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?  Yes ☐ No ☐

10aa. If yes, please list

**The Round 5 Data Collection was submitted to NTIA on March 31, 2012, one day prior to the deadline of April 1, 2012. Status: Complete**

The final transition of the process for CAI data collection and reporting from CostQuest to ADECA was completed in Round 5.

The budget numbers included in 10o include both the original award and supplemental award.

10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

We continue to encounter difficulty in reconciling reported provider speeds for some providers with the NTIA speed range parameters. Providers whose reported data falls outside of the speed norms identified by NTIA are contacted for clarification of their submission. In most instances we find that Providers were using enhanced technologies to achieve the speed reported. Supporting documentation is collected in all instances. Our findings were including in the methodology document we submitted with the Round 5 data submission.

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

We look forward to continued dialog with NTIA regarding the scoring process being used to evaluate SBI data submissions. While we understand and appreciate the need to develop a process that can be used across states to determine the effectiveness of the SBI effort, we are concerned that the complexity of the information being evaluated often makes the validation results difficult to interpret. We have made an effort to develop relationships with the provider community and be transparent in our processes. We are currently working on developing more robust internal mechanisms to better compare provider submitted data against third party data-sets identified by NTIA for validation purposes. Assistance and direction in this endeavor is appreciated.

11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

Pending from Q4, 2011

Pending Task: Publish FINAL regional investment plans  STATUS: Complete

Pending Task: Selection of University Partner  Status: RFP issued with responses due early April. Selection will follow shortly after.

Q1 Milestones:  (see attached detail for activities/accomplishments)

Milestone 1: Completion of the development of a database/list of technical leaders across the state.

Task 1: Work with the broadband provider community and Regional Planning Teams to identify key resources whom might benefit from training in technology and policy change. - STATUS: Pending - Initial information gathering and assembling of leadership database is complete. One Regional implementation meeting pending.

Task 2: Develop preliminary database of technology leaders and confirm their interest in attending events. STATUS: Preliminary database of regional leaders complete.

Task 3: Finalize database/list of technology leaders. STATUS: This objective is on-going, with the database to be expanded as the regional leadership with the facilitation of ADECA/ConnectingALABAMA staff continue to meet.

Milestone 2: Begin Planning of Broadband Summit

Task 1: Identify and contact ARRA applicants and awardees regarding Summit. STATUS: ARRA applicants will be contacted in the 2nd Quarter of 2012.

Task 2: Identify and contact potential exhibitors. Potential exhibitors will be contacted in the 2nd Quarter of 2012

Task 3: Identify ALBI board members, Regional Broadband Action team members and others to participate on Summit planning committee. STATUS: ALBI board members, Regional Broadband Action team members and other leaders will be contacted in the 2nd Quarter of 2012.

Milestone 3 & 4: Refine Regional Broadband Investment Priority M&E and Training Needs for all 12 regions. Finalize Joint Partner Plan  Status: Tasks associated with this effort are pending the selection of a university partner which should occur in Q2.

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

Regarding Milestone 2: Contacts with potential broadband Summit participants has been delayed to the 2nd Quarter to better align the Summit activity with on-going discussions of the state broadband plan and regional plan implementation decisions currently underway. Delaying contacts to the second quarter will not cause interruption to the accomplishment of the planned Summit activity as the Broadband Summit is not intended to be implemented until September of 2012.

Regarding Milestone 3 & 4: A delay in selecting a university partner may have an impact on the current M&E schedule. It is our expectation that a partner will be selected in Q2. Schedule impact will be dependant on the start date of the partner and availability of partner resources.

11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning?  Yes ☐ No ☐
11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented.

There are no changes to report at this time.

### Funding

- **11e.** How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? $0
- **11f.** How much Remains? $0
- **11g.** How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? $0
- **11h.** How much Remains? $0

### Planning Worksheet

| Personal Salaries | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |
| Personnel Fringe Benefits | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |
| Travel | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |
| Equipment | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |
| Materials / Supplies | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |

### Subcontracts

| Subcontracts Total | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |
| Subcontract #1 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |
| Subcontract #2 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |
| Subcontract #3 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |
| Subcontract #4 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |
| Subcontract #5 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |

### Construction

| Construction | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |

### Other

| Other | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |

### Total Costs

| Total Direct Costs | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |
| Total Indirect Costs | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |
| Total Costs | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 |

| % Of Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### Additional Planning Information

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

Regional planning has progressed the investment plans have been released and work has begun. We anticipate a provider workshop in Q2.

- Completion of the development of a database/list of technical leaders across the state--under development
- Ongoing- Planning of Broadband Summit--Statewide Coordinator has begun planning and developing draft agenda
- University Partner for M & E RFP has been issued, pending contract approval at ADECA, award should be made May 2012
- Planned a provider workshop to inform the group of technical leaders in Alabama on the latest broadband technologies and broadband policy change, on the latest developments with Universal Service Funding and the CAF.
- Regional Teams have begun meeting to implement the plans
- Finalize Planning of Broadband Summit
- Design initial broadband capacity building training content and delivery plan- under development
- Implement capacity building training in support of M&E field data collection, utilization of results and other issues
- First State Broadband Summit
- Have technical leaders in Alabama well informed on avenues of available funding for broadband projects.
- Begin Planning of Second Annual Broadband Summit
- Design and test prototype social and economic impact model
- Expand Alabama Broadband development capacity training portfolio
- Implement appropriate public outreach and engagement supporting participation in capacity training activities
• Apply social and economic impact model to conduct preliminary assessment for additional broadband investment cases
• Launch delivery of year 4 capacity training programs
• Inform the technical leaders of the results of the Alabama Statewide Cost Model-delivered in Q2 2012
• Prepare initial report on broadband investment and social and economic impact model
• Comprehensive technical review of M&E processes

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

No obstacles have occurred.

11l. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project
Attached to this PPR are one page overviews of the priorities identified by Alabama citizens, these project teams have begun meeting and preparing to take action on these initiatives.
In response to the overmatch stated in Question 10t Subcontract #1--CostQuest Associates has provided additional match in the form of additional work hours contributed through CostQuest Vendors. This contribution of work hours were not invoiced for reimbursement through the federal grant program. These vendors contributed the hours for the benefit of the State of Alabama, which has experienced financial proration during the Recession. Note: Explanation of Proration: "MONTGOMERY – Governor Robert Bentley on Friday declared 10.6 percent proration on the state’s General Fund Budget for the remainder of fiscal year 2012, which ends September 30. Alabama’s General Fund has been impacted by several major factors that make proration necessary. The largest factors include tens of millions of dollars in state funding required for tornado recovery. Also, stock market conditions during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011 were among the worst in the last 60 years, directly affecting revenues to the General Fund. Upon the declaration of proration, state agencies that are financed by the General Fund must reduce expenses through the current fiscal year." (Link to the announcement from the Governor’s Office- http://governor.alabama.gov/news/news_detail.aspx?ID=6269)
12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official</th>
<th>12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jessica Dent</td>
<td>Submitted Electronically</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12d. Email Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:jessica.dent@adeca.alabama.gov">jessica.dent@adeca.alabama.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05-31-2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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