Performance Progress Report						2. Award Or Grant Number 20-50-M09021N			
						4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 01-19-2012			
1. Recipient Name							6. Designat	ed Entity	On Behalf Of:
State of Kansas D	epartment of	Comme	erce				Kansas		
3. Street Address								9. Report Frequency	
1000 SW Jackson Street,						Yes Quarterly		<ul><li>Quarterly</li><li>Semi Annual</li></ul>	
5. City, State, Zip C	Code						l A No		○ Annual
Topeka, KS 66612	21300	,							Final
7. Project / Grant Start Date: (MM		7a.	Date: (MM/DD/YYYY	- 1	b. Reporting Period End Date	ı.	9a. If Other	, please	describe:
11-01-2009	//DD/1111)		-2014	′	2-31-2011	·•	N/A		
10. Broadband	Manning		10a. Provider Table						
10. Broauband	wapping		Toa. I Tovider Table	C					
Number of	Number of Providers Co	ntootod	Number of Agreen		Number of Partial Data Sets Received	Numbe		Numbe	er of Sets Verified
Providers Identified 0	0		Reached for Data 0	Snann	0	0	ete Data Sets	Data S	sets verified
					xcel spreadsheet provi			<u> </u>	- Ov
10d. If so, describe Connected Nation SCI Cable, Inc.; Su 10e. If you are colle	the discussions reported the fureWest Comr cting data throu	to date ollowing municat	with each of these p g providers as nor tions; Utopian Wird r means (e.g. data e	orovider n-respo eless (	e they may refuse to pa s and the current status onsive: Ace Compute Corporation; WISPro n, extrapolation, etc), p	s ers; Arcpla uter Inc.	asma.com;	Midwest	t Connections, Inc.;
The sole source of		ed by C	ommerce is direct		n broadband service	providers	s.		
10f. Please describe	e the verification	i activitie	es you pian to impiei	ment					
Going forward, the	Going forward, the following activities are being implemented for verification: Wireline Service Area Verification (Fiber, Cable, DSL):								
1. Comparison of r	evised/update	d boun	dary delineation o	r provi	der information with	previous	submission	s (chanç	ge detection).
2. Comparison of b sourced Internet sp			and advertised u	pload/d	download speeds as	given by	the service	provide	rs, with crowd-
their service areas potential for distort	in the statewi ion or misrepr erification tech	de data esentat nique.	base. As data is tion of the service The Adobe Conn	proces area. ect ses	sed and aggregated Reviewing the derivessions will primarily for	I to censu ed servic	s block or re e areas with	oad seg the ser	
4. Comparison of b	roadband ser	vice are	eas and advertise	d uploa	ad/download speeds	with avai	lable speed	test da	ta.
10g. Have you initia									
received a total of a Inc.; Benson Telep Benkleman Teleph	m Connected 202 visits betwhene Service; one); Cable C	Nation, veen 10 Blue V NE Inc	status was report 0.1-12.31.11. Ace /alley Telecommu ; CenturyLink; Ci	Composition Compos	ns Inc.; Broadband \ hanute; City of Coffe	nmunicati Vireless I eyville; Cle	ons; AT&T I nternet (BB earwire Corp	nc.; Atw WI); BW poration	ood Cable Systems /Telcom (d.b.a. ; Columbus
Telephone Compa	elephone Company; Cox Communications Inc.; Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative Inc.; CTC Wireless Internet; Cyber Lodge								

Wireless; Eagle Communications Inc.; Elkhart Telephone Company Inc.; Fairpoint Communications Inc.; Golden Belt Telephone Association Inc.: H&B Cable Services Inc.: Haviland Telephone Company: IdeaTek Systems Inc.: J.B.N. Telephone Company: JMZ Corporation (d.b.a. Kwikom); Kanokla Telephone; Kansas Broadband Internet Inc.; LaHarpe Telephone Company Inc.; Lawrence FreeNet; Madison Telephone Company LLC: Mediacom Communications Corporation; Mercury Wireless; Mid-Kansas Cable Services; Midwest Connections Inc.; Mobil1.net; Moundridge Telephone Company Inc.; Pioneer Telephone Association Inc.; Pixius Communications LLC; Rainbow Telecommunications Association Inc.; Rebeltec Communications; Rural Telephone Service Company Inc.; S&A Telephone Company Inc.; S&T Telephone Cooperative Association; SCI Cable, Inc.; Seamlessnet; SKT Inc.; South Central Telephone Association; Southeast Nebraska Communications; Sprint Nextel Corporation; St. Joe Wireless; Stelera Wireless; Sumner Communications; Sunflower Broadband; SwiftLink Communications; SWKO (d.b.a. SouthWest Kansas Online); The Computer Generation; Time Warner Cable; Totah; Tri-County; Tri-Rivers; Twin Valley; United Telephone; US Cellular; Valnet; Verizon; Wamego; Wave Wireless; Wheat State; Wheatland. 10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities N/A Staffing 10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project? 10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? Yes No 10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed In the past quarter, we added a second full time resource dedicated to the broadband project as we transitioned work from Connected Nation. Our plans call for adding additional staffing and we have prepared job descriptions for a data analyst and project coordinator which will allow the Kansas Broadband Team to more fully focus on refinements and implementation of the Planning and Capacity Building, Regional Technology Planning Teams, and Technical Assistance elements of the project as well as transition completion and preparing for the Kansas Legislature's 2012 session. 10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project? 10n. Staffing Table Job Title FTE % Date of Hire **Program Director** 100 09/01/2011 Program Manager 100 09/01/2011 Add Row Remove Row **Sub Contracts** 10o. Subcontracts Table Contract RFP Issued Name of Subcontractor Purpose of Subcontract Executed Start Date **End Date** Federal Funds In-Kind Funds (Y/N)(Y/N)Mapping, data collection, Connected Nation Υ 09/01/2011 12/31/2011 31,320 0 verification Kansas Geographical Mapping, Data collection, 0 Ν Ν 01/01/2012 12/31/2012 verification and validation Survey Add Row Remove Row **Funding** 10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$29,969 10q. How much Remains? \$2,047,443 10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$0 10s. How much Remains? \$0 10t. Budget Worksheet Federal Federal Proposed Matching Funds **Total Funds** Total Mapping Budget Element Funds Funds In-Kind Budget Expended Expended Expended Granted

Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$17,427	\$0	\$17,427
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,651	\$0	\$4,651
Travel	\$9,500	\$0	\$9,500	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment	\$12,000	\$0	\$12,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$9,500	\$0	\$9,500	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontracts Total	\$1,700,177	\$0	\$1,700,177	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,383	\$0	\$6,383
Total Direct Costs	\$1,731,177	\$0	\$1,731,177	\$28,461	\$0	\$28,461
Total Indirect Costs	\$346,235	\$0	\$346,235	\$1,508	\$0	\$1,508
Total Costs	\$2,077,412	\$0	\$2,077,412	\$29,969	\$0	\$29,969
% Of Total	100	0	100	100	0	100

Hardware / Softwar	e					
10u. Has the project team po	urchased the softwar	re / hardware descril	bed in the application	n? •Yes ON	lo	
10v. If yes, please list						
No software / hardware p	urchases have occ	curred since the fir	nalization of the De	esignated Entity st	atus on Septembe	r 1, 2011.
10w. Please note any softwa	are / hardware that h	as vet to be purchas	sed and explain why	it has not been purc	hased	
	,	ac yet to 20 parenae	ou and onplain may			
N1/A						
N/A						
10x. Has the project team po	urchased or used an	y data sets? Ye	s   No			
10y. If yes, please list						
NI/A						
N/A						
10z. Are there any additiona	l project milestones	or information that h	as not been included	l?		
10aa. If yes, please list						

The Data Collection and Mapping elements of the project are being taken over by the University of Kansas, Kansas Geological Survey Department. Terms and scope of work have been agreed to, subject to final review and NTIA approval, which is expected in Q1 2012. In anticipation of key milestones in Q1 2012, Commerce and KGS initiated provider outreach efforts in Q4 2011 to ensure timely completion of data integration and meeting April 1 submission deadline. This included establishing a provider portal for data collection as well as securing provider NDA's. Based on previously submitted data, KGS has uploaded the information and populated the KS

Broadband Interactive Map. Final testing of the updated map is expected to be complete in Q1 2012 at which time it will be released and made publicly available through the Kansas Department of Commerce website. In support of an effective interactive map, Commerce is also making modifications to the website to provide a suitable broadband user experience which will serve as a key element of future business and residential outreach as well as serve as a tool for the regional technology planning teams. KGS is also asking providers to participate in a brief survey to identify how and in what format future data updates will be received for the duration of the grant.

10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing A key challenge in Q4 was completion of necessary transition activity as Commerce took over responsibility of the project from Connect Kansas, LLC. The provider log received from Connect Kansas excluded critical contact information from each broadband service provider needed to notify appropriate personnel of the transition and change in process for data collection. This presented a challenge to maintaining a proper time line in advance of the April 1 submittal deadline. So that the lack of this information would not cause a delay to the project success, Commerce overcame the obstacle and developed a new service provider contact list and initiated appropriate outreach activities in December 2011. In addition to working with KGS, Commerce worked with the Kansas Corporation Commission and partnered with broadband trade associations such as the State Independent Telephone Association and the Kansas Telecommunications Industry Association during the month of December to ensure the project did not suffer from said obstacles presented. KGS proved to be highly capable in working with the Kansas broadband provider community and the project will benefit greatly from having increased local involvement in the process. A project time line has been provided by KGS to identify target dates for completion of data collection activities, verification plans and data submittal to the NTIA. The state is optimistic that no further significant obstacles will arise from the transition from Connect Kansas and we anticipate an on time, improved data submission on April 1st.

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project
Bringing the mapping project directly under state control has already yielded positive impact for the project based on the favorable
feedback from the legislative branch as well as constituents in executive branch, broadband service providers and other organizations.
We anticipate greater attention will be given to how we can enhance the accuracy and utility of the map. Consensus at the state is that
this is best achieved with increased state involvement. See attachment.

## 11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

State Broadband Capacity Building – Several staffing changes were implemented during the quarter. The project sponsor, Carole Jordan, retired and pending other agency organization changes will be replaced with the Deputy Secretary in January 2012; the Planning Manager position was elevated to Program Director, overseeing mapping and planning elements as well as managing key stakeholder constituents (i.e. Legislative, Inter-Agency, etc.); a full time Program Manager was designated to support data collection transition as well as development and deployment of Regional Technology Planning Teams. We have drafted job specifications for three additional positions and working through approvals and determining how best to leverage capabilities of KU's GIS team for some of the analytical support requirements. As part of the overall planning work, Commerce is making website revisions to create a more robust portfolio of online broadband resources to complement the interactive mapping tools. During the quarter, we identified specific goals and objectives for this portion of the project and published the first wave of resources and information on the Commerce site. Additional website development plans continue to be worked into our overall communications approach. Regional Technology Planning Teams (RTPT) – The first two regions were identified for establishing teams, NW and SE Kansas. We worked with existing resources and regional Commerce Business Development Representatives who will assist in identifying local broadband-relevant leaders and champions. In planning for the RTPT, we have scheduled Community Collaboration sessions beginning in Q1 2012 which will be used to validate regional anchor locations, interests and needs (pre RTPT launch activity).

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing. The historical structure of resources deployed across the state by Commerce has limited applicability to supporting deployment of the RTPT's. Our original plan included the possibility of greater utilization of field staff. This remains possible, but not to the extent initially considered. To mitigate this challenge, we are reaching out to other state agencies such as KCC to identify additional partnership and resource sharing opportunities. We are also assessing potential third party solutions to expedite portions of broadband content generation. See attachment.

11c Does the Proj	ect Team anticinate	any changes to	the project plan fo	r Broadband Planning?	(AVAS	○ Nc

11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented

As part of the refinements to our detail project plans, we anticipate adding resources to support the successful rollout of the RTPT's and related analytical support. We also plan to enhance our research requirements to build a working and actionable knowledge base for the state's ongoing broadband efforts. We will submit an updated detail project and budget plan during Q1 2012. Changes include a request for the reallocation of dollars to better reflect priorities and specific programs for other categories. Community forums will be offered in conjunction with many of our internal / external Partner organizations, including community-specific organizers, city / county level officials, Kansas Small Business Development Center offices, NetWork Kansas for entrepreneurship and small businesses, Office of Rural Opportunity personnel, Business Development field representatives, USDA Rural Development, KanRed, Farm Bureau and broadband specialists with technical expertise to create jobs and retain citizens in their current towns. Several of these concepts were

field tested during the Rural Opportunities Conference in Salina, November 16 – 17, 2011. The conference leveraged two legacy programs at the Department of Commerce-MarketPlace for rural entrepreneurs and The Broadband Summit for the telecommunications and provider-based industries. Presentation and speaker details follow:

The Role of Rural Telecom in Creating Economic Impact, Provider Panel Discussion:

Brian Boisvert - CEO, Wilson Telephone Company

Tom Gleason - Communications Attorney

Lonnie Stieben - Southern Kansas Telephone Company, Inc.

Jimmy Todd - President, Mutual Telephone Company

Jeff Wick - COO, Nex-Tech

Broadband Applications: Convergys Home-Agent Case Study

Keith Meyers - Director of Training, KansasWORKS

Broadband Applications for Community Anchor Institutions

Shane Myers - Marketing Director, Kansas.gov

Erik Pedersen – E-Communities

Cindi Hickey - Director, State Library

## **Funding**

11e. How much Federal fund	ding has been expend	ded as of the end of t	the last quarter? \$2	24,654 11	f. How much Remains?	\$3,039,936
11g. How much matching fu	nds have been exper	nded as of the end of	last quarter? \$	9,927 11	h. How much Remains?	? \$1,080,077
11i. Planning Worksheet						
Personal Salaries	\$205,833	\$275,000	\$480,833	\$11,618	\$7,706	\$19,324
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$72,042	\$7,242	\$79,284	\$3,100	\$1,929	\$5,029
Travel	\$156,000	\$6,524	\$162,524	\$2,548	\$293	\$2,841
Equipment	\$127,000	\$20,000	\$147,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$127,000	\$20,000	\$147,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontracts Total	\$1,865,950	\$702,266	\$2,568,216	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,383	\$0	\$6,383
Total Direct Costs	\$2,553,825	\$1,031,032	\$3,584,857	\$23,649	\$9,927	\$33,577
Total Indirect Costs	\$510,765	\$58,971	\$569,736	\$1,005	\$0	\$1,005
Total Costs	\$3,064,590	\$1,090,003	\$4,154,593	\$24,654	\$9,927	\$34,582
% Of Total	74	26	100	71	29	100

## **Additional Planning Information**

In support of Technical Assistance, the Kansas Broadband Team is working to partner with Commerce's Workforce Services division, KansasWORKS, to provide assistance in the form of education to increase business skills and address digital literacy. By arming job seekers with employable business skills that increase technical expertise and digital literacy, Kansans are better positioned for distance-enabled positions that retain them in their current communities and bolster the Governor's Rural Opportunity Zone program to assist counties that have experienced double-digit population decline. By offering basic computer skills and internet usage training in

<sup>11</sup>j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
nership with KansasWORKS immediate value will be added to the State's most vulnerable populations.	
orting Kansas' agriculture commodities requires digital literacy as well but before we can provide our farmers with similar business	ز
s we must first determine where their connectivity will occur, and identify regional hubs to bridge the current coverage gaps. This i	s
ther opportunity to collaborate and partner with Regional Technology Planning Teams as well as the Commerce Trade Division ar	
Department of Agriculture. Farm Bureau could play a critical role in connectivity-based services for agricultural exporting	~
irements and related electronic forms that are mandatory when exporting to specific countries. Providing funding for prospects to	
icipate in World Trade Center exporter-specific classes remains a possible Technical Assistance initiative as well.	
Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing	- 1
ng 2011 there were two staffing resources available which are no longer present as we close out the year. One, the project	
nsor retired, and the other, a part-time resource left the agency to pursue a new position. Separately, the agency initiated an	
anizational structure review and is expected to result in the Broadband Initiative remaining in Commerce but with a new reporting	- 1
cture. To minimize adverse impact, the agency was receptive to recommended changes as it relates to the Broadband Initiative.	
se changes, along with the challenges of effecting the transition of the project from Connected Nation to the Department of	
nmerce, presented numerous obstacles to moving as swiftly as desired for the project overall. See attachment. We were able to	
one full time staff dedicated to broadband related efforts and are working to have additional organizational support approved.	
Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project	
e the collaborative, community-based meetings have taken shape an opportunity will emerge to enhance RTPTs with an industry-	_
cific overlay. Manufacturing sector emphasis for Kansas will focus on Aviation, Agribusiness and Energy. Working Groups may be	
embled with regional experts to serve as the Advisory Council for the Regional Technology Planning Teams. Representatives could	a
de experts from the manufacturing, health care and education sectors in conjunction with provider-based resources and	
siderations to assemble actionable plans to further increase capability building and technical assistance activities. The revised	- 1
il plan will specify additional activities.	,
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ

<ol> <li>Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct a set forth in the award documents.</li> </ol>	nd compl	ete for performance of activities for the purpose
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c.	Telephone (area code, number, and extension)
Tricia Kensinger-Rice		(area code, number, and extension)
The state of the s	12d	Email Address
	120.	trice@kansascommerce.com
	40-	
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e.	Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)
Submitted Electronically	01-31	-2012