U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE									
Performance Progress Report					2. Award Or Grant Number 21-50-M09061  4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 04-18-2011				
3. Street Address							8. Final Rep		9. Report Frequency
700 Capitol Avenu	e,						Yes	, ort.	<ul><li>Quarterly</li></ul>
5. City, State, Zip C	ode						(• No		Semi Annual
Frankfort, KY 4060									
7. Project / Grant I		7a.		7b			9a. If Other	, please	<u> </u>
Start Date: (MM 01-01-2010	/DD/YYYY)		Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) -2014		eporting Period End Date: -31-2011		N/A		
10. Broadband	Manning	12 01	10a. Provider Table		01 2011				
i io. Broadbaild	wapping		Toa. I Tovider Table						
Number of Providers Identified	Number of Providers Co	ntacted	Number of Agreement Reached for Data Sha		Number of Partial Data Sets Received	Number	r of te Data Sets	Numbe Data S	er of ets Verified
0	0	itacica	0	ا	0 C	_	ic Data Octo	0	Cts vermed
10b. Are you submit	ting the require	d PROV	 /IDER DATA by using th	ne Fx	cel spreadsheet provide	ed by the	SBDD grant:	s office?	○Yes
-			h any providers that indi			-	_	_	
*		-	with each of these provi					0 11	
For more details, p 10e. If you are collec	lease refer to	the Q1	provided information Supplemental Answer r means (e.g. data extra e	ers C	Document.				·
			provided additional v the Q1 Supplemental			g with th	ne progress	and act	ivities in collecting the
10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement Confidence Level Reporting: Development of a System for Evaluation and Assessment Statistics (SEAS) was completed. Identified areas of discrepancy are flagged for reporting the confidence level of the data per provider at census block and road segment level. These unit confidence levels are then input into a statistical model to develop confidence levels for each of the broadband service providers. This automated statistical reporting process was implemented for the April 1, 2011 data submittal and the results will be deployed to the State Broadband mapping Website.									
Audit of Wireless Broadband Availability Reporting: Wireless coverage is evaluated using a contour calculation tool, with key inputs being transmitter location and, where available, data on spectrum power levels and other relevant transmission factors provided by carriers and/or supplemented by data available from public web sites and other sources. Data will then be input to a contour calculation tool to provide estimates of fixed wireless broadband coverage areas. This dataset is used as a source to determine gaps in provider wireless service area coverage. The Prior Mapping data is also used as a validation source for gap analysis. Due to a lack of provider tower and antenna data, deployment of this audit of Wireless Broadband Availability is planned before the October 1, 2011 delivery to the NTIA. New avenues for acquiring the needed tower and antenna information are being explored from State Wireless Associations and Area Development Districts.  Our contractor, Michael Baker Inc., submitted a white paper describing the DATA DEVELOPMENT & VALIDATION									
METHODOLOGIES with the 3/31/2011 data submission to the NTIA.  10g. Have you initiated verification activities?    No									
10h. If yes, please describe the status of your activities									
For more details, please refer to the Q1 Supplemental Answers Document.									

10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities

Evaluation of reported fixed wireless coverage utilizing contour calculation tools, as described in 10f above, will also be implemented. Deployment of these verification activities is planned for the October 2011 NTIA submittal.

### **Staffing**

10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project?

4.47

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? Yes No

10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed

We believe that full staffing was achieved during the 3rd Quarter 2010. At this time, university team validators have maximized their staff and will be concluding their involvement. Temporary staff hired by our mapping contractor, Michael Baker Inc., is no longer being utilized.

10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

7.54

10n. Staffing Table

Job Title	FTE %	Date of Hire
Administrative Assistant	2	02/01/2010
CADD Technician	2	02/01/2010
Civil Engineer	86	02/01/2010
GIS Associate	53	02/01/2010
GIS Specialist	33	02/01/2010
GIS Technician	1	02/01/2010
Senior Software Developer	11	02/01/2010
Technical Manager	27	02/01/2010
Project Manager (Validation)	22	06/15/2010
Urban Studies Institute Household Survey Analyst (Validation)	16	06/15/2010
Urban Studies Institute Administrative Assistant (Validation)	3	06/15/2010
Urban Studies Institute Data Collection Methods Analyst (Validation)	4	06/15/2010
Center for Geographic Information Sciences Analyst (Validation)	18	06/15/2010
University Faculty 1 (Validation)	8	06/15/2010
University Faculty 2 (Validation)	30	0615/2010

Add Row

Remove Row

#### **Sub Contracts**

10o. Subcontracts Table

Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Federal Funds	In-Kind Funds
Michael Baker, Jr., Inc.	Data Collection/ Validation Services	Y	Y	02/01/2010	12/31/2014	3,674,747	0

Murray State University	Validation Services	N	Y	06/15/2010	06/30/2011	399,762	0
1	Develop/Manage Regional Planning groups	N	N	07/01/2011	12/31/2014	450,000	0
Secondary Education	Develop/Manage Regional Planning groups; Survey	N	N	07/01/2011	12/31/2014	150,000	0

Add Row Remove Row

# **Funding**

10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$1,380,838 10q. How much Remains? \$3,921,879

10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter?

\$372,688

10s. How much Remains?

\$953,500

10t. Budget Worksheet

Tota Baagot Workonoot						
Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$56,943	\$798,048	\$854,991	\$0	\$109,420	\$109,420
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$19,038	\$96,826	\$115,864	\$0	\$13,756	\$13,756
Travel	\$0	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$0	\$1,334	\$1,334
Equipment	\$0	\$16,956	\$16,956	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$874	\$874
Subcontracts Total	\$4,674,509	\$0	\$4,674,509	\$1,380,838	\$0	\$1,380,838
Subcontract #1	\$3,674,747	\$0	\$3,674,747	\$981,076	\$0	\$981,076
Subcontract #2	\$399,762	\$0	\$399,762	\$399,762	\$0	\$399,762
Subcontract #3	\$450,000	\$0	\$450,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$150,000	\$0	\$150,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$552,227	\$408,358	\$960,585	\$0	\$247,304	\$247,304
Total Direct Costs	\$5,302,717	\$1,326,188	\$6,628,905	\$1,380,838	\$372,688	\$1,753,526
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$5,302,717	\$1,326,188	\$6,628,905	\$1,380,838	\$372,688	\$1,753,526
% Of Total	80	20	100	79	21	100

### Hardware / Software

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application?	<ul><li>No</li></ul>
10v If ves please list	

N/A

10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased

N/A

10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? Yes No	
10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? Yes No  10y. If yes, please list	
Toy. II you, please list	
10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included? Yes No 10aa. If yes, please list	
N/A	
10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing	
As previously reported, the Commonwealth continues to encounter challenges and obstacles with the collection of data from community anchor institutions (CAI). We have identified appropriate stakeholders in the various areas however responses to queri has been sporadic and undependable. We are working closely with our stakeholders and subcontractors to develop other methods identify resources for obtaining information from our CAIs.	
10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project	
N/A	
11. Broadband Planning	
11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status While our current project plan has not been approved, we have been expending efforts on non-mapping activities through the quart These activities were described in our recent response to the program office as follow up to our SBDD project plan submittal. Durin this quarter, we have continued with the establishment of the Commonwealth's Office of Broadband Outreach and Development. Whave been conducting initial meetings with parties/entities around the Commonwealth that have vested interest in broadband promotion. The focus of these meetings has been the introduction of the broadband office and development of relationships for the future. In addition, we have held meetings with potential project partners to identify solidify future contracts and define scope(s) of vehicles approved by partners and Commonwealth procurement officials in the next 30-60 days. In addition, we have been working with our executive leadership and legal counsel to finalize the creation of the Broadband Commission that will help to guide the office in furthering this project. The commission will play an especially integral role in guiding broadband office in the last stages of technical assistance as we strive to directly assist communities determined to be most in need to be most in need to be a stage of technical assistance as we strive to directly assist communities the project team is employing	g /e vorl
N/A	
11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning?	
11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented	
Some additional changes to budget flow and activities may be necessary, once we have firm commitments in place with our anticip partners/vendors, to better reflect quarterly funding needs/	ate
Funding	
11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$0 11f. How much Remains? \$0	
11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$0 11h. How much Remains? \$0	
11i. Planning Worksheet	

11i. Planning Worksheet							
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## **Additional Planning Information**

11i. A	re there any	/ additional	project mil	estones o	r information	that h	nas not	been	included?
--------	--------------	--------------	-------------	-----------	---------------	--------	---------	------	-----------

N/A

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

N/A

11I. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

N/A

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.						
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)					
Steve Landers	(area code, named, and extension)					
	12d. Email Address					
	steve.landers@ky.gov					
	12e. Date Report Submitted					
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	(Month, Day, Year)					
Submitted Electronically	06-14-2011					