	2. Award Or Grant 08-50-M09032	2. Award Or Grant Number 08-50-M09032		
Perfor	mance Progress F	Report	4. Report Date (MM 01-18-2012	N/DD/YYYY)
Recipient Name GO GOVERNOR'S OFF OF IN	IFO TECH		6. Designated Entit	
2 Charact Address			O. Final Danasto	9. Report Frequency
Street Address 601 East 18th Avenue, Suite 1	50,		8. Final Report? Yes	Quarterly
5. City, State, Zip Code Denver, CO 80203			● No	○ Semi Annual○ Annual
·	+	1		Final
7. Project / Grant Period Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	7a. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	7b. Reporting Period End Date:	9a. If Other, please	e describe:
11-01-2009	10-31-2014	12-31-2011	N/A	
10. Broadband Mapping	10a. Provider Table			
Number of Providers Identified Providers COO	Number of Agreemen Reached for Data Sha		ber of Numb plete Data Sets Data	
10b. Are you submitting the requi	L red PROVIDER DATA by using th	ne Excel spreadsheet provided by t	the SBDD grants office	? OYes • No
	, ,	icate they may refuse to participate		_
10d. If so, describe the discussion			an une projecti.	
Since the next data delivery is house staff. As of the last data these in the non-cooperative cannot be undertaken in activities to be undertaken in	delivery, there were 40 provious ategory. Seven of these were ough other means (e.g. data extra	ders that we considered non-re	sponsive or non-coor reach out to them for	perative with six of or this delivery anyway.
We are not collecting data thro	ugh extrapolation.			
10f. Please describe the verification	on activities you plan to implemer	nt		
- The CBDDP has driven five rotesting has included points on a delivery, and the results are demechanisms for analyzing this feedback to providers in July/A - The CBDDP has developed a in four areas of the state, and vand can be compared to the br - The CBDDP has also compare methodology report includes a process for analyzing and utiliz - The CBDDP has used FCC stests where locational informatiflow for providing analytics and	and off major highways. We've scribed in the methodology redata more rigorously and provugust, but that feedback media residential and business survill be implemented in addition oadband service data when seed speeds received from Condescription of this comparisoring this data and providing feed peed test data as well. A smallon was fairly precisely and constructions of the service of the serv	e compared the completed test eport delivered with the data. The viding feedback to service providing feedback to service providents will likely be pursued at every to gauge adoption and actural areas on a continuous base sufficient responses are available munity Anchor Institutions to so as well. The OIT team is contedback to broadband service pull subset of these data points worsistently captured. As with the	s to data delivered for the CO team will be for iders. The intent was feer the October 1 de lal service. The survers. The results are unle. Service data from profinuing to develop a serviders. The results are unlesservice data from profinuing to develop a serviders. The results are usable, primarily to other verification data.	or the October 1, 2011 ocusing on specific to provide the livery. The ey was been deployed ader analysis currently eviders. The attructured, repeatable in the wireless speed ata, a repeatable, work
10g. Have you initiated verificatio 10h. If yes, please describe the st				
Ton. II yes, piease describe the si	atus or your activities			
additions from CBDDP.	on tests run on monthly data	deliveries from contractor. Scri	pts include script pro	vided by FCC and

- Comparison of spatial extent and number of spatial features of processed data and of raw data received from service providers.
- Details of verification method provided with October 1 data delivery and included in the Q3 Quarterly Performance Report.
- 10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities

N/A

Staffing

10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project?

In Q4, 2011, 5.48 FTE were created: 4.50 in the Colorado Broadband Data & Development Program, 0.57 in our Prime Contractor and Application Development (Leading Practices) Contractor = 0.41

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? Yes No

10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed

OIT has hired several GIS analysts for the broadband data preparation and mapping. The Distance Learning Project Manager will be on boarding a part time FTE this quarter. The Distance Learning part time FTE is responsible for supporting the installation of the end point video equipment for the Distance Learning program. As a result of unsuccessful recruitment attempts for an application developer, the web development will occur in grant year 3 using a contractor, but this also will not significantly impact to overall project goals. OIT has selected the Address Coordinator position and two GIS analyst staff. Although these hires are slightly behind the planned schedule for them, we are confident that the milestones reliant on these positions will be met.

10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

- Grant year 3 (11/2011 11/2012): 10 FTE (0.5 PM, Data Mgr, Comm Mgr, 1.5 Dist. Learning, 4 GIS Analysts, 1 data.gov Developer. 1 Address Coordinator)
- Grant year 4 (11/2012 11/2013): 9.0 FTE (0.5 PM, Data Mgr, Comm. Mgr, 1.5 Dist Learning, 4 GIS Analysts, 1 Address Coordinator)
- Grant year 5 (11/2013 11/2014): 8.0 FTE (0.5 PM, Data Mgr, Comm. Mgr., 1.5 Dist Learning, 4 GIS Analysts) 10n. Staffing Table

Add Row

Job Title	FTE %	Date of Hire
Data Manager	100	02/22/2010
Communication Manager	100	03/08/2010
Distance Learning	100	05/02/2011
Outreach Coordinator	31	12/05/2011
Project Manager	41	05/31/2011
GIS Analyst	55	11/07/2011
GIS Analyst	23	12/12/2011
Prime Contractor	57	3/26/2010
Leading Practices Contractor	41	08/08/2011

Sub Contracts

10o. Subcontracts Table

Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Federal Funds	In-Kind Funds
Critigen	Data Collection	Υ	Υ	03/26/2010	10/31/2011	870,000	0

Remove Row

Unknown	Distance Learning - Educator Training	N	N	01/02/2012	01/01/2013	56,000	0
Unknown	Data.gov - Architecture design	N	N	01/02/2012	01/01/2013	80,000	0
Patrick Engineering	Web Development/Portal	Υ	N	08/08/2011	01/01/2013	60,860	0

Add Row Remove Row

Funding

10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$1,421,383 10q. How much Remains? \$3,960,699

10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$532,278

10s. How much Remains? \$813,284

10t. Budget Worksheet

Tot. Budget worksneet						
Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$2,311,181	\$163,722	\$2,474,903	\$683,321	\$93,668	\$776,989
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$462,235	\$32,745	\$494,980	\$113,886	\$18,733	\$132,619
Travel	\$109,990	\$0	\$109,990	\$11,544	\$0	\$11,544
Equipment	\$759,453	\$290,000	\$1,049,453	\$112,081	\$0	\$112,081
Materials / Supplies	\$38,970	\$120,000	\$158,970	\$7,023	\$0	\$7,023
Subcontracts Total	\$1,006,000	\$0	\$1,006,000	\$449,705	\$0	\$449,705
Subcontract #1	\$870,000	\$0	\$870,000	\$449,705	\$0	\$449,705
Subcontract #2	\$56,000	\$0	\$56,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$80,000	\$0	\$80,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$632,758	\$739,095	\$1,371,853	\$43,823	\$419,877	\$463,700
Total Direct Costs	\$5,320,587	\$1,345,562	\$6,666,149	\$1,421,383	\$532,278	\$1,953,661
Total Indirect Costs	\$61,495	\$0	\$61,495	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$5,382,082	\$1,345,562	\$6,727,644	\$1,421,383	\$532,278	\$1,953,661
% Of Total	80	20	100	73	27	100

Hardware / Software

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application?

10v. If yes, please list

At 9/30/11, the following has been purchased:

- ESRI Desktop GIS Software \$43,637
- ESRI GIS server software \$18,000
- Server and Operating System Software \$29,714.63
- 5 Laptops and 4 Desktop PCs & Monitors (PM, Data Mgr, Comm. Mgr, QA Analyst) \$17,190.20
- SAN (5Tb)Storage for Mapping \$17,820.00

10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased

Remaining Server and Software \$11,500 (\$25,000-13,537 purchased=\$11,500)

- Development SW \$4,500
- data.gov IT Infrastructure Yrs 3-4 Server HW & SW \$52,000
- data.gov IT Infrastructure Yrs 3-4 ARCGIS SW \$45,000
- data.gov IT Infrastructure Yrs 3-4 ARCGIS Maint \$37,500

All of the above equipment is planned to be purchased in later years of the grant to support the leading practices, address data coordination and data.gov efforts. Consequently these expenditures are not late or behind the originally planned time frame.

- Distance Learning Pilot Equipment \$360.000
- Distance Learning Scheduling Software \$71,832

The Distance Learning project was delayed several months due to a hold on hiring during the Gubernatorial transition. So, while the equipment purchase is occurring later than planned in the grant proposal, this current plan is to purchase equpment during in spring, 2012.

10y. If yes, please list

- Wire Center Boundaries PBBI Pitney Bowes V2 \$2,500
- Central Office Locations PBBI Pitney Bowes V2 \$2,500
- Comsearch, American Roamer Data V2 \$10,000
- Anchor Institution and Business Listing & Survey Data from InfoUSA \$17,000

10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

Yes

No 10aa. If yes, please list

The Colorado Broadband Data and Development Program (CBDDP) completed hiring GIS staff to support data collection and development in house in lieu of contracting out. A GIS Address Coordinator to run the address data collection and integration project has also been hired. The CBDDP has begun training the new GIS staff by having them process data from the previous delivery and review data submitted by the broadband service providers and has begun outreach to the broadband service providers to inform them of the organizational change in the program. OIT has completed the implementation of a in-house public facing GIS server environmen to support the state's public broadband map. This includes a development and production set of servers. The Colorado broadband map has been successfully migrated to these servers and the map application has been refined to improve the look and feel and include an Ookla based speed test (http://maps.co.gov/ColoradoBroadband). In the address project, an inventory of the address data available from local governments has been completed in preparation for contacting these governments for their data. The Distance Learning task force has developed technical specifications for video distance learning equipment for school receiving sites and has prioritized the pilot and other sites. They have begun deciding on the number of end points that can be supported with the distance learning scheduling software given the grant budget and have considered several strategies for supporting the software. A RFP for selecting the scheduling software is under development.

10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

- Staffing is still somewhat of on issue, however the CBDDP has made headway, with the appointment of a GIS Outreach Coordinator and on boarding of two GIS Analyst. The CBDDP will work hard to make up for lost time due to staffing delays.
- OIT has selected a contract application developer, instead of hiring an employee. The contractor started work in October.

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

The CBDDP has completed its residential and business survey to evaluate barriers to broadband adoption. The survey report will be posted on the OIT Broadband page. Three of the local technology planning teams (LTPTs) are very active and are devising methods for improving and attracting broadband service in their regions. They have undertaken diverse organizational approaches based on the dynamics of each area and have successfully attracted or planned for additional middle mile capacity into their areas. New LTPTs are under way in five other areas of the state. The CBDDP has developed a lessons learned document for the LTPTs describing best practices for taking local ownership of planning for broadband futures. This has also been posted on the OIT Broadband page and submitted to the NTIA. CBDDP leads nation in gathering speed test data and community anchor institutions with over service information having been collected from over 3,000 CAIs. The state's broadband map data is being used to support economic development metrics for the Governor's economic development blueprint in Colorado.

11. Broadband Planning

Expiration Date: 12/31/2013

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status								
Please see separate file:	: Q4 Supplemental A	Answers						
11b. Please describe any c	challenge or obstacle th	nat you have encoun	tered and detail the	e mitigation strategies	s the project team is	employing		
Please see separate file:	: Q4 Supplemental A	answers						
11c. Does the Project Tear	m anticipate any chang	ges to the project pla	n for Broadband Pla	anning? (Yes	● No			
11d. If yes, please describe be implemented	e these anticipated cha	nges. Please note t	hat NTIA will need	to approve changes	to the Project Plan b	efore they can		
N/A								
Funding								
11e. How much Federal fur	nding has been expend	ded as of the end of	the last quarter? \$0) 11f.	How much Remains	? \$0		
11g. How much matching f			·		How much Remains	s? \$0		
11i. Planning Worksheet								
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
% Of Total 0 0 0 0 0								
Additional Planning Information								

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?	
Please see separate file: Q4 Supplemental Answers	
11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing	
N/A	
11l. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project	
N/A	

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.						
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)					
Jon Gottsegen						
	12d. Email Address					
	Jon.Gottsegen@state.co.us					
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)					
Submitted Electronically	02-17-2012					