Performance Progress Report						1	2. Award Or Grant Number 11-50-M09011 4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 01-12-2011				
1. Recipient Name	0070							6. Designated Entity On Behalf Of:			
District of Columbia	0010						L	District of Columbia			
3. Street Address								8. Final Rep	9. Report Frequency		
441 4th Street NW,								⊖ Yes	 Quarterly Semi Annual 		
5. City, State, Zip Coo	le							No			
Washington, DC 200	001									⊖ Final	
 Project / Grant Pe Start Date: (MM/D 		7a. End I	Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	7 R	b. eporting Period End Date:			9a. If Other, please describe:			
10-01-2009	0/111)		-2014		2-31-2010	-	1	N/A			
10. Broadband	Manning	20 00	10a. Provider Table								
	mapping										
•	Number of		Number of Agreement		Number of Partial		Number		Numb		
	Providers Con	itacted	Reached for Data Sha	aring			Complete	e Data Sets		Sets Verified	
0 0			0		0	0		0			
10b. Are you submittin	g the required	I PROV	IDER DATA by using th	ne Ex	cel spreadsheet provid	ded	d by the S	SBDD grants	s office?	⊖Yes ●No	
10c. Have you encour	ntered challen	ges witl	h any providers that indi	cate	they may refuse to pa	rtic	cipate in t	his project?	Yes	◯No	
10d. If so, describe the	e discussions	to date	with each of these provi	iders	s and the current status	s					
Transbeam, Inc. info	rmed us that	they w	vill not provide us with	h an	y of the requested	dat	ta. We l	nave comn	nunicate	ed by email and	
telephone with execu	tives of the	compa	ny. The stated that th	hey	did not have time to	o co	ompile th	ne data and	d since	the program was	
 voluntary they have chosen not to participate. Global Crossing Telecommunications, Inc., Inmarsat, Inc. and Qwest Communications Company claimed that they were exempt from submitting any data because they did not provide broadband services to new customers within the ten-business-days interval. We suspect that there may be other broadband service providers in the District that chose not to respond to our email and telephone requests for data in Spring 2010 and Fall 2010 by virtue that they filed Form 477s for service in the District with the FCC. These companies include: Airespring, Inc.; Broadcore, Inc.; Broadwing Communications LLC; Metropolitan Telecommunications of DC; New Edge Network, Inc.; Shenandoah Telecommunications Company; Smart Choice Communications, LLC; Spacenet; Telefonica USA, Inc.; and Wave2Wave Communications, Inc. 10e. If you are collecting data through other means (e.g. data extraction, extrapolation, etc), please describe your progress to date and the relevant activities to be undertaken in the future 								days interval. email and telephone he FCC. These unications of DC; New et; Telefonica USA,			
Providers are the primary source of all broadband availability data. Secondary sources are used for verification only.											
10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement Wireless Validation - The District completed drive testing of major wireless providers. Drive tests were completed in a single vehicle employing multiple laptops and GPS.											
Wireline Validation The District, through PSC, has made extensive use of FCC Form 477 data. The Form 477 was used to: verify that we have contacted the correct providers; compare the technology of transmission and speed of transmission between what was reported to the FCC and what was submitted by the provider; and compare the geography reported to the FCC in census tracts with the areas submitted to the District in census blocks. Finally, the District purchased a database of broadband subscribers from a commercial mailing list company InfoUSA. The dataset is used to crosscheck data coming from providers. Where discrepancies have been found, the providers were asked for more information. This method only works for companies with a large number of subscribers. 10g. Have you initiated verification activities? Intervention of your activities District of Columbia Government believes believes its wireless drive testing is very effective. We have confirmed the presence of all major providers. We do find that in some locations some provider's service speed did not meet the definition at the moment of the drive test. We have not "invalidated" such providers. We don't feel that we occupy any one location long enough to invalidate a given provider that is meeting the definition of broadband service nearby. DC has found errors with its wireline validation process. The											
provider that is meeti	ng the defini	ition of			by. DC has found en	rroi	rs with it	ts wireline		on process. The Performance Progress Report	

process works best for larger firms that have numerous subscribers and our checks against form 477 need to be applied more											
carefully.											
10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities											
N/A											
Staffing											
10j. How many jobs have	e been created or retained	as a result of th	nis project?								
2.51 jobs to date.											
	ntly fully staffed? OYes how any lack of staffing n	\sim	vroiect's time	line and w	vhan th	e project will b	o fully staff	ed			
We will be adding con	tractors to assist with V 's broadband Web site.		-				-		g, bu	t has effected	
	how many full-time equiva	alent (FTE) jobs	do you exp	ect to creat	e or ret	tain as a result	t of this pro	ject?			
5 (all contractors)											
10n. Staffing Table											
		Job Title						FTE	%	Date of Hire	
Senior Database Adm	Senior Database Administrator (percentages are for the 4th quarter 2010) 5 6/01/2010										
GIS Analyst 75 6/15/2010											
GIS Analyst 75 4/01/2010									4/01/2010		
		Add Row		Remov	e Rov	N					
Sub Contracts 100. Subcontracts Table	1										
Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start D	ate End Date		Federal Func		In	n-Kind Funds	
OST Inc.	Contractor Staffing (existing DC contract)	Ν	Y	03/22/2010		09/30/2011 216,201		1 0			
DC Public Service Commission	Provider relations	N	Y	02/02/2010		09/30/2011	69,569		0		
	Add Row						Remove Row				
Funding											
10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$492,912 10q. How much Remains? \$3,548,904											
10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$189,093 10s. How much Remains? \$1,155,443											
10t. Budget Worksheet	Federal	Dreneed	т		F	ederal	Matakina	E un ele		Total Euroda	
Mapping Budget Eleme	Mapping Budget Element Funds Granted		Proposed To In-Kind Bud			Funds kpended	Matching Funds Expended			Total Funds Expended	
Personal Salaries \$144,505		\$637,394	\$78	1,899		\$0	\$168,558			\$168,558	
Personnel Fringe Benefits \$31,791		\$90,088	\$12	1,879		\$0	\$20,535			\$20,535	
Travel	vel \$0		:	\$0		\$0 \$		60		\$0	
Equipment	\$158,000	\$36	4,247	\$2,644		\$0			\$2,644		
Materials / Supplies	Materials / Supplies \$125,000 \$0 \$125,000 \$0 \$0 \$0										

Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended		
Subcontracts Total	Granted \$1,578,977	\$148,635	\$1,727,612	Expended \$167,636	\$0	\$167,636		
Subcontract #1	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$216,201	\$0	\$216,201		
Subcontract #2	\$120,000	\$0	\$120,000	\$69,569	\$0	\$69,569		
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Other	\$265,340	\$310,419	\$575,759	\$36,862	\$0	\$36,862		
Total Direct Costs	\$3,971,860	\$1,344,536	\$5,316,396	\$492,912	\$189,093	\$682,005		
Total Indirect Costs	\$69,956	\$0	\$69,956	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total Costs	\$4,041,816	\$1,344,536	\$5,386,352	\$492,912	\$189,093	\$682,005		
% Of Total	75	25	100	72	28	100		
The software has been purchased. The broadband mapping project contributed to DC's ESRI Enterprise License. DC has been using virtual servers from the DC's Office of Chief Technology Officer Data Center and has not purchased dedicated physical servers as originally planned. 10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased We will be making software maintains payments annually. The 2011 payment has not yet been made. 10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? •Yes ONO 10y. If yes, please list Broadband subscribers in the District of Columbia from InfoUSA. We we be updating this data in 1 quarter of 2011. 10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included? OYes NO 10aa. If yes, please list N/A 10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing NTIA found an error DC's fall 2010 wireline data submission. DC over reported fiber coverage for two providers. DC received conflicting information from these providers, but our validation process should have found the problem. We are attempting to collect data earlier and allow more time for validation.								
10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project								
N/A								

11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

In the past year, the District has held two DC Community Broadband Summits (Feb and Oct 2010) to organize and educate the digital divide community about the grant-funded and other efforts. We have also developed a public education campaign which should launch in March, including a web site, PSA, posters/flyers and a Mobile Technology Lab (former bookmobile retrofitted as a computer lab). We are also in the process of developing the application process for the micro-grant program and hope to have hired our broadband coordinator by the end of 1Q11. Finally, we have been closely working and coordinating with two neighborhoods that are organizing to develop their own community broadband networks.

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

We have not yet encountered any challenges or obstacles.

11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning? • Yes O No

11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented

We will ask NTIA for approval to shift funds (both initial and additional) among categories. We have overestimated our funding needs for our Advisory Committee, DCPL/non-profit training, and semi-annual summit meetings. We would like to reduce those amounts and add them to the allocations for public education/outreach, micro-grants, and grant financial reporting/compliance.

Funding

 11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter?
 \$0
 11h. How much Remains?

11i. Planning Worksheet							
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Additional Planning Information							

\$0

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

The additional planning funds are not yet loaded and available, so there are no milestones at this time.

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

The only real challenge has been getting the additional planning funds loaded and made available for use. Our financial office is working on it and should have any issues resolved shortly. In the meantime, we have been relying only on the initial funds to proceed with the project.

11I. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

The District of Columbia digital divide community is energized and active as a result of this grant and the BTOP grants the city has received. As a result, substantial activities forwarding the grant's purposes are already occurring even without using grant funds.

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.						
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)					
Joseph Carella	2027153743					
BTOP Program Consultant	12d. Email Address					
	joe.carella@dc.gov					
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)					
Submitted Electronically	02-11-2011					