U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CO	2. Award Or Grant Number 33-50-M09048  4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY)						
Performance Progress F							
		10-21-2011					
Recipient Name     University of New Hampshire		Designated Entity On Behalf Of:     N/A					
3. Street Address		8. Final Report? 9. Report Frequency					
8 College Road, Morse Hall,		Quarterly     Sami Annual					
5. City, State, Zip Code Durham, NH 03824		Semi Annual Annual Final					
7. Project / Grant Period 7a.	7b.	9a. If Other, please describe:					
Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	Reporting Period End Date: 09-30-2011	N/A					
10. Broadband Mapping 10a. Provider Table	00 00 2011						
10. Broadband Wapping							
Number of Providers Identified Providers Contacted Reached for Data Share 0 0 0		r of Number of Data Sets Verified					
10b. Are you submitting the required PROVIDER DATA by using the		SRDD grants office? Ves ANo					
10c. Have you encountered challenges with any providers that indi							
10d. If so, describe the discussions to date with each of these prov							
As reported in prior quarters, segTEL, Inc. has not submitted the requested data despite repeated contacts and discussions. As have a major presence in the state, their lack of participation represents a significant gap in our middle mile data inventory. Glo Crossing North America, Inc. and NextWave Wireless, Inc., have also indicated they will not provide data. They are a lesser co since they do not have significant footprints in the state. In addition, FairPoint Communications has been unwilling to provide a complete data set as they have not yet submitted middle mile interconnection points. Finally, a number of smaller providers (12) remained unresponsive to repeated communications attempts via email and phone.  10e. If you are collecting data through other means (e.g. data extraction, extrapolation, etc), please describe your progress to date and the rele activities to be undertaken in the future							
We have conducted website data mining for FairPoint Communications middle-mile interconnection points. We have also used web resources to inventory/map ~600 public wi-fi locations in the state.							
10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implemen	nt						
We continue to consider conducting field verification of our wireless service polygons, and are researching options to see if this will be feasible within our budgetary constraints.							
10g. Have you initiated verification activities?  No 10h. If yes, please describe the status of your activities							
We have implemented a number of verification activities, including purchasing/analyzing commercial data sets, processing FCC 477 data, conducting speed tests, conducting consumer surveys, and collecting field data. Please refer to the Q3 Supplemental Answers Document.							
10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities							
N/A							
Staffing							
10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of th	is project?						
7.8							

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed?    Yes    No										
10l. If no, please explain	how any lack of staffing m	nay impact the p	project's time	e line and when the	ne project will be	fully staff	fed			
N/A										
10m. When fully staffed	, how many full-time equiva	alent (FTE) jobs	do you exp	ect to create or re	etain as a result	of this pro	ject?			
N/A										
10n. Staffing Table										
		Job Title					FTI	E %	Date of Hire	
Project Director							2	26	01/01/2010	
Co Project Director							,	9	01/01/2011	
GSDLN Planning Proj	ject Manager						2	22	01/01/2011	
Cooperative Extension	n Planning						6	66	01/01/2011	
Cooperative Extension	n Planning						6	66	01/01/2011	
GIS Analyst							2	20	01/01/2010	
Mapping Project Coordinator								34	01/29/2010	
RPC Executive Directors								38	01/01/2010	
RPC Fiscal/Administration								31	01/01/2010	
RPC GIS Specialists								7	01/01/2010	
RPC GIS Specialists									01/01/2010	
RPC Planners									01/01/2011	
RPC Planners								88 01/01		
RPC Planners							8	88	01/01/2011	
		Add Row	,	Remove Ro	w					
Sub Contracts 10o. Subcontracts Table	3			,						
Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Federal I	Funds	In	n-Kind Funds	
Southwest Region Planning Commission	est Region Mapping Activities - Years N V 01/01/2010 12/21/2014 911 291 210 040						59			
Southwest Region Planning Commission	Planning Activities	N	Υ	01/01/2010	12/31/2014	14 477,895 79,895				
Upper Valley Lake Sunapee Regional Planning Commission	Mapping Activities - CAI Administration	N	N	01/01/2010	12/31/2014	30,000	0,000 0			
Nashua Regional Planning Commission	Mapping Activities - Rural Addressing	N	Υ	07/01/2011	12/31/2013	344,523		40,000	)	
Community Development Finance Authority	Non-Mapping Activities	N	N	01/01/2010	12/31/2014	261,000 50,000				

Department of Resources and Economic Development	Non-Mapping Activities	N	Y	01/01/2011	12/31/2014	82,687	107,276
Office of Energy and Planning	Non-Mapping Activities	N	Y	01/01/2011	12/31/2014	165,466	41,367
Southwest Region Planning Commission	Non-Mapping Activities	N	N	01/01/2010	12/31/2014	555,777	158,438
Southwest Region Planning Commission	Mapping Activities - Years 3 through 5	N	N	01/01/2012	12/31/2014	148,500	271,400

Add Row Remove Row

## **Funding**

10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$1,252,369

10q. How much Remains?

\$4,860,969

10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter?

\$397,918

10s. How much Remains?

\$1,141,070

10t. Budget Worksheet

Tot. Budget Worksheet						
Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$1,485,403	\$130,414	\$1,615,817	\$280,563	\$19,694	\$300,257
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$455,462	\$29,630	\$485,092	\$65,064	\$8,941	\$74,005
Travel	\$26,946	\$0	\$26,946	\$9,207	\$0	\$9,207
Equipment	\$10,000	\$0	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$0	\$10,000
Materials / Supplies	\$344,799	\$0	\$344,799	\$101,564	\$0	\$101,564
Subcontracts Total	\$2,877,229	\$967,445	\$3,844,674	\$630,882	\$207,509	\$838,391
Subcontract #1	\$959,881	\$490,469	\$1,450,350	\$604,859	\$195,692	\$800,551
Subcontract #2	\$477,895	\$79,895	\$557,790	\$25,018	\$11,817	\$36,835
Subcontract #3	\$30,000	\$0	\$30,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$344,523	\$40,000	\$384,523	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$1,064,930	\$357,081	\$1,422,011	\$1,005	\$0	\$1,005
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$76,500	\$283,293	\$359,793	\$0	\$127,095	\$127,095
Total Direct Costs	\$5,276,339	\$1,410,782	\$6,687,121	\$1,097,280	\$363,239	\$1,460,519
Total Indirect Costs	\$836,999	\$128,206	\$965,205	\$155,089	\$34,679	\$189,768
Total Costs	\$6,113,338	\$1,538,988	\$7,652,326	\$1,252,369	\$397,918	\$1,650,287
% Of Total	80	20	100	76	24	100

## Hardware / Software

1 O	Hac the	nroject team	nurchaead the	coftwara	/ hardware	described in	the application?
ı uu.	nas me	project team	purchased in	Sollware	/ naruware	described in	the application:

●Yes ○No

10v. If yes, please list

No new activity since performance report dated 1/25/2011.

10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased	
N/A	
10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets?    No	
10y. If yes, please list Two data sets were purchased from InfoGroup USA: a) a master address file of all NH residents (~ 510,000 records) and NH businesses (~38,000 records); and b) a broadband connectivity file containing connection information harvested from internet transactions. The first data set is being used to support our rural addressing initiative, while the second is being used to support broadband coverage data verification activities.  10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?	
10aa. If yes, please list	
Please see Q3 Supplemental Answers Document.	
10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing Challenges remain as reported previously - primarily difficulties with acquiring comprehensive data from service providers. Our mitigation strategy remains to request assistance from other state entities who may have contacts or responsibilities that involve the providers, and primarily from the NH Director of Broadband Technology. We also continue to offer technical assistance whenever possible to providers to facilitate the preparation and transfer of data. In addition, one aspect of the planning component of the project is the formation of broadband stakeholder groups. A number of providers have indicated an interest in the planning process, and are requiring them to participate in the mapping activities if they wish to engage in the broadband planning component(s).	oject
The PPR's 10q entry reflects the PPR entry 10p plus the un-liquidated obligation found on line 10f of the Q3-2011 FFR (SF-425) document	
11. Broadband Planning	
<ul> <li>11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status</li> <li>The Broadband Capacity Planning project has been involved in the restructuring of the state Telecom Advisory Board (TAB) to ad the remaining 8 unaddressed action items from the Broadband Action Plan via 8 subcommittees within the TAB, which is on target identified milestones. The outline of template materials and outlining financing remains on target. The Broadband Technical Assistance Training is operating focus groups and gathering data for the broadband surveys that are slated to be distributed by the start of 2012. Project partners are gathering question data from a variety of sectors, including K-12 education, business, healthca and municipalities. The team was awarded a speaking opportunity at the Local Government Center Conference in November and preparing a presentation on the broadband mapping and planning efforts and how local governments can be key participants in the project goals. The Sector/Regional Broadband Planning project has begun its outreach efforts by forming Broadband Stakeholder Groups (BSG) and holding initial informational meetings across the state. The regional planning meeting occurred in August, which on target, and culminated with a common project presentation that can be used across New Hampshire to describe the planning for each sector and region.</li> <li>11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing</li> </ul>	t with e re I is e r
Our greatest challenge remains meeting our proposed quarterly spend rates and milestones given an approved budget and spend authority, originally expected in January 2011, arriving May 2011. Our mitigation strategy is to accelerate those milestones that can addressed with more concentrated effort, and to re-plan and adjust our timetable for those time-dependent tasks as needed. We expect to adjust our project timetable by the start of Year 3.	
11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning?    •Yes    No	
11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented	
Key Personnel from one sub-recipient are no longer available to work on the project, so a new potential sub-recipient has been recruited to continue the work associated with State Broadband Capacity Building. An AAR will be filed in the next quarter reques these project changes once they have been formally considered and proposed.	ting
Funding	
11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$0 11f. How much Remains? \$0	

11g. How much matching fur	nds have been expen	ided as of the end of	f last quarter? \$0	0 11h.	. How much Remains?	? \$0
11i. Planning Worksheet			-			
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0

## **Additional Planning Information**

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

Securing a speaking position in the New Hampshire Local Government Conference in November 2011 is a significant opportunity for our project to address key government leaders across our state and encourage active participation in the project goals and milestones.

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

Allocating time to prepare for the LGC has stretched the calendars of the team, but time has been identified and a good, clear message will be produced.

11I. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

N/A

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.						
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)					
Fay Rubin						
	12d. Email Address					
	Fay.rubin@unh.edu  12e. Date Report Submitted					
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	(Month, Day, Year)					
Submitted Electronically	11-22-2011					