U.S. D		2. Award Or Grant Number 30-50-M09049					
Periorilland	e Progress Re	port		4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY)			
				01-20-2012	2		
1. Recipient Name				6. Designate	ed Entity O	n Behalf Of:	
MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF COMM	IERCE		ļ	N/A			
3. Street Address				8. Final Rep	port? 9	. Report Frequency	
301 South Park Avenue, P.O. Box 200	501,			Yes	`	Quarterly	
5. City, State, Zip Code				No) Semi Annual) Annual	
Helena, MT 59601-6282) Final	
7. Project / Grant Period 7a. Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) End	ı	b. Reporting Period End Date:		9a. If Other, please describe:			
,		2-31-2011		N/A			
		2-31-2011					
10. Broadband Mapping	10a. Provider Table						
Number of Number of Number of Agreements Number of Partial Providers Identified Providers Contacted Reached for Data Sharing Data Sets Received Complete				of e Data Sets	Number Data Set	of s Verified	
0 0	0 0		0				
10b. Are you submitting the required PRO	/IDER DATA by using the E	xcel spreadsheet provid	ded by the	SBDD grants	s office? (Yes No	
10c. Have you encountered challenges with	, ,		•	his project?	Yes (No	
10d. If so, describe the discussions to date AT&T. Have had phone discussions w				DΔ they are	a rafusina	to provide the	

Montana's Constitution imposes unique and extraordinary challenges on companies that wish to protect their confidential and proprietary information from disclosure with State Government. The public's right to know is protected by the Montana Constitution, and this right has been strengthened and delineated by the Montana Supreme Court. The Department's NDA and Affidavit comport very specifically to this right – placing the burden on the owner of the confidential information to specify what documents should be kept from public view. Because of this process the State has had no success in getting any signed NDA's. Despite having no NDA's signed, a significant number of providers, including most the large ones, have provided some data without an NDA.

10e. If you are collecting data through other means (e.g. data extraction, extrapolation, etc), please describe your progress to date and the relevant activities to be undertaken in the future

Montana has taken on a vast array of alternatives to collect data by other means and has been successful in collecting the data via alternative measures Here is a list of the data sources we have used:

- Commercial data providers infrastructure
- Crowd sourced infrastructure and coverage
- Provider web sites infrastructure and coverage
- Government records infrastructure and coverage

State with any data. AT&T is a new provider to the State.

- PSC Records Central offices and Remote terminals / subscriber data and lines
- Web Research
- Field Research
- PSC Office Research
- Using FCC data & other public sources Form 477 by providers, zip code data
- FAA records
- Proposals submitted to state contracting opportunities by providers

In addition to the above efforts added field verification & improved propagation models will also be done.

Calendar Year 2012 will also see increased efforts with site visits to providers to demonstrate and implement new web-based reporting tool that is planned to be rolled out later in 2012.

10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement

We have developed a system to quantify "validated" data for the purpose of determining what is suitable for delivery to NTIA. The operational data model maintains reliability and validity codes, together with completeness checks to track which data elements are complete or still in process of refinement. Infrastructure is compared to public data, independent measurements, and telecommunications provider submittals at varying levels of geography. As more data is obtained from providers and systematically

checked against infrastructure points, the reliability and validity progress along the spectrum from 1 (not validated or reliable) to 10 (validated and reliable). Completeness is primarily dependent on provider input, and can be supplemented in many instances with independent measurements. The process is iterative. Our validation methods provides the ability to use general information and iteratively cross check and improve the coverage models as more accurate data is obtained.								
	10g. Have you initiated verification activities? ✓ Yes No No No No No							
Verification has been o	completed for all data su	bmitted to NT	ΊΑ					
10i. If verification activition	es have not been initiated p	olease provide	a projected t	time line for begir	nning and compl	eting such	activities	
N/A								
Staffing 10j. How many jobs hav	e been created or retained	as a result of th	his project?					
5.22								
	ntly fully staffed? Yes how any lack of staffing m		oroject's time	e line and when th	ne project will be	e fully staffe	ed	
There is a 1 FTE posit	tion available for Capaci	ty Building tha	at is estima	ated to be filled	in year 3 as th	at part of	the prog	ram begins.
10m. When fully staffed,	how many full-time equiva	lent (FTE) jobs	do you exp	ect to create or re	etain as a result	of this pro	ject?	
4.0								
10n. Staffing Table	10n. Staffing Table							
Job Title FTE % Date of Hire								
Broadband Program N	Manager - State of Mont	ana (1.0 FTE))				100	01/01/2010
Broadband Program S	Specialist - State of Mon	tana (1.0 FTE	<u> </u>				100	01/01/2010
Project Manager - Tet	ra Tech (0.49 FTE)						49	01/15/2010
Broadband GIS Mapp	ing Lead - Tetra Tech (1	I.0 FTE)					100	01/15/2010
Broadband Sr. GIS Ar	nalyst - Tetra Tech (1.0	FTE)					100	01/15/2010
Broadband GIS Analy	st - Tetra Tech (0.73 FT	E)					73	01/15/2010
Add Row Remove Row Sub Contracts 10o. Subcontracts Table								
Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Federal F	unds	In-Kind Funds
Tetra Tech EM	Collecting, processing, formatting data, mapping its usage, and providing this data to NTIA. Web Portal Development and Data Verfification.	Y	Y	01/15/2010	12/31/2014	1,975,000	0	

Montana ITSD	Data migration to allow data sharing with Montana's Base Map Services, allowing data to be openly shared to public and private entities.	N	Y	07/01/2011	12/31/2014	230,000	0
Christensen Research / Tetra Tech	Conduct a state wide benchmark survey on digital literacy in year 2 with a follow-up survey in year 4 or 5.	N	Υ	09/01/2011	12/31/2014	135,000	0
Regional Planning / Regional Nonprofit Agencies	Collection of information from area entities and business to determine the degree of need for expansion of Internet adoption and digital literacy.	N	Υ	07/01/2011	12/31/2014	240,000	0
TBD	For consultants, speakers, trainers and other partnerships to assist in the Local/Regional Training as well as the Capacity Building	N	Υ	07/01/2011	12/31/2014	400,000	0
TBD	To assist MT with best practices implementation	N	N	07/01/2011	12/31/2014	100,000	0
					Add R	ow	Remove Row

Funding

10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$1,363,941 10q. How much Remains? \$4,720,886

10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$799,011 10s. How much Remains? \$723,029

10t. Budget Worksheet

Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$840,500	\$211,100	\$1,051,600	\$48,435	\$169,526	\$217,961
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$178,750	\$111,400	\$290,150	\$16,284	\$43,461	\$59,745
Travel	\$122,698	\$19,914	\$142,612	\$6,779	\$5,685	\$12,464
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$74,858	\$8,000	\$82,858	\$3,098	\$9,319	\$12,417
Subcontracts Total	\$3,080,000	\$0	\$3,080,000	\$1,248,841	\$0	\$1,248,841
Subcontract #1	\$1,975,000	\$0	\$1,975,000	\$955,532	\$0	\$955,532
Subcontract #2	\$230,000	\$0	\$230,000	\$41,597	\$0	\$41,597
Subcontract #3	\$135,000	\$0	\$135,000	\$128,083	\$0	\$128,083
Subcontract #4	\$240,000	\$0	\$240,000	\$73,804	\$0	\$73,804
Subcontract #5	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	\$49,825	\$0	\$49,825
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$1,713,072	\$1,141,714	\$2,854,786	\$19,637	\$571,020	\$590,657
Total Direct Costs	\$6,009,878	\$1,492,128	\$7,502,006	\$1,343,074	\$799,011	\$2,142,085
Total Indirect Costs	\$74,949	\$29,912	\$104,861	\$20,867	\$0	\$20,867

Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Total Costs	\$6,084,827	\$1,522,040	\$7,606,867	\$1,363,941	\$799,011	\$2,162,952
% Of Total	80	20	100	63	37	100

Hardware / Software

Haldwale / Soltwale
10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application? Yes No
10v. If yes, please list
N/A
10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased
N/A
N/A
10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? Yes No
10y. If yes, please list
N/A
10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?
10aa. If yes, please list

10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

State does not have any NDAs with providers due to Montana's open records laws. This poses challenges and obstacles getting accurate data at a detail level. To mitigate this, the state is using a gradient scale for validation/authentication of provider data that is obtained. Future efforts will also go into continual efforts to refine current tools; to utilize public data and validation through these refined measures; as well as continue efforts to work with providers to validate data.

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

N/A

N/A

11. Broadband Planning

- 11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status
- * Regional Outreach Meetings: Completed all Regional Meetings across state to disseminate information and data collection on broadband, visited 14 communities traveled over 5,000 miles. Public meetings included local panel of professionals from different industries (healthcare, education, telecom, business etc). Panel Speakers spoke about use of broadband in respective businesses. Meetings also included workshop, allowing for small groups discussions. Panel was from professionals from the local region of the meeting so each panel was local businesses (hospitals, education, government, new businesses etc).
- * Coordinated with State Library to assist in promoting Montana State Library BTOP grant and brought in national speakers (Blandin Foundation) through Skype for broadband outreach meetings.
- * Began RFP write-up for Tribal Facilitator to allow for a more specialized approach to address the 7 tribal nations in Montana. Tribal RFP process begun for Tribal Facilitator.
- * Updated website to include additional information and to update program information. Updated and retooled broadband interactive map. Developed Broadband Informational video for distribution.

* Participated in Native	American Relations	summit to help inc	orporate/educate	some broadband	discussions and p	promote speed test
participation. * Distributed broadband survey to 8,000 residents across Montana with an approximate 38% adjusted return rate.						
11b. Please describe any c	challenge or obstacle th	nat you have encoun	tered and detail the	mitigation strategies	s the project team is	employing
N/A						
			(5 !! . 15!			
11c. Does the Project Tear11d. If yes, please describe	, ,			•		efore they can
be implemented	o inese anticipated ona	inges. Thease note t	nat Will need	to approve changes		ciore tricy carr
N/A						
Funding						
11e. How much Federal fur	nding has been expend	ded as of the end of	the last quarter? \$0) 11f.	How much Remains	? \$0
11g. How much matching for	unds have been expen	ided as of the end of	last quarter? \$	0 11h.	How much Remains	s? \$0
11i. Planning Worksheet						
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0
Additional Planning Information						
11j. Are there any additiona	al project milestones or	information that has	s not been included	?		
N/A						

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing
N/A
11l. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project
The state has not drawn down the initial 50% of the grant largely due to the fact that the state was spending at over 100% greater rate of match than required by the grant (last match was 52:48 ratio). The state had to escalate it's percentage of match early in the grant because of state obligations tied to the State cash match. Going forward, that spending will be more in-line with the proper ratio spending.

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.							
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)						
Chad Hultin	(406) 841-2875						
	12d. Email Address						
Broadband Mapping Program Mgr.	chultin@mt.gov						
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)						
Submitted Electronically	01-27-2012						