DATE: 02/10/2015

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE PROGRESS REPORT FOR BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS					
General Information					
1. Federal Agency and Organizational Element to Which Report is Submitted 2. Award Identific		ation Number	3. DUNS Number		
Department of Commerce, National Telecommunications and Information Administration	NT10BIX5570070		147368641		
4. Recipient Organization					
Massachusetts Technology Park 75 North Drive , Westborough, MA 01581-3335					
5. Current Reporting Period End Date (MM/DD/YYYY)		6. Is this the last	Annual Report of the Award Period?		
12-31-2014		● Yes 〇 No			
7. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and purposes set forth in the award documents.	d belief that this re	oort is correct and	complete for performance of activities for the		
7a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Certifying Officia	al	7c. Telephone (ar	ea code, number and extension)		
Lisa Erlandson					
		7d. Email Address	5		
		erlandson@mas	stech.org		
7b. Signature of Certifying Official		7e. Date Report S	ubmitted (MM/DD/YYYY):		
Submitted Electronically		02-10-2015			

AWARD NUMBER: NT10BIX5570070

DATE: 02/10/2015

OVERALL PROJECT PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

1. Please provide the following average cost figures for your project. Please review the instructions to determine how to calculate these figures. Write "0" in the second column and "N/A" in the third column if your project does not yet have this information. Depending on whether your project contains Middle Mile and/or Last Mile components, some metrics may not apply. Please provide a narrative description if the total is different from the target provided in your baseline plan (600 words or less).

Cost Indicator	Average Cost / Speed	Narrative (describe your reasons for any variance from the baseline plan or any other relevant information)				
Average cost per new mile (Middle Mile)	\$50,270.61 / mile	Based on the 949 new route miles against a total cost of \$ 47,706,807				
Average cost per household passed (Last Mile)	0	N/A				
Average cost per subscriber (Last Mile)	0	N/A				
Maximum broadband speed advertised (Middle Mile)	1 Gbps	For Ethernet services, we offer up to 1 Gigabit Ethernet. For wavelength services, we offer 10 Gigabit wavelength				
Maximum broadband speed advertised (Last Mile)	0	N/A				
Average broadband speed provided (Middle Mile)	52 Mbps	Provisioned speeds (excluding wavelength services) range from 5 Mbps to 1Gbps. The average speed is based on 228 active Ethernet and Broadband services.				
Average broadband speed provided (Last Mile)	0	N/A				
	•					

2. Please provide each facility name and type, the county where the facility is located, and census tract information for any facilities funded by your project during this annual reporting period. Report only facilities for which construction has been completed.

Facility Identifier / Na	ime	Facility Type	County	Census Tracts
See Addendum		See Addendum	See Addendum	See Addendum
	Add Facil	ity	R	emove Facility

3. Please identify (1) the total number of interconnection, peering, and/or transit agreements entered into during this annual reporting period; (2) the total number of agreements of each type that you are currently negotiating; and (3) whether you have denied any request for interconnection and if so, why. If you have not entered into any agreements, please write "N/A."

Interconnection Agreements (600 words or less)
Total number of interconnection agreements: 19
Total number of interconnection agreements currently being negotiating: 0
No requests for interconnection have been denied
Listing of parties with executed interconnection agreements:
186 Communications
Access Plus
Ayacht Technology Solutions
Berkshire Unified Phone
CELT
Community WISP
Cornerstone Telephone
Crocker Communications
DSCI
FTG
HG&E
Lightower
MECNet (Addition Networks)
PEG Bandwidth
Streamline Networks

RECIPIENT NAME: Massachusetts Technology Park

AWARD NUMBER: NT10BIX5570070

DATE: 02/10/2015

TOWARDWX Warwick Broadband Service Windstream Wired West

Peering and Transit Agreements (600 words or less)

Total number of peering agreements: 0 Total number of peering agreements currently being negotiating: 0 No requests for peering have been denied

Total number of transit agreements: 1 Total number of transit agreements currently being negotiating: 0 No requests for transit have been denied Listing of parties with executed transit agreements: Crocker Communications Inc.

CAPACITY, UTILIZATION, AND CAPABILITY INDICATORS

4. Community Anchor Institutions: In the chart below, please provide information on the types of community anchor institutions capable of receiving service (i.e., anchor institutions connected to your network plus those passed by your network) as a result of BTOP funds.

Type of Community Anchor Institution	Total Number Within Service Area	Type of Community Anchor Institution	Total Number Within Service Area
Schools (K-12)	232	Public Housing	24
Libraries	143	Other Institutions of Higher Education	4
Medical and Healthcare Providers	56	Other Community Support Organizations	64
Public Safety Entities	378	Other Government Facilities	324
Community Colleges	8	Total Community Anchor Institutions	1,233

5. Please indicate the average increase in broadband speed provided to the community anchor institution customers as a result of your project, including a description of how this increase was calculated (600 words or less).

The average increase in broadband speed provided to the community anchor institutions (CAIs) is approximately 67 Mbps.

This figure is based upon each CAI using the lowest value from the Planned Speed Tier range and assumes that CAIs classified as "New" are considered to have a broadband speed of 0 Mbps and CAIs classified as "Improved" are considered to currently have broadband service at one speed tier lower than their Planned Speed Tier.

Speed Tiers for this purpose are 1-19 Mbps, 20-49 Mbps, 50-99 Mbps, 100 - 999 Mbps, and 1Gbps+.

6. What retail services are being provided by this project? Please describe below. (600 words or less). As an attachment to this report, please provide pricing plans (in \$ per month) associated with each retail service. Retail services description:

MassTech does not provide any retail services directly to CAI. It provides wholesale services to Retail Services Providers via the MassBroadband123 network who in turn provide retail services to connect CAIs. The Wholesale Pricing Plan for Retail Services Providers is attached the final PPR.

7a. What network management policies (e.g., bandwidth limitations, traffic prioritization) are in place for the services provided by your project? 7b. Have you ever limited or blocked consumers from accessing any lawful content, service, service provider, or application, or prevented any consumers from attaching any legal device to the network? If so, please explain why (300 words or less)? 7a. None at this time

7b. No

8. If applicable, please provide the total number and the percentage of subscribers who have dropped the broadband service provided through this project (total number of households and/or businesses and the "churn rate") and the subscribers' reasons for discontinuing their service (600 words or less). N/A

9. Please provide the following information regarding the number of fiber strand-miles:

AWARD NUMBER: NT10BIX5570070

DATE: 02/10/2015

DATE: 02/10/2015					EXPIRATION DATE: 6/30/2015				
Total Number of	Total Number of Active Fiber	Total Number of Leased Fiber	er Dark Fiber		Total Number of Strand-miles Being Built				
Strand-miles	Strand-miles Used by Recipient	t Strand-miles			Active		Leased	Dark	
143,095	17,426	8			0		0	0	
customers: Currently leasing	a 4 fiber-mile rou	-	setts Executiv		the number of fiber mile	-	-	-	
11. Please provid	de the following in	formation regarding	the facility co	llocat	ion capacity:				
Total Facility (tota all facil		Number of Square F Recipien		Nur	nber of Square Feet Le	ased	Number of So	quare Feet Available	
5,78	34	5,784	4		0		0		
12. If you do not o network (600 word	wn collocation spa Is or less).	ace, please describe	how and whe	re oth	er network providers a	nd/or c	ustomers inter	connect with your	
he installation of		onnections to all Po			ted in the Colocation (ction nodes that will ho				
he number of rac	k units available		s by site. The	squa	ice providers to install are footage listed abov arty use.				
	nodified by NTIA's	adoption of an alter			B) concerns as defined ess size standard for us				
14. Please descr	ibe any best pract	ices/lessons learned	I that can be s	harec	I with other similar BTC)P proje	ects (900 words	s or less).	
Make-Ready									
proactively, and a private entities. C from all companie Government Rela	aggressively with Operations staffs as met bi-weekly a ations staffs of the	all utility pole owner from all companies and company execu	s and all thirc were in regula utives met on ompanies. Th	l part ar co an as is str	usetts Broadband Inst ies on the poles includ mmunication on a wee s-needed basis. MBI v ategy built strong supp	ling ca ekly and was in	ble providers, d often daily b regular comm	municipalities and asis. Senior staffs unication with	
MBI held a variety of weekly project management meetings to oversee the make-ready process including weekly meetings that brought together joint pole owners. Typically each owner or third party preferred to manage their own make-ready work and they were uncomfortable meeting with joint owners and licensees. MBI understood from the start that collaborative project management would be required to stay on top of make-ready work on over 30,000 utility poles. MBI collected work progress trackers from each company and loaded them into one database so all parties involved in the make-ready work for a single pole could work together to clear roadblocks and work together on the same MBI identified priorities. MBI liaised among the various parties to mitigate problems, particularly in a situation where one company was road blocked by another.									
Whenever possible, MTC executed agreements with municipalities, cable providers and other third parties to enable our builder to perform make-ready construction. If the pole owner knew that MTC's contractor was going to perform third party make-ready, they would release licenses before all the work was complete and allow MTC to perform the third party make-ready work in conjunction wit installation of our cable. This required very close communication among all parties, but saved time and gave the contractor more flexibility in prioritizing where they work.									

Construction

RECIPIENT NAME: Massachusetts Technology Park

AWARD NUMBER: NT10BIX5570070

DATE: 02/10/2015

OMB CONTROL NUMBER: 0660-0037 EXPIRATION DATE: 6/30/2015

Regardless of how quickly licenses are issued, on a project of this size there are many issues that arise that can cause delays. MTC worked closely with our builder to find ways to mitigate delays and compress the schedule where possible. Some of the practices that have helped MassBroadband 123 to make up time in the schedule include:

• Beginning negotiations with utilities and railroads prior to final design completion

• Installing messenger strand ahead of fiber when there are not enough contiguous miles of licenses to install fiber. MTC fiber is typically stored on 20,000 foot reels and can not be cut arbitrarily. Strand is stored on smaller reels and can be cut as needed. This helped keeps crews working during lulls in licensing so they could stay on the project and not have to demobilize and then remobilize again at a later time.

• Partnering with a prime contractor with relationships and access to multiple vendors to assist with crew allocation

• Whenever possible, rodding and roping underground segments early in the project to avoid "work-arounds" required late in the project

• Utilizing directional boring instead of grass trenching can speed up environmental permitting and reduce restoration work

 Starting community anchor institution ("CAI") and point of interconnection ("POI") installation work prior to backbone fiber installation, so POIs and CAIs are ready to be connected by the time the fiber reaches them

15. Using the Excel spreadsheet template titled "Annual PPR CCI Addendum", please provide an updated list of Community Anchor Institutions (CAIs) that you have connected and plan to connect to your network.

16. Using the Excel spreadsheet template titled "Annual PPR CCI Addendum", please provide a list of community pairs that are receiving new or improved broadband service as a result of BTOP grant funds.

17. Please provide up-to-date network route maps in a single file, in a Google Earth compatible format (e.g., KMZ file).