

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE PROGRESS REPORT FOR PUBLIC COMPUTER CENTERS

General Information

1. Federal Agency and Organizational Element to Which Report is Submitted Department of Commerce, National Telecommunications and Information Administration	2. Award Identification Number 47-41-B10527	3. DUNS Number 155946874
--	---	--

4. Recipient Organization

 STATE LIBRARY & ARCHIVES, TENNESSEE 312 8TH AVE N FL 7, NASHVILLE, TN 37243-0001

5. Current Reporting Period End Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 12-31-2013	6. Is this the last Annual Report of the Award Period? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
--	--

7. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purposes set forth in the award documents.

7a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Certifying Official Ashley Bowers	7c. Telephone (area code, number and extension) 615-532-4628
	7d. Email Address Ashley.Bowers@tn.gov

7b. Signature of Certifying Official Submitted Electronically	7e. Date Report Submitted (MM/DD/YYYY): 09-30-2013
---	--

PROJECT INDICATORS

1. Are you establishing new Public Computer Centers (PCCs) or improving existing PCCs?

New Improved Both

2. How many PCCs were established or improved, and what type of institution(s) were they associated with? Please provide actual total numbers to date. Figures should be reported cumulatively from award inception to the end of the most recent calendar year. Recipients should only count the PCCs that were fully established or in which improvements have been fully completed in that year (that is, partial improvements should not be counted).

Institutions	Established	Improved	Total
Schools (K-12)	0	0	0
Libraries	0	15	15
Community Colleges	0	0	0
Universities / Colleges	0	0	0
Medical / Health care Facilities	0	0	0
Public Safety Entities	0	0	0
Job-Training and/or Economic Development Institution	0	0	0
Other Community Support-Governmental (please specify):	0	0	0
Other Community Support-Non-Governmental (please specify):	0	0	0

3. Please complete the following chart for each PCC established or improved using BTOP funds. Please provide actual total numbers to date.

3.a. New PCCs

New PCC Address	Number of Workstations Available to the Public	Total Hours of Operation per 120-hour Business Week	Total Hours of Operation per 48-hour Weekend	Speed of Broadband Access to Facility (Mbps)	Average Number of Users per Week
None	0	0	0	0	0

Add New PCC

Remove New PCC

3.b. Improved PCCs

New PCC Address	Number of Workstations Available to the Public	Total Hours of Operation per 120-hour Business Week	Total Hours of Operation per 48-hour Weekend	Speed of Broadband Access to Facility (Mbps)	Average Number of Users per Week
Prior to Improvement					
BLOUNT	7	44	9	3.61	1,200
CHATTANOOGA	30	44	9	3.61	1,900
CLEVELAND	7	44	9	3.61	500
JOHNSON CITY	21	44	9	3.61	2,450
KINGSPORT	14	44	9	3.61	550
LEBANON	7	44	9	3.61	780
MEMPHIS	45	44	9	3.61	4,378
MORRISTOWN	11	44	9	3.61	500
MT. JULIET	7	44	9	3.61	670
PUTNAM	15	44	9	3.61	650
SULLIVAN - SULLIVAN GARDENS	7	44	9	3.61	75
SULLIVAN - COLONIAL HEIGHTS	5	44	9	3.61	75

Prior to Improvement					
SULLIVAN - BLOOMINGDALE	3	44	9	3.61	75
WASHINGTON - GRAY	20	44	9	3.61	0
WASHINGTON - JONESBOUROUGH	18	44	9	3.61	0

Add New PCC

Remove New PCC

After Improvement					
BLOUNT	28	57	13	20	1,600
CHATTANOOGA	94	55	9	1,024	7,000
CLEVELAND	13	57	13	100	1,644
JOHNSON CITY	52	53	14	30	151
KINGSPORT	50	50	12	1.5	850
LEBANON	55	49	9	20	745
MEMPHIS	306	47	11	21.88	17,000
MORRISTOWN	61	48	9	5	475
MT. JULIET	37	52	9	20	600
PUTNAM	20	52	7	30	1,000
SULLIVAN - SULLIVAN GARDENS	10	40	8	1.5	30
SULLIVAN - COLONIAL HEIGHTS	9	40	8	1.5	36
SULLIVAN - BLOOMINGDALE	6	40	8	1.5	31
WASHINGTON - GRAY	32	41	3	1.5	108
WASHINGTON - JONESBOUROUGH	30	49	5	1.5	248

Add New PCC

Remove New PCC

4.a. Please check the primary uses of the PCCs funded by this award. (Check all that apply.)

- Open Lab Time Other Training

4.b. If "other," please specify the primary use of the PCCs:

None

5. Please list all of the PCC broadband equipment and/or supplies you have purchased during the past year using BTOP grant funds or other (matching) funds, including any customer premises equipment or end-user devices. If additional space is needed, please attach a list of equipment and/or supplies. Please also describe how the equipment and supplies have been deployed (600 words or less).

Manufacturer	Items	Unit Cost per Item	Number of Units	Narrative description of how the equipment and supplies were deployed
None	0	0	0	No broadband equipment and/or supplies was purchased in 2013
Totals:		0	0	

Add Equipment

Remove Equipment

6. For PCC access and training provided with BTOP grant funds, please provide the information below. Figures should be reported cumulatively from award inception to the end of the most recent calendar year.

Types of Access or Training	Number of People Targeted	Number of People Participating	Total Hours of Training Offered

Types of Access or Training	Number of People Targeted	Number of People Participating	Total Hours of Training Offered
Open Lab Access	11,000	3,632	396
Multimedia	11,000	1,611	6,217
Office skills	11,000	2,006	9,014
ESL	0	0	0
GED	0	1	1
College Preparatory Training	0	12	12
Basic Internet and Computer Use	11,000	2,177	6,076
Certified Training Programs	0	0	0
Other (please specify):	0	105	150
Total	44,000	9,544	21,866

7. Please describe how your Public Computer Center(s) promotes economic recovery in your area, such as through providing job training, access to job searches, online course offerings, certifications and the like (600 words or less).
 Our PCC's promote economic recovery in our area by offering training in basic job skills, such as use of MS Office and basic computing skills. We also offer resume-building courses and assist patrons in use of the computer to job search and seek out employment opportunities.

8. To the extent that you have made any subcontracts or sub grants, please provided the number of subcontracts or sub grants that have been made to socially and economically disadvantaged small business (SDB) concerns as defined by section 8(a) of the Small Business Act, 15 U.S.C. 647, as modified by NTIA's adoption of an alternative small business size standard for use in BTOP. Please also provide the names of these SDB entities (150 words or less).
 N/A

9. Please describe any best practices / lessons learned that can be shared with other similar BTOP projects (900 words or less).
 I think its important for the Program Manager to conduct site visits to sub-recipient institutions early on in the process. I found this to be extremely helpful not just for monitoring for compliance, but establishing a connection with the sub-recipient. After they were able to put a face with a name, I've been getting a better response rate with submission of reports and data. I also think its helpful to have a Program Manager from the inception of the grant to the end. Unfortunately, that didn't work out in our case. Our Program Manager left after the first year of the grant. Having to jump in mid stream has been somewhat challenging. I've learned a great deal about grant program management and monitoring from the BTOP experience - of which I am eternally grateful.