U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CO	2. Award Or Grant N 01-50-M09013	2. Award Or Grant Number 01-50-M09013		
Performance Progress F	4. Report Date (MM. 01-14-2011	4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 01-14-2011		
Recipient Name Alabama Department of Economic and Community Affairs (ADECA)	6. Designated Entity Alabama	On Behalf Of:	
3. Street Address P.O. Box 5690, 401 Adams Avenue,		8. Final Report? Yes	9. Report FrequencyQuarterlySemi Annual	
5. City, State, Zip Code Montgomery, AL 36104-5690		● No	O Annual O Final	
7. Project / Grant Period 7a. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) 11-01-2009 10-30-2014	7b. Reporting Period End Date: 12-31-2010	9a. If Other, please	describe:	
10. Broadband Mapping 10a. Provider Table				
Number of Providers Identified Providers Contacted Reached for Data Sh		er of Number of Data Sets Verified 0		
10c. Have you encountered challenges with any providers that ind 10d. If so, describe the discussions to date with each of these providers who have encountered any Alabama providers who have flat simply do not receive a response to our repeated requests for 10e. If you are collecting data through other means (e.g. data extra activities to be undertaken in the future. As was mentioned in the Q3 report, LinkAMERICA continuent necessary. Third party data sources and engineering analysestimation. 10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to impleme The LinkAMERICA team uses common procedures in each segmented into four distinct categories. All four processes at 1.) Third Party Data Comparison: As data arrives from proving would be the comparison of an ILECs reported census block product. If a reported block falls outside a known exchange 2.) Provider Validation: PDF check maps and other "check of collection round. We ask them to either verify or correct the coverage information. 3.) Data Format Verification: Several processing scripts have Model. These scripts help identify anomalies such as "island ensure the data can be easily imported by NTIA without error 4.) Consumer Feedback/Verification: The ConnectingALAB the precise coordinates of each point of feedback. As more when provider-reported data consistently conflicts with conspublic interactive map later in 2011. 10g. Have you initiated verification activities? ●Yes No	ly refused to participate in the proor data. We are once again read action, extrapolation, etc), please desert to augment provider data with sis based on reported Middle Miller of the four LinkAMERICA states are ONGOING and occur with early with the exchange area bound area, the block is flagged and the lata" documents are given to procoverage and speed information we been developed to analyze the ds" and "donut holes" that requireds. AMA interactive map contains a user feedback arrives, we are all	ogram. In some case thing out to these processing out to the contract of the data of the d	ses, however, we oviders in Round 3. I date and the relevant rage and speed when the primary means of attion can be on round. Sources. An example the ExchangeInfo data ted for clarification. Sing of each data and to add new on, but they also the primary means of attinguishing the example of the exchange of the exchange of the exchange of the exchange of the example of the exchange of the example of the exchange of the exchan	

10h. If yes, please describe the status of your activities

Activities 1-4 above are currently under way and are repeated with each incoming round of data.

10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities

In years 3-5 we have budgeted for additional drive testing and crowd-sourcing measures to verify mobile broadband coverage. These activities will begin in early 2012 and continue into 2013.

Staffing

10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project?

In Q4 2010 SBDD funding has resulted in 3 FTEs at the Prime Recipient level and 1.07 total FTEs at the Subrecipient level - for a total of 4.07FTEs.

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed?

Yes

No

10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed

Staffing was finalized in Q3 2010 with the hiring of three additional Regional Coordinators. These three individuals work directly for ADECA, the program's Prime Recipient.

10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

Total of 4.0-4.5 FTEs (depending upon number of hours worked each quarter)

10n. Staffing Table

Job Title	FTE %	Date of Hire
CEO-Supervisor Role	1	11/01/2009
Project Director	10	11/01/2009
Project Manager	23	11/01/2009
GIS Director	19	11/01/2009
Internal System Support/Architecture	30	11/01/2009
Provider Relations Manager	24	11/01/2009
Regional Coordinator	97	09/07/2010
Regional Coordinator	97	08/31/2010
Regional Coordinator	97	09/07/2010

Add Row Remove Row

Sub Contracts

10o. Subcontracts Table

Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Federal Funds	In-Kind Funds
I COSTUDIAST ASSOCIATAS	Project Management/GIS Programming and Planning Services	N	Y	11/01/2009	10/31/2011	1,134,958	100,028

Add Row Remove Row

Funding

10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$702,688 10q. How much Remains? \$4,171,454

10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$470,386 10s. How much Remains? \$749,096

10t. Budget Worksheet

\$692,281			Expended	Expended	Expended
	\$228,405	\$920,686	\$23,652	\$0	\$23,652
\$319,163	\$85,318	\$404,481	\$16,340	\$0	\$16,340
\$38,795	\$0	\$38,795	\$1,965	\$0	\$1,965
\$64,700	\$0	\$64,700	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$10,500	\$0	\$10,500	\$5,459	\$0	\$5,459
\$1,760,324	\$347,302	\$2,107,626	\$641,866	\$470,386	\$1,112,252
\$1,760,324	\$347,302	\$2,107,626	\$641,866	\$470,386	\$1,112,252
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$73,422	\$73,422	\$841	\$0	\$841
\$2,885,763	\$734,447	\$3,620,210	\$690,126	\$470,386	\$1,160,512
\$92,056	\$10,008	\$102,064	\$12,562	\$0	\$12,562
\$2,977,819	\$744,455	\$3,722,274	\$702,688	\$470,386	\$1,173,074
80	20	100	60	40	100
	\$38,795 \$64,700 \$10,500 \$1,760,324 \$1,760,324 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,885,763 \$92,056 \$2,977,819	\$38,795 \$0 \$64,700 \$0 \$10,500 \$0 \$1,760,324 \$347,302 \$1,760,324 \$347,302 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$73,422 \$2,885,763 \$734,447 \$92,056 \$10,008 \$2,977,819 \$744,455	\$38,795 \$0 \$38,795 \$64,700 \$0 \$64,700 \$10,500 \$0 \$10,500 \$1,760,324 \$347,302 \$2,107,626 \$1,760,324 \$347,302 \$2,107,626 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$38,795 \$0 \$38,795 \$1,965 \$64,700 \$0 \$64,700 \$0 \$10,500 \$0 \$10,500 \$5,459 \$1,760,324 \$347,302 \$2,107,626 \$641,866 \$1,760,324 \$347,302 \$2,107,626 \$641,866 \$0	\$38,795 \$0 \$38,795 \$1,965 \$0 \$0 \$64,700 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$10,500 \$0 \$10,500 \$51,760,324 \$347,302 \$2,107,626 \$641,866 \$470,386 \$1,760,324 \$347,302 \$2,107,626 \$641,866 \$470,386 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Hardware / Software

10v. If yes, please list

N/A

Lapto	p computers	s and software	designated for	r sub-recipient	staff in Y	ears 1-2,	and equipmer	nt for Regiona	al and State	Coordinator	rs has
		A -414 -4		# 4000				(0000			

●Yes ○No

been purchased. Actual cost of these products was \$4026 compared to a budgeted amount of \$3835.

10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application?

All software/hardware listed in the budget for Years 1-2 has been purchased. Software and Hardware for transition of the interactive maps to state hosting, in the amount of \$44,700 will be purchased in 2012. This purchase will include a Database Server, Web/GIS Server, and external storage device, and the necessary MS SQL Server and ESRI software licenses.

10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets?	
10y. If yes, please list	
American Roamer (\$5147), Media Prints (\$500), and ExchangeInfo (\$3805)	
10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?	○Yes ●No
10aa. If yes, please list	

Performance Progress Report OMB Approval Number: 0660-0034 Expiration Date: 12/31/2013

10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

The team did not experience any significant challenges or obstacles in Q4 2010 that have not already been addressed in past reports. We did make several changes to the functionality of the online interactive map in Q4 based on feedback from the general public and the Regional Planning Teams. However, we see evolution of the mapping interface and functionality as a normal part of the project's progression.

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project The majority of comments in past reports are still valid. We would very much appreciate a new project NOFA Technical Appendix that takes into account the lessons learned in Year 1 as well as NTIA's verbal instructions for data collection and processing. Many providers are familiar with the original program NOFA and data format and are reluctant to provide additional information or differently formatted information without a formal clarification, in writing, from NTIA. We have spoken to the program office on this topic and believe it is being addressed.

11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

Connecting Alabama has developed a dynamic planning team, with a Statewide Planning and Outreach Coordinator, 3 Regional Planners and a partnership with ASU to house an intern coordinator. We will be utilizing the intern support to assist with plan development, community anchor validation, and data research. With the successful engagement of the planning team, the coordinators began intense distribution of the Broadband Awareness and adoption videos through local libraries, chamber of commerce, local governments, and other engaged stakeholder organizations. The Regional Coordinators have begun delivery of the regional planning introductory meetings, and have begun developing the regional Broadband Action Teams that will provide guidance to the development of the planning documents. In addition, program staff has developed a newsletter "Up to Speed" to enhance the awareness of the broadband project. All 12 of the regions have conducted a kickoff meetings, which were delivered as a "get up and get excited about broadband" meeting. All 12 regions have conducted at least one planning session. The planning team, led by the Outreach coordinator, are developing priorities and refining the comments from the consumers. During these meetings as issues are raised the teams are also offering short term solutions to the long standing problems. IN addition, the teams are collecting the technological assets that are available in the community and helping to promote their use.

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

A continuing challenge is the census tract display of the mapping effort, we are receiving more calls from consumers about the maps not being correct now that the data is represented by tracks instead of street level.

11c. Does the Project Tea	am anticinate any chanc	es to the project plan for	r Broadhand Planning?	CVoc	(A) N/

11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented

n/a

Funding

11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$0 11f. How much Remains?							
11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$0 11h. How much Remains? \$0							
11i. Planning Worksheet							
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Performance Progress Report OMB Approval Number: 0660-0034

Expiration Date: 12/31/2013

11i. Planning Worksheet						
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0

Additional Planning Information

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

no

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

due to the census block display we are tracking all notes and verifying them with the providers website, our data, and any other mapping data that is being used as validation

111. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

n/a

 Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct a set forth in the award documents. 	nd complete for performance of activities for the purpose
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)
Jessica Dent	(along odds) manifolis, and onto ods.
	12d. Email Address
	jessica.dent@adeca.alabama.gov
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)
Submitted Electronically	02-10-2011