Performance Progress Report						2. Award Or Grant Number 27-50-M09043			
						4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 07-06-2011			
1. Recipient Name Connected Nation	, Inc MN						6. Designate Minnesota	ed Entity	On Behalf Of:
3. Street Address 1020 College Stre 5. City, State, Zip C Bowling Green, K	Code						8. Final Report? Yes No		9. Report Frequency Quarterly Semi Annual Annual
7. Project / Grant Start Date: (MM 12-20-2009	Period	7a.	Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) -2014		b. eporting Period End Date: 3-30-2011		9a. If Other N/A	, please o	O Final describe:
10. Broadband	Mapping		10a. Provider Table	-					
Number of Providers Identified 0	Number of Providers Co 0		Number of Agreemen Reached for Data Sha 0		_	Number Comple	te Data Sets	Numbe Data So 0	er of ets Verified
<ul> <li>10b. Are you submitting the required PROVIDER DATA by using the Excel spreadsheet provided by the SBDD grants office? Yes No</li> <li>10c. Have you encountered challenges with any providers that indicate they may refuse to participate in this project? Yes No</li> <li>10d. If so, describe the discussions to date with each of these providers and the current status</li> <li>None</li> <li>10e. If you are collecting data through other means (e.g. data extraction, extrapolation, etc), please describe your progress to date and the relevant activities to be undertaken in the future</li> </ul>									
10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement ESRI is deploying and hosting Connected Nation's BroadbandStat (BBStat) web application. ESRI will house the customized BBStat application in their highly available, monitored, and managed environment. The scope of services includes setting up a staging environment for data verification and compatibility as well as a production environment for client access.									
Connected Nation also collects consumer feedback in the form of broadband inquiries. These inquiries represent any type of communications received from the public regarding broadband service. Once broadband inquiries are received across the state, this information is overlaid with the broadband availability information which was collected through the State Broadband Initiatives (SBI) program. This allows for a real-world comparison of the broadband landscape to the information received from broadband inquiries. Broadband inquiries are able to provide three types of information: 1) residents who do not have broadband but want it; 2) residents who have broadband but want a different provider; and 3) residents who do not have broadband, but the broadband inventory maps indicate that they do. If residents within a region state that they are without broadband, but the broadband inventory maps show otherwise, this allows Connected Nation to approach the providers within that area in an effort to trim down their coverage to more accurately represent real-world availability on the ground.									
Before December 3 or exceeding 56.20				dditio	onal companies in or	der to ac	hieve a tota	ıl field va	alidation rate equal to
10g. Have you initiated verification activities?  Yes  No 10h. If yes, please describe the status of your activities BroadbandStat is publicly available on the Connect Minnesota website and received a total of 350 visits between April 1, 2011 and June 30, 2011.									
During this quarter	, the Connect	Minnes	ota project received	a to	tal of 4 broadband in	quiries. A	dditional in	formatio	on received through e-

mail from consumers that can also be used for verification purposes totaled 2 for this quarter.

For this reporting period, 44 field verification tests were conducted. Connected Nation's staff conducted multiple tests on the following providers: Albany Mutual Telephone Association, Alliance Communications, Arvig Communications Systems, AT&T, Barnesville Municipal Telephone, Benton Cooperative Telephone Company, Bradco-WISP, Inc., CenturyLink, Charter Communications, Chaska Net, Christensen Communications Company, CitiScape Communications, Clear Choice, Clearwire Corporation, Cloudnet, Inc., Comcast Cable Communications LLC, CTC Telecom, diversiCOM, Enterpoint, Evertek Enterprises LLC., Farmers Mutual Telephone, Frontier Communications Corporation, Garden Valley Telephone Company, Gardonville Cooperative Telephone Association, Genesis Wireless, Halsted Telephone, Harmony Telephone Company, Info Link Wireless Inc., Invisimax, Jaguar Communications, Lakedale LINK, Loretel Systems, Inc., Mabel Cooperative Telephone Company, Maple Leaf Networks, Midcontinent Communications, Min-Kota Wireless, Otter Tail Telecom, Polar Telcom Inc., Qwest Corporation, Red River Telephone Association, Ridge Runner Internet Services Inc., River Valley Telephone Company, Spring Grove Cooperative Telephone Company, Sprint, TDS Telecommunications Corporation, t-Mobile USA, U.S. Internet Corporation,

Please see the Supplemental Answers for additional information.

10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities

N/A

## Staffing

10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project? 4.48

Connected Nation has numerous staff working on the Connect Minnesota project, some at only a small percentage of their time. This approach is beneficial to the project in various ways: some staff provide necessary project support, many staff work together utilizing a team approach, and others are subject matter experts in their respective areas. By leveraging the expertise of the subject matter experts the project support, many staff work together utilizing a experts the project benefits from their knowledge and skills without the necessity of supporting a more expensive full-time resource.

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? OYes ONo

10I. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed

The position not currently staffed is related to Connect Minnesota's new broadband capacity activities that are only just beginning and as such have no impact on the project's timeline. The position is expected to be filled during Q3 of 2011.

10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

5.48

10n. Staffing Table

Job Title	FTE %	Date of Hire
Associate Counsel	3	09/14/2009
Business Programmer Analyst	1	04/02/2007
CAI Coordinator	6	12/13/2004
CAI Data Analyst	12	03/24/2009
CAI Data Manager	2	08/09/2010
ETS Analyst	27	07/13/2009
ETS Analyst	16	02/08/2010
ETS Analyst	2	11/01/2007

ETS Manager	4	07/01/2007
ETS Sr. Analyst	1	02/18/2010
General Counsel	5	01/01/2007
GIS Analyst	32	10/19/2009
GIS Services Manager	7	05/15/2007
Outreach & Awareness Manager	6	03/24/2009
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	20	01/03/2010
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	6	02/02/2009
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	6	10/01/2007
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	6	01/04/2010
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	2	01/04/2010
Project Coordinator	6	02/24/2003
Project Coordinator	3	04/01/2005
Project Management Director	1	12/20/2004
Project Manager	45	03/16/2010
Project Manager	5	09/01/2006
Project Manager	4	01/14/2008
Project Manager	3	09/04/2007
Project Manager	2	12/16/2009
Project Manager	1	01/01/2007
Project Manager	1	08/20/2007
Project Manager	1	03/16/2010
Project Manager	1	01/14/2008
Provider Relations Manager	5	02/17/2005
Research & GIS Analyst	6	05/14/2007
Research Analyst	80	02/16/2010

Research Analyst 80 02/16/2010							02/16/2010	
Research Analyst							22	02/16/2010
Research Analyst							2	02/01/2010
Research Analyst							1	06/01/2009
Research Manager							3	05/14/2007
State Services Manag	jer						12	07/01/2007
		Add Row	,	Remove	Row			
Sub Contracts								
Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	e End Date	Federal F	unds	In-Kind Funds
Thoroughbred Research Group	Research Surveys	Y	Ŷ	02/09/2010	02/08/2012	167,350	0	
Contract Labor	Contract Labor	N	Y	12/20/2009	12/19/2014	250,834	18	31,552
10r. How much matching	funding has been expend g funds have been expend					. How much . How much		
10r. How much matching 10t. Budget Worksheet	g funds have been expend		d of last qua	rter? \$26	50,460 10s			
Mapping Budget Eleme		Proposed In-Kind		otal dget	Funds Expended	Matching F Expend		Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$1,502,448	\$129,840	\$1,6	32,288	\$307,151	\$49,75	6	\$356,907
Personnel Fringe Benef	its \$322,275	\$36,355	\$35	58,630	\$60,742	\$13,76	8	\$74,510
Travel	\$152,515	\$0	\$15	52,515	\$46,103	\$1,497	1	\$47,600
Equipment	\$145,816	\$0	\$14	15,816	\$72,480	\$0		\$72,480
Materials / Supplies	\$32,437	\$0	\$3	2,437	\$13,404	\$0		\$13,404
Subcontracts Total	\$747,164	\$181,552	\$181,552 \$928,7		\$196,570	\$66,652		\$263,222
Subcontract #1	\$437,265	\$0	\$43	37,265	\$67,362	\$0		\$67,362
Subcontract #2 \$250,834		\$181,552 \$432,38		32,386	\$116,912	\$66,652		\$183,564
Subcontract #3 \$21,188		\$0	\$0 \$21,		\$3,238	\$0		\$3,238
Subcontract #4 \$37,877		\$0	\$3	7,877	\$9,058	\$0		\$9,058
Subcontract #5 \$0		\$0	\$0 \$0		\$0	\$0		\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Other	\$91,445	\$657,186	\$74	18,631	\$6,177	\$77,027		\$83,204
Total Direct Costs	\$2,994,100	\$1,004,933	\$3,999,033		\$702,627	\$208,70	00	\$911,327

Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Total Costs	\$4,470,035	\$1,118,548	\$5,588,583	\$1,053,010	\$260,460	\$1,313,470
% Of Total	80	20	100	80	20	100
Hardware / Softwar	e					
10u. Has the project team pr	urchased the softwar	re / hardware describ	ped in the application	n? •Yes ()N	lo	
10v. If yes, please list Hardware/software purcha	ases for the projec	t to date include th	ne following:			
<ul> <li>BroadbandStat-\$60,000</li> <li>ArchInfo/ArcGIS Software</li> <li>Spectrum Analyzer-\$4,4</li> <li>Computers &amp; Software-\$1</li> <li>Speed Test Software-\$1</li> <li>Google Earth Pro-\$ 266</li> <li>10w. Please note any software</li> <li>Remaining purchases ma</li> </ul>	77 52,008 16 are / hardware that h					torado sonvers
security application, additi maintenance, and speed	onal backup replic	cation solution, cor	mputers, GPS unit	s BroadbandStat		
10x. Has the project team pu	urchased or used an	y data sets? (Ye	s 💿 No			
10y. If yes, please list						
N/A						
10z. Are there any additiona	l project milestones	or information that ha	as not been included	? •Yes ONo		
10aa. If yes, please list *Maintained budget/financ *Updated Work Breakdow *Maintained Connect Mini Community Anchor Institu *Maintained broadband da *Requested/processed br *Maintained outreach and *Responded to consumer *Produced monthly status team. *Completed new broadba kbps upload. *Performed analyses of A statewide broadband inqu *Began reviewing Census provider datasheet for dat *Performed field validation *Posted program coordina *Updated Connect Minnes *Refined CAI listings infor advertised upload/downlo *Submitted Connect Minn *Collaborated on broadba	In Structure and tr nesota website to tions (CAI). ata collection active oadband coverage awareness strate inquiries received reports, data collection ad availability map pril data and comp iry maps. a collection activit on various provide ator position for Co sota amended pro- mation for: physic ad speeds. esota quarter 1 20 nd summit plans v	acked deliverables explain program, p ity and broadband e data sets (update gy. through Connect ection, activity log, os depicting availal pleted sets of map or National Telecor ies. ders for validation ponnect Minnesota, ject plan instructed al address, geocod 011 American Reco	s. process speed test l update database es and new request and website statist ble service with sp s including provide mmunication and I rate of 51% or 62 interviewed applied by NTIA and rest ding, e-mail, addrest povery & Reinvestrip pundation.	sts) from Minnesor e. stics and distribute beed threshold of a er density maps, p nformation Admin of 121 viable prov cants, and extende ubmitted. Approve esses, broadband nent Act and NTIA	ta provider commu ed to Connect Minr at least 3 Mbps do rovider advertised istration (NTIA) su iders. ed a job offer. ed by the granting a access, connection a performance prog	nity. nesota project wnload and 768 speed maps, and bmission; updated agency on May 21. n platforms, gress reports.
10bb. Please describe any c	hallenge or obstacle	that you have enco	untered and detail th	e mitigation strategi	es the project team is	s employing

N/A

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project • Connect Minnesota maps were published and used to further identify unserved and underserved areas and to help communities plan for expanding service to unserved households. http://www.connectmn.org/mapping/

• A video was produced about the field validation process in all Connected Nation state programs. http://youtu.be/tNMEQKHbDls

## 11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

On behalf of the state of Minnesota, Connected Nation (CN) finalized the survey instruments for the 2011 Residential Technology Assessment and the non-adopter telephone surveys, incorporating recommendations received from state stakeholders and third-party consultants where appropriate. These surveys are designed to measure trends in technology adoption, barriers to adoption, and awareness of available broadband service among Minnesota residents. In Q2 2011 CN started surveying respondents across Minnesota for both of these surveys. In addition, Connected Nation created and publicly released a report documenting technology adoption and barriers among businesses across the state.

The Connect Minnesota initial broadband assessment, released in coordination with the Minnesota Department of Commerce during Q1 2011, continues to serve as an invaluable tool to our partners and stakeholders within the state. The assessment was conducted in partnership with the Minnesota Broadband Task Force and continues to serve as a tool for those members as they continue their work within the state.

The report, entitled Minnesota Broadband Availability and Adoption Statistics: An Initial Working Report on the Current State of Minnesota's Broadband Landscape, is available on the Connect Minnesota website at the following link: http://connectmn.org/ research/index.php

Connect Minnesota is cataloging feedback from the report and will begin initial work on an update during Q4 2011.

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

Connected Nation has been working closely with the Minnesota Department of Commerce to ensure that our work exceeds expectations. During Q2 2011, there were no significant obstacles during the planning process.

11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning? (Yes • No

11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented

N/A

## Funding

11e. How much Federal fur	nding has been expend	0 11f.	? \$0				
11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter?\$011h. How much Remains?\$0							
11i. Planning Worksheet							
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

11i. Planning Worksheet							
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## **Additional Planning Information**

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

Connected Nation will conduct a survey that oversamples households that do not subscribe to broadband. This second survey will be in addition to the previously scheduled residential survey. This updated and improved methodology will provide a greater level of analysis regarding barriers to technology adoption among different socio-economic and demographic groups by surveying non-adopters in Minnesota in a way similar to that conducted by the FCC as part of the National Broadband Planning effort. This approach will help create a demographic and socio-economic profile of the population of non-adopters in Minnesota, which can then be applied at the local level of detail by area planning teams to assist in developing local adoption initiatives that will target the barriers that are unique to that area. In Q2 2011, CN finalized the survey instrument and started surveying respondents across Minnesota. 11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

N/A

111. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project
Connect Minnesota released business survey data and launched an interactive webpage providing easy to use information about business insights. The data shows that nearly 41,000 businesses in Minnesota are not using broadband. The survey reveals which economic sectors are lagging behind in broadband adoption as well as the types of platforms they are using, the prices they're paying, and much more.

The business survey white papers and web widget can be accessed on the website at

o http://www.connectmn.org/research/

o http://connectmn.org/\_documents/MN\_BizAssessment.pdf

o http://connectmn.org/\_documents/MN\_BizWhitePaper\_FINAL.pdf

• The report was used as source material for the following articles:

o Minnesota Intelligent Rural Communities: Broadband as a Rural Development Strategy

http://www.connectmn.org/media/index.php?id=tag%3Ablogger.com%2C1999%3Ablog-5377527392351928934. post-7843622181821899861

. o Telecommuting Levels the Field For Some Rural Minnesotans

http://www.connectmn.org/media/index.php?id=tag%3Ablogger.com%2C1999%3Ablog-5377527392351928934. post-4336012900779873928

• Connect Minnesota Twitter (http://twitter.com/#!/connectmn) and Facebook (http://www.facebook.com/pages/Connect-Minnesota/247610125345) pages were turned on. The team is working on a social media campaign to increase community engagement and awareness through the online networks.

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.					
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)				
Bernie Bogle					
	12d. Email Address				
	bbogle@connectednation.org				
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)				
Submitted Electronically	07-28-2011				