| Performance Progress Report | | | | | | 2. Award Or Grant Number 18-50-M09003 4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 07-12-2011 | | | | |
|--|---|---------|-----------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Street Address | | | | | | | 8. Final Report? 9. Report Frequency | | | |
| 100 North Senate | Avenue , India | ana Go | vernment Center N5 | 51, | | | ⊖Yes | Quarterly | | |
| 5. City, State, Zip 0 Indianapolis, IN 4 | | | | | | | No No | | ○ Semi Annual ○ Annual ○ Final | |
| 7. Project / Grant | | 7a. | | 71 | | | 9a. If Other | , please | 0 | |
| Start Date: (MN 10-01-2009 | //DD/YYYY) | | Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) -2014 | | eporting Period End Date: -30-2011 | : | NA | | | |
| | | 09-30 | | | -30-2011 | | | | | |
| 10. Broadband | i mapping | | 10a. Provider Table | | | | | | | |
| Number of | Number of | | Number of Agreemen | | Number of Partial | Numbe | | | | |
| Providers Identified | Providers Co | ntacted | Reached for Data Sha | aring | | Comple 0 | ete Data Sets | Data S | ets Verified | |
| | - | | /IDER DATA by using th | | - | - | | - | | |
| | | | h any providers that indi | | | - | - | | | |
| - | | - | | | | | this project? | • 163 | | |
| | 10d. If so, describe the discussions to date with each of these providers and the current status Midwest Telecom of America, Inc.: Owner stated that he does not want to participate and that he only would participate if he was compelled by law. | | | | | | | | | |
| Hancock Rural Telephone Corporation/Nine Star Connect: At this time owner is unsure if he wants to participate because he does not want his competition to have his territory/maps. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10e. If you are collecting data through other means (e.g. data extraction, extrapolation, etc), please describe your progress to date and the relevant activities to be undertaken in the future | | | | | | | | | | |
| ΝΑ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement i. "Boots on the ground" inspection. We will visually inspect the existence of physical features, where feasible, of a sample size sufficient to verify that service could exist in a specific location. | | | | | | | | | | |
| ii. Inspection of high-resolution orthophotography. High-resolution orthophotography will be used to verify the existence and location of wireless towers. Where recent six-inch resolution orthophotography exists (cities and counties), it can also be used to verify the existence of residence connection boxes. | | | | | | | | | | |
| iii. Comparing source documents that duplicate geographies or content. We recognize that within the above list of data sources, some information is duplicated. In these cases, discrepancies will be noted for follow-up using other verification methods listed here. | | | | | | | | | | |
| iv. Collecting end-user data. We are working with The Polis Center at Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis to create a Google Map-based, user-friendly web application hosted on the IndianaMap portal to collect information from end-users about their location, broadband service provider, and speed (as captured from a speed test). The information collected from this website will be valuable for data verification. The Polis Center works with communities in Indiana and beyond to develop and apply knowledge, to build collaborations, and to find innovative solutions to common problems. The center excels in community-based research and advanced information technologies, especially geographic information systems (GIS). | | | | | | | | | | |
| v. Using service providers' websites, especially those that contain service area information. Many service providers have websites 10g. Have you initiated verification activities? •Yes ONo | | | | | | | | | | |

| 10h. If yes, please describe the status of your activities i. We have begun verification comparing source documents that duplicate geographies and/or content. We recognize that within the above list of data sources, some information is duplicated. In these cases, discrepancies will be noted for follow-up using other verification methods listed here. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|-------|-------|----------------|
| i. We have developed a "crowd-sourcing" tool and have begun using this tool to collect data for verification. http://www.in.gov/BroadbandQuestionnaire.htm http://in-polis-app21.ads.iu.edu/BroadbandService/default.aspx | | | | | | | | | |
| iii. We have acquired a | 3rd party data set for w | verification and | d are in the | process of usi | ng it to compa | re to our | mappe | d dat | a. |
| iv. We have begun usir | ng service providers' we | ebsites, espec | ially those | that contain se | rvice area info | rmation. | | | |
| the next two years. | ing" a third of the state | - | | | - | | | | the state over |
| NA | | | | - | . . | J | | | |
| Staffing | | | | | | | | | |
| • | e been created or retained | l as a result of th | his project? | | | | | | |
| 5.99 | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.00 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? Yes No 10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed | | | | | | | | |
| No impact to the proje | ct's time line is anticipa | ted. | | | | | | | |
| 10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project? | | | | | | | | | |
| 6.75 | | | | | | | | | |
| 10n. Staffing Table | | | | | | | | | |
| Job Title | | | | | | | FTE | % | Date of Hire |
| GIS Technician | | | | | | | 62 | | 02/23/2010 |
| GIS Analyst | | | | | | | 100 | | 02/23/2010 |
| GIS Analyst | | | | | | | 100 | | 02/23/2010 |
| GIS Analyst | | | | | | | 99 | | 02/23/2010 |
| GIS Analyst Sr. | | | | | | | 100 | | 02/23/2010 |
| GIS Analyst Sr. | | | | | | | 100 | | 02/23/2010 |
| GIS Analyst Sr. | | | | | | | 38 | | 02/23/2010 |
| | | Add Row | , | Remove Ro | w | | | | |
| Sub Contracts 100. Subcontracts Table | | | | | | | | | |
| Name of Subcontractor | Purpose of Subcontract | RFP Issued (Y/N) | Contract Executed (Y/N) | Start Date | End Date | Federal I | Funds | In | -Kind Funds |
| 39 Degrees North | Perform Geoprocessing of service provider data | N | Ŷ | 02/23/2010 | 03/31/2012 | 245,400 | C |) | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Indiana Geological Survey | Facilitate visualization and distribution of mapping data | Ν | Y | 04/01/2010 | | 09/30/2011 | 180,000 | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|
| After Image GIS | Process data from wireless service providers | Ν | Y | 05/01/201 | 10 | 10/31/2011 | 85,000 | | | |
| Dot Staff | Provide contract position- broadband mapping coordinator | N | Y | 06/14/201 | 10 | 06/14/2011 | 101,400 | | 0 | |
| Woolpert | Ortho/LiDar | Y | Y | 02/18/201 | 1 | 09/30/2014 | 152,000 | 0 | 0 | |
| InfoUSA | Third Party data to support verification | N | Y | 04/20/2010 | | 06/27/2010 | 37,853 | | 0 | |
| | | 1 | | | | Add I | Row | F | Remove Row | |
| | funding has been expend g funds have been expend | | | | \$701,24 \$313,78 | | How much I How much I | | | |
| Mapping Budget Eleme | Federal nt Funds Granted | Proposed In-Kind | | Total Budget | | Federal Funds xpended | Matching Funds Expended | | Total Funds Expended | |
| Personal Salaries | \$345,305 | \$0 | \$ | \$345,305 | | \$99,233 | \$0 | | \$99,233 | |
| Personnel Fringe Benef | its \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | |
| Travel | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | |
| Equipment | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | |
| Materials / Supplies | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | |
| Subcontracts Total | \$2,949,461 | \$0 | \$2 | \$2,949,461 | | \$602,014 | \$0 | | \$602,014 | |
| Subcontract #1 | \$245,400 | \$0 | \$ | \$245,400 | | \$192,300 | \$0 | | \$192,300 | |
| Subcontract #2 | \$180,000 | \$0 | \$180,000 | | \$136,548 | | \$0 | | \$136,548 | |
| Subcontract #3 | \$85,000 | \$0 | \$ | \$85,000 | | \$77,525 | \$0 | | \$77,525 | |
| Subcontract #4 | \$101,400 | \$0 | \$ | \$101,400 | | \$80,268 | \$0 | | \$80,268 | |
| Subcontract #5 | \$2,337,661 | \$2,337,661 \$0 | | \$2,337,661 | | \$115,373 | \$0 | | \$115,373 | |
| Construction | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | |
| Other | \$0 | \$823,692 | \$ | \$823,692 | | \$0 | \$313,787 | | \$313,787 | |
| Total Direct Costs | \$3,294,766 | \$823,692 | \$4,118,458 | | | \$701,247 | \$0 | | \$701,247 | |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | |
| Total Costs | \$3,294,766 | \$823,692 | \$4,118,458 | | | \$701,247 | \$313,787 | | \$1,015,034 | |
| % Of Total | 80 | 20 | | 100 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |

Hardware / Software

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application? (Yes No 10v. If yes, please list

NA

10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased NA 10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? • Yes ONo 10y. If yes, please list Data from InfoUSA that included IP Address, domain name, broadband technology type. Cost = \$37,853 (See Subcontract #6) 10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included? • Yes ONo 10aa. If ves. please list 1) We have contracted for Broadband Data Visualization with the Indiana Business Research Center (IBRC) which is adept at clearly presenting complex economic information. IBRC will integrate the results of this project with economic data about Indiana to prepare meaningful and understandable public maps and other visualizations. 2) We have contracted for Data Storage/Management/ Distribution with University Information Technology Services group at Indiana University (UITS). These funds will make broadband data and aerial photographs available for analysis. 3) We have contracted to update our statewide orthophotography and LiDAR. Orthophotography is an essential element in the creation of accurate address level data, and LiDAR will provide a surface elevation suitable for input into a wireless service area model. 4) We have extended our contract with 39 Degrees North to perform geoprocessing of service provider data. This contract will also include the creation, implementation, and hosting of an online application to allow service providers to review and interact with their broadband data. 5) We have generated a request for proposal for Address Clean-up. This task accounts for the time involved in improving raw address data that is received from counties. These efforts will result in a data set that can be used to verify a service address submitted by a provider. 6) We have generated a request for proposal for Small Provider Submission Support for 30 to 50 small service providers in Indiana. 7) We have generated a request for proposal for Community Anchor Institution (CAI) data research and verification. We are seeking to engage an organization to survey each CAI. 10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing We are developing additional QAQC procedures to our data deliveries. We are expanding our scope of work with one of our vendors to achieve this. 10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project FUNDING: We have added Subcontract #6 to #5 together along with the remainder funds granted. The breakdown of the \$2,337,661 is as such: Wolpert contract amount is \$152,000 with \$77,520 being expended to date InfoUSA contract amount is \$37,853 with \$37,853 being expended to date Remainder not yet contracted or expended is \$2,147,808 ORGANIZATIONS THAT WE WERE UNABLE TO REACH/MAKE CONTACT: CSInet Internet Access NITCO Holding Corporation/FBN Indiana, Inc. SpeedNet/Keyon State of the Art Communications Surf-ICI True 802 Wireless WIN Enterprises/QX.net YDial LLC Craigville Telephone Company, Inc./AdamsWells Telecom Global Crossing North America, Inc. Iquest Internet/Lightbound Mediacom Communications Corp. Swayzee Telephone Company TV Cable of Rensselaer & Winamac Yeoman Telephone Co. NDA CHALLENGES (REVIEW BY STATE COUNSEL): Cequel Communications, LLC/Suddenlink

11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

NA

11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning?

11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented

NA

| Funding | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| 11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter?\$011f. How much Remains?\$0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$0 11h. How much Remains? | | | | | | | | | |
| 11i. Planning Worksheet | | | | | | | | | |
| Personal Salaries | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Personnel Fringe Benefits | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Travel | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Equipment | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Materials / Supplies | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Subcontracts Total | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Subcontract #1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Subcontract #2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Subcontract #3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Subcontract #4 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Subcontract #5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Construction | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Total Direct Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Total Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| % Of Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

NA

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

NA

11. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

NA

| 12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents. | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official | 12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension) | | | | | |
| Terry Bradshaw | | | | | | |
| | 12d. Email Address | | | | | |
| | tbradshaw@iot.in.gov | | | | | |
| 12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official | 12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year) | | | | | |
| Submitted Electronically | 08-10-2011 | | | | | |