| U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE | | | | | | | 2. Award Or Grant Number | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|
| Performance Progress Report | | | | | | | | 06-50-M09001 | | |
| | Pertorn | 4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 10-14-2010 | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Recipient Name | 1. Recipient Name 6. Designated Entity On Behalf Of: | | | | | | | | | |
| CA Public Utilities | CA Public Utilities Commission N/A | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Street Address | | | | | | | | | | |
| 505 Van Ness Ave | - | | | | | | Yes | QuarterlySemi Annual | | |
| City, State, Zip CSan Francisco, CA | | | | | | | ● No | | ○ Annual ○ Final | |
| 7. Project / Grant F | Period | 7a. | | 7b | | | 9a. If Other, please describe: | | | |
| Start Date: (MM 10-01-2009 | /DD/YYYY) | End 0 | Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) | 1 | eporting Period End Date -30-2010 | e: | N/A | | | |
| 10. Broadband | Mannin | | -2013 | 03 | -30-2010 | | | | | |
| 10a. Provider Table | | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of Providers Identified | Number of Providers C | ontacted | Number of Agreemen | | | | Number of Complete Data Sets | | oer of Sets Verified | |
| 93 | 93 | untacteu | Reached for Data Sh | iailiy | Data Sets Received 0 | 73 | ele Dala Sels | 73 | sets vermed | |
| | ting the requir | ed PROV | /IDER DATA by using t | he Fx | ccel spreadsheet provid | l ded by the | SBDD grants | office? | Yes No | |
| 10b. Are you submitting the required PROVIDER DATA by using the Excel spreadsheet provided by the SBDD grants office? No 10c. Have you encountered challenges with any providers that indicate they may refuse to participate in this project? No 10d. If so, describe the discussions to date with each of these providers and the current status Some contacted providers were non-responsive, some refused to cooperate, and others submitted partial data and stopped returning calls and emails. Further research determined that nearly all those not responding were either pure resellers, or do not provision services in 10 days or less, thus not meeting the definition of broadband provider. 10e. If you are collecting data through other means (e.g. data extraction, extrapolation, etc), please describe your progress to date and the relevant activities to be undertaken in the future The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) is collecting data that is available from other sources and that data will be used in analyzing broadband data (for example, location of tribal lands in California). But, we are not using alternate methods to collect broadband data. 10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement A variety of verification activities are performed. First, the data files received from providers are reviewed to make sure data was provided, mandatory fields were filled in, values are in the correct ranges, and so forth. The data is then geocoded and geomatched, with hit rates gathered for each provider. The data then goes through another round of verification: availability data is verified using Federal Communications Commission (FCC) 477 detail by provider and census tract for Gilfornia; cable providers data is compared to digitized flyover maps from FCC leakage reports; Digital Subscriber Line (DSL) services are compared to TeleAtlas wire center data to calculate percentage of loops within 12,000 and 18,000 feet from wire center locations; FCC speed test data is used to verify availability; I | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.15 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.10 | | | | | | | | | | |

| - | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-----|---------------|-------------------------|
| 10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? Yes No 10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed | | | | | | | | | | |
| CPUC hired a Research Analyst III, Geographic Information System (GIS), however that person left the position on August 18, 2010. CPUC is currently in the process of evaluating applications to fill that position. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project? | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7.19 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10n. Staffing Table | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Job Title FTE % Date of Hi | | | | | | | | Date of Hire | |
| Associate Information | Systems Analyst (Spec | cialist) (CPUC) | | | | | | 10 | 0 | 06/01/2010 |
| Associate Information | Systems Analyst (Spec | cialist) (CPUC) | | | | | | 100 | | 06/01/2010 |
| Research Analyst II (C | GIS) (CPUC) | | | | | | | 100 | | 07/30/2010 |
| Research Analyst III (| GIS) (CPUC) | | | | | | | 100 | | 07/30/2010 |
| GIS Assistant [Chico S | State University, Chico I | Research Fou | ndation (C | hico)] | | | | 26 | | 03/01/2010 |
| Project Manager/Trair | ner | | | | | | | 14 | | 01/01/2010 |
| GIS Analyst (Chico) | | | | | | | | 21 | 1 01/01/2010 | |
| Programmer (Chico) | | | | | | | | 7 | | 03/15/2010 |
| GIS Assistant (Chico) | | | | | | | 57 | | 03/15/2010 | |
| Project Manager | | | | | | | | 90 | | 01/01/2010 |
| Add Row Remove Row | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub Contracts 10o. Subcontracts Table | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100. Subcontracts Table | | RFP Issued | Contract | | | | | | | |
| Name of Subcontractor | Purpose of Subcontract | (Y/N) | Executed (Y/N) | Start Da | ate | End Date | Federal Funds | | In-Kind Funds | |
| California State University, Chico Research Foundation | /, Chico program. Without the | | Y | 03/01/2010 | | 10/01/2014 | 1,362,927 | | 50,000 | |
| California State University, Chico Research Foundation | Please see answer in Question 11a | N | Υ | 03/01/2010 | | 10/01/2014 | 500,000 | | 0 | |
| Add Row | | | | | | low | Remove Row | | | |
| Funding | | | | | | | | | | 07. |
| 10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$466,140 10q. How much Remains? \$7,515,164 10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$460,206 10s. How much Remains? \$1,542,844 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10r. How much matching 10t. Budget Worksheet | | | | | | | | | \$1,542,844 | |
| Mapping Budget Eleme | Federal | | Proposed Total In-Kind Budget | | Federal Funds Expended | | Matching Funds Expended | | S | Total Funds Expended |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Mapping Budget Element | Federal Funds Granted | Proposed In-Kind | Total Budget | Federal Funds Expended | Matching Funds Expended | Total Funds Expended |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Personal Salaries | \$2,436,672 | \$1,076,831 | \$3,513,503 | \$25,745 | \$243,368 | \$269,113 |
| Personnel Fringe Benefits | \$694,626 | \$352,506 | \$1,047,132 | \$5,200 | \$76,114 | \$81,315 |
| Travel | \$190,910 | \$0 | \$190,910 | \$522 | \$0 | \$522 |
| Equipment | \$2,432,092 | \$62,501 | \$2,494,593 | \$178,415 | \$62,501 | \$240,916 |
| Materials / Supplies | \$9,656 | \$0 | \$9,656 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontracts Total | \$1,862,927 | \$50,000 | \$1,912,927 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontract #1 | \$1,362,927 | \$0 | \$1,412,927 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontract #2 | \$500,000 | \$0 | \$500,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontract #3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontract #4 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontract #5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other | \$190,220 | \$461,212 | \$651,432 | \$188,592 | \$78,223 | \$266,815 |
| Total Direct Costs | \$7,817,103 | \$2,003,050 | \$9,820,153 | \$398,474 | \$460,206 | \$858,680 |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$164,201 | \$0 | \$164,201 | \$67,666 | \$0 | \$67,666 |
| Total Costs | \$7,981,304 | \$2,003,050 | \$9,984,354 | \$466,140 | \$460,206 | \$926,346 |
| % Of Total | 80 | 20 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Hardware / Software 10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application? 10v. If yes, please list |
|--|
| The CPUC has purchased the software and equipment as listed in Q3 Supplemental Answers Document Question 10V. |
| 10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased |
| The following software/hardware is scheduled to be purchased at a later date as needed to transition web hosting of the state interactive map from CSU Chico to the CPUC. Please see Q3 Supplemental Answers Document Question 10W. |
| 10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? No |
| 10y. If yes, please list |
| CPUC has acquired the rights to use: Local Exchange Carriers (LEC) Central Offices from TeleAtlas. |
| 10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included? |
| 10aa. If yes, please list |
| During Quarter 3 the CPUC collected 2,403,298 total records for its second round of data submission. CPUC evaluated these records for quality assurance/quality control. CSU Chico cached the necessary data needed for development of the state broadband map. |
| 10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing |
| N/A |
| 10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project |
| N/A |
| 11. Broadband Planning |
| 11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status Determining and Reporting Broadband Adoption Rates: Awaiting second semi-annual updates due December 31, 2010 (4th Quarter) to update Broadband Penetration rates and to determine increases in Broadband Adoption against current target of 551,109 households. |
| |
| Information Sharing: Contact target groups and other Sustainable Broadband Adoption grant recipients to identify and share best practices, success stories, and adoption materials. 70% Round 2 American Recovery Reinvestment Act (ARRA) Broadband Sustainable Adoption Grants have been contacted. 5 of 30 Anchor Institutions contacted and 1 of 20 Native American Communities contacted. |
| Identify: Identify technology, local government, community-based organizations, and regional planning organizations to coordinate and distribute materials, statistics, and success stories. 26 of 58 California counties approached to initiate Broadband Sustainable Adoption discussions. Initial discussion also conducted with 5 regional economic groups and 1 Native American Community. |
| 11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing |
| N/A |
| 11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning? Yes No |
| 11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented |
| N/A |

Funding

11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$0

11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter?

11f. How much Remains?

11h. How much Remains?

\$0

\$0

11i. Planning Worksheet

| 9 | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Planning Budget Element | Federal Funds Granted | Proposed In-Kind | Total Budget | Federal Funds Expended | Matching Funds Expended | Total Funds Expended |
| Personal Salaries | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Personnel Fringe Benefits | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Travel | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Equipment | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Materials / Supplies | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontracts Total | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontract #1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontract #2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontract #3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontract #4 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subcontract #5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Direct Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| % Of Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| i | | | ! | ! | ! | ! |

Additional Planning Information

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

N/A

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

N/A

11I. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

N/A

| 12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents. | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official | 12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension) | | | | | | |
| Zee Wong | (died dede, Hamber, and extension) | | | | | | |
| | 12d. Email Address | | | | | | |
| | zee.wong@cpuc.ca.gov | | | | | | |
| 12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official | 12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year) | | | | | | |
| Submitted Electronically | 11-19-2010 | | | | | | |
| | Performance Progress Report OMB Approval Number: 0860-0034 Expiration Date: 08/31/2010 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |