Performance Progress Report							2. Award Or Grant Number 78-50-M09055 4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 10-28-2010			
3. Street Address 32-33 Kongens Gade,						Yes	Semi Annual			
5. City, State, Zip Code St. Thomas, VI 00802-	2-0000				_		_	○ Annual○ Final		
7. Project / Grant Perio Start Date: (MM/DD, 01-01-2010	D/YYYY) End	Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) 1-2014		o. eporting Period End Date -30-2010) :	9a. If Other, n/a	9a. If Other, please describe: n/a			
10. Broadband No. 10a. Provider Table	Mapping		•							
	Number of Providers Contacted	Number of Agreemer Reached for Data Sh			1	ber of plete Data Sets	Numb Data S	er of Sets Verified		
10b. Are you submitting 10c. Have you encounte 10d. If so, describe the of Incumbent wire line pro All wireless carriers pro coverage map. Wire line carrier data is carriers have been exc 10e. If you are collecting activities to be undended. Data from anchor tena process. GPS reading. The DSL coverage wa incumbent wire line ca Because there is some direct measurement. 10f. Please describe the 1. Direct Inspection- U 2. Web Survey- Used 3. Performance Test- U 10g. Have you initiated	discussions to date rovider has offere rovided transmitted is from direct interpretation and the future and some some some some some some some some	th any providers that indi- e with each of these provided broadband capacity er locations as well as erviews in 2009. One vertices in 2009 and 2 er means (e.g. data extrate analysis of data receivaring on-site verification a 12,000 radius of effect to using a direct mean ar data, the extrapolation ities you plan to implement enant location data an after the actual broadband coverage	dicate viders y ava servine se	they may refuse to parts and the current status allable at remote swith vice level data by loc less carrier provided on, extrapolation, etc.), plot from the IT organizate eness from each remement of individual locations tower heights will be entification of existence is is a replacement for	ticipate tch local cation. data by ease detions of the swippes for the calculate calc	in this project? ations but no note of the respective of the resp	ew in 20 gress to e sector ation requality.	Mo mile information. also provided RF 009. Two wireless date and the relevant ors, an iterative eported by the ly, as opposed to		

10h. If yes, please describe the status of your activities

The direct inspection of the anchor tenant is complete and accurate as of the current period. Please note that anchor tenant locations are subject to change overtime and will be modified accordingly with each succeeding period.

The web survey is a replacement to the previously planned telemarketing program. It was determined that a web survey would be a more efficient and readily acceptable to participants. The University of the Virgin Islands (UVI) will still validate the survey technique and perform an analysis of the results.

The performance tests are being modified to be compatible with the new web-survey. The system works well now, and the process of gathering actual performance data will begin in the next quarter and run for 60 days for the first verification sample. It has been decided to allow the measurements to run continuously for the two year period, although the venue may change. The USVI plans to deploy a Comprehensive Community Infrastructure middle mile network, which ultimately will be the point of measurement for the performance tests (a central operation point). Quality of service as well as speed will then be measurable in the more comprehensive program.

10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities

ln/a

Staffing

10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project?

3.25

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? • Yes No 10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed										
n/a										
10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?										
3.25										
10n. Staffing Table										
Job Title FTE % Date of Hire										
Project Manager								10	0	07/01/2010
GIS Team Leader/Ana	alyst							10	0	07/01/2010
GIS Program/System	Administrator							100		07/01/2010
Accountant/Compliand	ce							25	5	07/01/2010
l		Add Row		Remove	e Row					
Sub Contracts						-				
10o. Subcontracts Table)	1	Contract	I						
Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Executed (Y/N)	Start Da	ate l	End Date	Federal Funds		I	n-Kind Funds
Stratum Broadband	Provide primary mapping and planning support	N	Υ	` '		01/2010	890,000 0		0	
						Add R	ow		Ren	nove Row
Funding										
10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$1,252,503 10q. How much Remains? \$1,769,364							\$1,769,364			
10r. How much matching	g funds have been expend	led as of the end	d of last qua	rter? \$2	288,144	10s. l	How much	n Rema	ains?	\$530,570
10t. Budget Worksheet										
Mapping Budget Eleme	rederal nt Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind		Total Budget		Federal Funds Expended		Matching Funds Expended		Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$11,800		\$11,800
Personnel Fringe Benefits \$0		\$0		\$0	\$0		\$0			\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		\$0			\$0
Equipment	\$0	\$0		\$0		50	\$0			\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$818,714	\$81	8,714	\$	50	\$288,144			\$288,144
Subcontracts Total \$3,021,867		\$0 \$3,0		21,867	\$1,252,503		\$0			\$1,252,503
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0
Subcontract #2 \$0		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0			\$0
Subcontract #3 \$0		\$0		\$0	\$0		\$0			\$0
Subcontract #4 \$0		\$0		\$0	\$	60	\$0			\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		\$0			\$0
Construction \$0		\$0		\$0	\$0		\$0			\$0
Other	\$0	\$100,000		00,000	\$0		\$0		\perp	\$0
Total Direct Costs	\$3,021,867	\$818,714	\$3,9	40,581	\$1,25	52,503	\$299,	944		\$1,540,647

Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended	
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Costs	\$3,021,867	\$918,714	\$3,940,581	\$1,252,503	\$299,944	\$1,540,647	
% Of Total	77	23	100	32	8	39	

Hardware / Software Ou. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application? Ov. If yes, please list
a
Ow. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased ne purchase of the following software and hardware is planned for the next quarter with the launch of the verification system:
erver for operating the Probe/Verification software erver for the State Broadband website and user survey sualware (or similar) software for operating the verification probe 0x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets?
Dy. If yes, please list
ne Google Earth and CAD data to be provided as In-Kind contributions from WAPA have all been received and used extensively uring the identification and location of anchor tenants, towers, and other points of interest.

Avensa MAPdataUSA has been purchased.

10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

• Yes
No

10aa. If yes, please list

The performance test website is scheduled to begin controlled verification on December 1, 2010. Collection of performance data will begin December 1, 2010 and proceed until sufficient data is received. In the beginning of this process we anticipate that the "busy hour" and the actual rates stratified throughout the region will be determined. The delivery of data free of qualifications relative to verification is now scheduled to be made with the first quarterly update in January, 2011.

The State Broadband map website was launched in October minus the user survey and speed test facilities. With the completion of hardware and software licensing, the survey and verification data collection will begin in the next quarterly period (Oct – Dec).

10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing. The indirect benefit of the SBDD program is quite noticeable. Since the program has been underway and more attention has been paid to speed as a measurement, the advertised speeds of the broadband service from last mile providers has seemed to double pretty uniformly. Perhaps this capability was always present, but the additional emphasis on communicating to the public is a step in the right direction.

We anticipate that the USVI will become more competitive relative to broadband. Any steps taken by the NTIA to assure the flow of quality data from the providers to the SBDD program over the coming periods would be very beneficial to the territory.

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project
The indirect benefit of the SBDD program is quite noticeable. Since the program has been underway and more attention has been
paid to speed as a measurement, the advertised speeds of the broadband service from last mile providers has seemed to double
pretty uniformly. Perhaps this capability was always present, but the additional emphasis on communicating to the public is a step in
the right direction.

We anticipate that the USVI will become more competitive relative to broadband. Any steps taken by the NTIA to assure the flow of quality data from the providers to the SBDD program over the coming periods would be very beneficial to the territory.

11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

The primary goal of the planning activity has been to establish the process for deploying a comprehensive broadband middle mile network for the USVI. Critical to the success is the application for BTOP funds to support this launch in the region. Therefore, the primary objectives of the Broadband Planning Project are to prepare for the submission of the Round Two CCI proposal and to prepare the public-private partnership that will oversee the operation as deployment and operation proceeds.

The market, technical, and business questions in the actual application guidelines formed the overriding outline of the requirements. Although not available at the beginning of the SBDD program, the plan from the outset was to prepare appropriately and then adjust to develop the required plans.

The first step was to convene meeting with the key stakeholders and providers. The key stakeholders represent all the anchor tenants and they refer to themselves as the USVI Broadband Coalition. This was done prior to even submitting the proposal for SBDD funds. The second step was to convene the Technology Team from recommendations made by members of the two initial groups. Over 100 individuals have been interviewed from all the key groups. From these interviews two separate tracks were formed: one for business matters and one for technical matters. It was primarily from the stakeholders that the market assessment

data was synthesized. Secondary research was gathered, but it was the goals and aspirations of the actual users of the system that most guided the assessment process. The initial findings went directly I to the market section of the BTOP CCI proposal.
Separately members of the Technology Team and the Office of Economic Opportunity began to gather technical data from industry relative to technology that might be used to design a broadband environment for the USVI. 11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing
There have been few challenges or obstacles encountered. Due to the size of the number of people involved, there is the natural problem of getting input from all groups synchronized, but the involvement of the Governor's office and normal program management follow up have produced all the inputs needed for the time lines required.
11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning? Yes No
11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented
With the three BTOP proposals launched and the operation of the USVI Broadband Coalition and Technology Team underway, we do not see any changes to the current project plan for broadband planning. These relatively autonomous groups continue to operate and are integral inputs to the Virgin Islands Next Generation Network movement.

Funding

11i. Planning Worksheet

11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$0

11f. How much Remains?

.

\$0

\$0

11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter?

11h. How much Remains?

Planning Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0

Additional Planning Information

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

N/A

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

There have been few challenges or obstacles encountered. Due to the size of the number of people involved, there is the natural problem of getting input from all groups synchronized, but the involvement of the Governor's office and normal program management follow up have produced all the inputs needed for the timelines required.

111. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project
The SBDD Planning process was extremely beneficial toward preparing the plans for all the information that was needed for a
balanced Comprehensive Community Infrastructure proposal. The activities and the deliverables have assisted in structuring work in
the public sector that is difficult to achieve without such a process. In retrospect, the results from the efforts go much further than just
the direct goals of the program. The planning and mapping activities are going to be continued as ongoing quality measurements for
the viNGN network beyond the term of the ARRA SBDD program.

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct set forth in the award documents.	and complete for performance of activities for the purpose					
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)					
Julito Francis	(340) 714-1635					
	12d. Email Address					
	jafrancis@usvipfa.com					
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)					
Submitted Electronically	12-29-2010					
	Performance Progress Report OMB Approval Number: 0660-0034 Expiration Date: 08/31/2010					